Cell Leading Edge





Kim Newton,^{1,*} Andreas Strasser,^{2,3,*} Nobuhiko Kayagaki,^{1,*} and Vishva M. Dixit^{1,*} ¹Physiological Chemistry Department, Genentech, Inc., 1 DNA Way, South San Francisco, CA 94080, USA ²WEHI: Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, VIC 3052, Australia ³Department of Medical Biology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia *Correspondence: knewton@gene.com (K.N.), strasser@wehi.edu.au (A.S.), kayagaki@gene.com (N.K.), dixit@gene.com (V.M.D.) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2023.11.044

SUMMARY

Cell death supports morphogenesis during development and homeostasis after birth by removing damaged or obsolete cells. It also curtails the spread of pathogens by eliminating infected cells. Cell death can be induced by the genetically programmed suicide mechanisms of apoptosis, necroptosis, and pyroptosis, or it can be a consequence of dysregulated metabolism, as in ferroptosis. Here, we review the signaling mechanisms underlying each cell-death pathway, discuss how impaired or excessive activation of the distinct cell-death processes can promote disease, and highlight existing and potential therapies for redressing imbalances in cell death in cancer and other diseases.

INTRODUCTION

Cell death can be non-lytic and largely immunologically silent (apoptosis) or lytic and pro-inflammatory (necrosis). Genetically programmed cell death is recognized as an important pillar of homeostasis in multicellular organisms, but even unicellular organisms can use cell death to fend off pathogens or to limit colony size for adaptation to nutrient deprivation.¹ The non-lytic cell-death program of apoptosis was first defined on the basis of its morphological features,² which include cytoplasmic vacuolation, nuclear condensation, and plasma membrane blebbing. The underlying molecular mechanisms emerged later after advances in three disparate fields. First came the realization that certain cells in the genetically tractable nematode Caenorhabditis elegans reproducibly undergo apoptosis.³ Subsequent genetic screens identified both mediators of cell death (egl-1, ced-3, and ced-4) and an inhibitor of cell death (ced-9), although at that time they could not be ascribed recognizable biochemical activities.4,5 Insight on ced-3 function came from the identification of the mammalian protease responsible for maturation of pro-inflammatory interleukin-1ß (IL-1ß).^{6,7} Homology between CED-3 and this IL-1 β converting enzyme, now called caspase-1, prompted the realization that CED-3 is a protease⁸ and that programmed cell death in C. elegans is driven by proteolvsis.

The *ced-9* gene had a functional counterpart in mammalian B cell lymphoma gene 2 (*BCL-2*), a proto-oncogene activated by chromosomal translocation in human follicular lymphoma.⁹ Rather than inducing proliferation like many other oncogenes, *BCL-2* inhibited cell death¹⁰ and could functionally substitute for *ced-9* in the worm.^{5,11} Following these pivotal discoveries, additional mammalian caspases (cysteine-dependent aspartate-directed proteases) were identified. Moreover, *BCL-2* turned out to be part of a larger family of adjudicators controlling activation of caspases in what is referred to as the intrinsic

apoptosis pathway. Further complexity emerged in the form of an extrinsic apoptosis pathway and the commandeering of death pathway components by pathogens. Studies of infected cells showed that certain forms of necrosis, where cells burst and release pro-inflammatory contents, were also genetically programmed. These cell-death pathways are referred to as pyroptosis¹² and necroptosis.¹³ Having many ways to die is an effective host strategy against pathogens seeking to preserve their replicative niche.

It is estimated that a staggering 10¹¹ cells undergo programmed cell death each day in an adult human, which is equivalent to our entire body weight in the course of a year.¹⁴ Cell proliferation maintains the status quo as the body rids itself of old, dysfunctional, infected, or mutated cells. Therefore, it makes intuitive sense that disrupting the homeostatic balance between cell proliferation and cell death will result in organismal dysfunction. Indeed, too little cell death contributes to diseases of excess proliferation, such as cancer, and too much cell death to degenerative disorders, like neurodegenerative diseases.¹⁵ Here, we review our present understanding of the various pathways to cell death. The literature is extensive and, at times, confusing and even contradictory. As such, at the risk of missing certain advances, we have chosen to emphasize concepts that have been pressure-tested through a combination of biochemistry and genetics and are therefore likely to stand the test of time.

INTRINSIC APOPTOSIS—FROM WORMS TO MAMMALS

Many aspects of intrinsic apoptosis signaling in *C. elegans* are conserved in mammals, but there is greater complexity in the mammalian cell death pathway (Figure 1). Similarities include the use of a scaffolding protein to co-ordinate caspase activation. CED-4 serves this function for *C. elegans* caspase CED-3,¹⁶ whereas apoptotic peptidase activating factor 1







Pro-survival BCL-2 family proteins restrain BAX and BAK in healthy mammals compared with apoptosis signaling in *C. elegans* and *Drosophila* Pro-survival BCL-2 family proteins restrain BAX and BAK in healthy mammalian cells. Following an apoptotic stimulus, upregulated BH3-only proteins bind to the pro-survival BCL-2 proteins, liberating BAX and BAK from the restraint of the pro-survival BCL-2 proteins. BAX and BAK then form oligomers that cause mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP). Cytochrome *c* released into the cytoplasm binds to APAF-1, triggering assembly of the apoptosome scaffold that activates the initiator caspase, caspase-9. Caspase-3 and -7, which are proteolytically activated by caspase-9, execute the cleavage events that dismantle the apoptotic cell. XIAP, an inhibitor of caspase-3, -7, and -9, is neutralized by DIABLO or HTRA2, which like cytochrome *c*, are released from permeabilized mitochondria. In *Drosophila*, the ubiquitin ligase death-associated inhibitor of apoptosis 1 (DIAP1) suppresses apoptosis by targeting the initiator caspase Dronc for proteasomal degradation. Apoptotic stimuli upregulate Reaper, Hid, and Grim, which bind to DIAP1 and liberate Dronc for activation by the APAF-1 homolog death-associated APAF-1-related killer (DARK). Active Dronc then cleaves and activates the executioner caspases death-related ICE-like caspase (DrICE) and death caspase-1 (Dcp-1). In *C. elegans*, live cells use the BCL-2 homolog CED-9 to restrain the APAF-1 homolog CED-4. Apoptotic stimuli upregulate the BH3-only protein EGL-1, which binds to CED-9 and thereby frees CED-4 to activate CED-3.

(APAF-1) mediates oligomerization of mammalian caspase-9.¹⁷ This process is unleashed when BCL-2 homology region 3 (BH3)-only proteins (EGL-1 in C. elegans¹⁸; BCL-2 associated agonist of cell death [BAD], BH3-interacting domain death agonist [BID], BCL-2 interacting killer [BIK], BCL-2 interacting mediator of cell death [BIM], BCL-2 modifying factor [BMF], harakiri [HRK], NOXA [also called phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate induced protein 1], and p53 upregulated modulator of apoptosis [PUMA] in mammals¹⁹) bind to and thereby inhibit pro-survival members of the BCL-2 protein family (CED-9 in C. elegans⁵; BCL-2, BCL-XL, myeloid cell leukemia gene 1 [MCL-1], BCL-W, and BCL-2 related gene expressed in fetal liver [BFL-1; called A1 in mice] in mammals¹⁹). Expression of these BH3only proteins is increased in response to developmental cues or stress stimuli (for example, nutrient deprivation, DNA damage, and endoplasmic reticulum [ER] stress) through diverse transcriptional and post-translational mechanisms.¹

In C. elegans, CED-9 keeps cells alive by preventing the adaptor CED-4 from activating the caspase CED-3.¹⁶ By contrast, mammalian pro-survival BCL-2 family proteins prevent caspase activation by restraining their pro-apoptotic relatives, BCL-2 associated X (BAX) and BCL-2 antagonist/killer 1 (BAK). Unchecked BAX and/or BAK oligomerize and cause mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP), thereby releasing mitochondrial factors into the cytoplasm that promote apoptosis.²⁰ Cytochrome c released into the cytoplasm interacts with the adaptor APAF-1, promoting assembly of the apoptosome complex that facilitates dimerization and activation of the initiator caspase, caspase-9.17 The mitochondrial release of DIABLO (also called second mitochondria-derived activator of caspase [SMAC]) or HtrA serine peptidase 2 (HTRA2) prevents caspase inhibition by x-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein (XIAP).²¹ Although C. elegans does not have a BAK- or BAXlike protein, other worms do.²² Therefore, C. elegans may be an oddity of evolution that dispensed with mitochondrial regulation of apoptosis because CED-9 acquired the ability to curtail caspase activation in a more direct manner through binding to CED-4. Alternatively, CED-9 bound by the BH3-only protein EGL-1 may function like BAX or BAK. There is evidence for CED-9 associating with the mitochondrial outer membrane,²³ but the subcellular localization of EGL-1 is less clear.

Mammalian caspase-9 proteolytically activates the so-called executioner caspases (caspase-3, -7, and possibly -6), resulting in the cleavage of hundreds of cellular proteins.²⁴ Some of these cleavage events help dismantle the cell, while others activate processes that promote phagocytic engulfment of apoptotic cells and their membrane-enveloped fragments (called apoptotic bodies). An example of the former is caspase-3 or -7 cleavage of inhibitor of caspase-activated deoxyribonuclease (ICAD), which releases caspase-activated deoxyribonuclease (ICAD) to mediate inter-nucleosomal cleavage of chromosomal DNA.²⁵ An example of the latter is proteolytic activation of the scramblase XK related 8 (XKR8), which causes phosphatidylserine to be displayed on the cell surface as an "eat me" signal to phagocytes.²⁶

Phagocytosis is important for the non-inflammatory nature of apoptosis. If apoptotic cells fail to be "eaten," they can eventually develop membrane damage.² The release of intracellular



damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) sounds the "alarm" to neighboring cells and triggers a pro-inflammatory response. DAMPs include DNA, ATP, and proteins such as high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) and IL-1 α . The processes regulating the phagocytosis of apoptotic cells are conserved through evolution,²⁶ underscoring their importance for normal development and adult tissue homeostasis.

The fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* is another model organism that has been used to study programmed cell death. Debcl, Buffy, and Sayonara in *Drosophila* belong to the BCL-2 protein family, but they have a more limited role in programmed cell death than their mammalian and *C. elegans* counterparts.^{27,28} *Drosophila* caspases are largely held in check by inhibitor of apoptosis proteins (IAPs) (Figure 1). Programmed cell death in *Drosophila* is triggered by the induced expression of the IAP inhibitors, Reaper, Hid, and Grim.²⁷ Mammalian IAPs also play important roles in restraining cell death, but XIAP is the only mammalian IAP to target caspases directly, inhibiting caspase-3, -7, and -9.²¹

THE BCL-2 PROTEIN FAMILY

Members of the BCL-2 family can be divided into three subgroups: the pro-apoptotic BH3-only proteins, the pro-survival proteins, and the pro-apoptotic effector proteins. The mammalian pro-survival proteins have four BH regions, a C-terminal transmembrane (TM) domain, and a hydrophobic surface groove that mediates interactions with the BH3 domain of the two proapoptotic sub-groups of the BCL-2 family.¹⁹ The effectors, BAX, BAK, and BCL-2-related ovarian killer (BOK), have a very similar structure to the pro-survival proteins.²⁰ They too have four BH regions (the BH4 domain defined by a conserved sequence motif²⁹), a TM domain, and a BH3-binding surface groove. By contrast, many of the BH3-only proteins are unstructured unless bound to a pro-survival family member.¹⁹

PRO-APOPTOTIC EFFECTORS BAX, BAK, AND BOK

Most healthy cells express detectable amounts of BAX and BAK, although some cells express one much more than the other. Mice lacking BAX exhibit male sterility and minor splenomegaly,³⁰ whereas BAK-deficient mice are largely normal.³¹ However, the combined loss of BAX and BAK typically produces severe craniofacial abnormalities that are lethal at birth.^{31,32} This phenotype is exacerbated in mice that also lack BOK.³² BOK differs from BAX and BAK in that it does not appear to be inhibited by pro-survival BCL-2 proteins.33 The abundance and activity of BOK are instead suppressed by gp78, a ubiquitin ligase that resides on the ER and targets BOK for proteasomal degradation.³³ Cells from $Bax^{-/-}Bak^{-/-}$ or $Bax^{-/-}Bak^{-/-}Bok^{-/-}$ mice are profoundly resistant to all intrinsic apoptosis stimuli tested, 31,32 demonstrating that BAX and BAK have largely overlapping functions as essential effectors of apoptosis, whereas BOK has an ancillary role.

Despite their extensive functional overlap, there are notable differences between BAX and BAK. Although both interact with pro-survival BCL-2 proteins on the outer mitochondrial membrane, most BAX in healthy cells is cytoplasmic with its TM



domain embedded within its hydrophobic groove.²⁰ How the TM domain is displaced to allow BAX activation is not well understood. Another difference between BAX and BAK is that BCL-2 is thought to mainly restrain BAX, with MCL-1 inhibiting BAK, whereas BCL-XL can effectively inhibit both proteins.³⁴ Curiously, voltage-dependent anion channel 2 (VDAC2) allows BAX to localize to the outer mitochondrial membrane to kill cells but, conversely, can inhibit activation of BAK.²⁰ Despite many years of intense study, the structures of the BAX or BAK pores that mediate MOMP remain elusive.

BH3-ONLY PROTEINS

BIM, PUMA, and proteolytically cleaved BID (termed truncated BID or tBID) bind with high affinity to all pro-survival BCL-2 proteins and therefore are potent initiators of apoptosis.¹⁹ The other BH3-only proteins bind in a more selective manner. NOXA only binds to MCL-1 and A1/BFL-1, whereas BAD, BIK, and HRK mainly bind to BCL-XL and, to a lesser extent, BCL-2 and BCL-W. Therefore, these BH3-only proteins tend to be less potent killers because they only inhibit some of the pro-survival BCL-2 proteins safeguarding a cell. Certain BH3-only proteins, particularly BIM, PUMA, and tBID, may also trigger apoptosis by interacting directly with BAX and BAK.^{19,35} However, analyses of cell lines lacking all BH3-only proteins indicate that these interactions are dispensable for initiating apoptosis. The cells still undergo BAX- or BAK-mediated apoptosis when the pro-survival BCL-2 proteins safeguarding cells are removed genetically or inhibited with small-molecule BH3 mimetics.³⁶ Collectively, these findings are consistent with a model in which BAX and BAK need to be restrained by pro-survival BCL-2 proteins to maintain cell viability. Apoptosis is initiated when the pro-survival BCL-2 proteins are neutralized by BH3-only proteins or proteasomal degradation (described below).

The BH3-only proteins that initiate apoptosis depend on the stress stimulus and cell type. BIM plays a major role in immunological tolerance by deleting self-reactive B and T cells.¹⁹ It also aids immune cell homeostasis by removing B and T cells that are no longer needed after an immune response.37,38 BIM and PUMA contribute to apoptosis triggered by growth factor deprivation, deregulated calcium flux, glucocorticoids, or ER stress. The transcription factor and tumor suppressor p53 (also called transformation related protein 53 [TRP53] in mice and tumor protein p53 [TP53] in humans) promotes apoptosis by inducing expression of Puma and Noxa.¹⁹ BID is activated upon proteolytic cleavage by either caspase-8 in the extrinsic apoptosis pathway (described below)³⁹ or granzyme B, the latter entering the cell through perforin pores after its release from cytotoxic T cells or natural killer cells.⁴⁰ Analyses of gene-targeted mice suggest that BMF, BAD, BIK, and HRK have less prominent roles in the initiation of apoptosis.¹¹

PRO-SURVIVAL BCL-2 PROTEINS

All pro-survival BCL-2 proteins localize to the outer aspect of the mitochondrial outer membrane, but BCL-2 is also found on the ER and the nuclear envelope. A substantial portion of BCL-XL is cytoplasmic. BCL-2, BCL-XL, and BCL-W are relatively stable,

taking many hours to turn over, whereas MCL-1 and A1/BFL-1 are labile proteins, their half-lives measured in minutes rather than hours because they are ubiquitinated and targeted for proteasomal degradation.⁴¹ Consequently, when RNA or protein synthesis cease, levels of MCL-1 and A1/BFL-1 drop precipitously, and cells that are dependent on them will die. Many viruses also make pro-survival BCL-2 homologs or structural mimics,⁴² highlighting the important role that intrinsic apoptosis plays in eliminating virus-infected cells.

Genetic studies in mice have identified critical functions of the different pro-survival BCL-2 proteins.⁴¹ Loss of A1 causes only minor defects in select hematopoietic cell subsets. BCL-W-deficient mice are also mostly normal, with the exception of male sterility. In mice lacking BCL-2, excessive BIM-driven apoptosis reduces mature B and T lymphocyte numbers and causes premature graying as well as fatal polycystic kidney disease. BCL-XL deficiency is lethal around embryonic day 13 of mouse development because this compromises the survival of platelets as well as certain neuronal and erythroid cell populations. MCL-1 loss has the most notable impact, being essential for the survival of pre-implantation embryos and a broad range of cell types, including hematopoietic populations, cardiomyocytes, and intestinal epithelial cells.⁴¹

In certain cell types, two pro-survival BCL-2 proteins must be eliminated to observe severe defects. For example, BCL-XL or MCL-1 can sustain hepatocytes⁴³ and certain neuronal populations.⁴⁴ Levels of the pro-survival BCL-2 proteins and BH3-only proteins are exquisitely balanced because halving the gene dosage can have dramatic consequences. For example, $Mcl-1^{+/-}Bcl-x^{+/-}$ mice die soon after birth with severe craniofacial abnormalities, but $Mcl-1^{+/-}Bcl-x^{+/-}$ Bird- $x^{+/-}$ mice are healthy.⁴⁵

DISEASES CAUSED BY DEFECTIVE INTRINSIC APOPTOSIS

As mentioned above, enforced expression of *BCL-2* suppresses intrinsic apoptosis and can cause human follicular lymphoma.⁹ In mice, transgenic over-expression of *BCL-2* in lymphocytes causes a fatal systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)-like autoimmune disease and a low incidence of lymphoma.⁴⁶ These findings highlight the importance of intrinsic apoptosis in safeguarding immunological tolerance as well as tumorigenesis. Of note, *BCL-2* is a more potent oncogene when hematopoietic cells also have deregulated expression of *MYC*, a transcription factor that drives aberrant cell division.⁴⁷

Abundant BCL-2 renders malignant and non-transformed cells resistant to a broad range of anti-cancer drugs, regardless of whether the drugs kill in a p53-dependent or p53-independent manner.⁴⁸ BH3-only proteins, in particular BIM and PUMA, fail to neutralize the excess BCL-2, and this prevents intrinsic apoptosis. Hence, small-molecule BH3 mimetics that emulate the function of BH3-only proteins were developed for cancer therapy. The BCL-2-specific BH3 mimetic Venetoclax (also called ABT-199) is currently approved by many regulatory authorities for the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL)⁴⁹ and acute myeloid leukemia (AML),⁵⁰ malignancies that rely largely on BCL-2 rather than other pro-survival BCL-2 proteins for their survival. BH3 mimetics inhibiting MCL-1 and







(legend on next page)



BCL-XL have also been developed, but their on-target toxicity in normal, healthy cells presents a challenge.⁵¹ Targeting BCL-XL can cause thrombocytopenia, whereas cardiomyocytes, intestinal epithelial cells, and hematopoietic subsets are among the cell types that may not tolerate MCL-1 inhibitors. Strategies to limit toxicity in normal, healthy cells may include the use of antibody conjugates that selectively deliver BH3 mimetics to cancer cells.

There are scenarios when inhibition of intrinsic apoptosis prevents rather than promotes tumor development. For example, low-dose γ -radiation-induced thymic lymphoma in mice is prevented by *Puma* deficiency.^{52,53} The explanation is that stress-induced apoptosis of mature leukocytes triggers massive mobilization and proliferation of hematopoietic progenitors, which facilitates the acquisition of oncogenic lesions. Enhanced apoptosis owing to MCL-1 deficiency promotes tumorigenesis in the mouse intestine.⁵⁴ Therefore, it will be important to understand whether BH3 mimetic drug-induced apoptosis of normal cells might lay the seed for secondary therapy-related cancers.

Excessive intrinsic apoptosis has been linked to acute and chronic degenerative diseases, including ischemia-reperfusion injury and neurodegenerative diseases.¹⁵ Targeting caspases has not proven a fruitful therapeutic strategy to date, with inhibitors exhibiting limited efficacy as well as toxicity in clinical trials.⁵⁵ Importantly, inhibiting caspases in the intrinsic apoptosis pathway does not prevent mitochondrial dysfunction and cell death after MOMP. There are efforts to identify inhibitors of BAX or BAK,¹⁵ but whether there is a therapeutic window for disease intervention is unclear given the potential for on-target toxicity.

EXTRINSIC APOPTOSIS AND NECROPTOSIS

The extrinsic apoptosis and necroptosis pathways are largely triggered by extracellular ligands that engage death receptors on the cell surface. An apoptotic signal is transduced through the protease caspase-8, whereas necroptosis, a lytic form of cell death,¹³ may occur when caspase-8 is inhibited. Necroptosis probably evolved as an anti-viral defense mechanism because certain viruses, including cytomegalovirus, herpes simplex viruses, vaccinia virus, and adenovirus, encode inhibitors of caspase-8 to prevent apoptosis.⁵⁶ Consistent with this notion, necroptosis-deficient mice are more susceptible than wild-type (WT) mice to infection with vaccinia virus or mutant cowpox virus lacking the necroptosis inhibitor viral inducer of RIPK3 degradation (vIRD).^{57,58} Like caspase-9 in the intrinsic apoptosis pathway, caspase-8 cleaves and thereby activates caspase-3 and -7 to execute the apoptotic program.⁵⁹

Ligand and death receptor pairings include FAS ligand (FASL) with FAS, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) or lymphotoxin- α with TNF receptor 1 (TNFR1), TNF-like cytokine 1A (TL1A) with death receptor 3 (DR3), and TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) with TRAILR1 or TRAILR2 (mice have only one TRAILR).⁶⁰ Despite their name, death receptors do not exclusively signal cell death. Many cells respond to TNFR1 engagement not by dying but by activating the nuclear factor κ B (NF- κ B) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathways that promote the expression of pro-inflammatory and pro-survival genes.⁶¹ Perturbations to the TNFR1 signaling machinery can favor the induction of cell death and are discussed below.

Beyond death receptors, the extrinsic apoptosis or necroptosis machineries can also be engaged by Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3),⁶² TLR4,⁶³ or Z-DNA binding protein 1 (ZBP1).^{64,65} TLR3 is activated by double-stranded RNA in the endosomal compartment, whereas TLR4 senses extracellular bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and then traffics to the endosomal compartment. ZBP1 is an intracellular sensor of Z-form nucleic acids, which have a left-handed double-stranded helical structure and are produced by some viruses and endogenous retroviral elements.⁶⁶ The typical output of TLR3 or TLR4 signaling is pro-inflammatory and pro-survival gene expression, but as seen for TNFR1 signaling, certain cellular deficiencies can skew signaling toward cell death. Thus, a theme emerges where cells exposed to some death ligands or pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) can escalate their response from pro-inflammatory and pro-survival gene expression to either extrinsic apoptosis or necroptosis if there is an immediate threat, reflected in the compromise of key signaling components.

TNFR1

TNFR1 is expressed on many cell types and has important roles in pathogen defense,^{67,68} but sustained activation of the receptor can fuel chronic inflammation.^{69,70} Indeed, TNF inhibitors, which block both TNF-TNFR1 and TNF-TNFR2 signaling, are widely used to treat auto-inflammatory disorders, such as inflammatory bowel disease, rheumatoid arthritis, and ankylosing spondylitis.⁷¹ Like all death receptors, TNFR1 has a cytoplasmic homotypic protein interaction motif called a death domain (DD). Upon ligand-induced TNFR1 oligomerization, the DD initiates the assembly of what is known as complex I by recruiting the adaptor TNFRSF1A associated via death domain (TRADD) and receptor interacting protein kinase 1 (RIPK1) (Figure 2A). TRADD binds to TNF receptor associated factor 2 (TRAF2), an

Figure 2. The extrinsic apoptosis signaling pathway

⁽A) Binding of TNF to TNFR1 on the cell surface promotes assembly of TNFR1-associated complex I, resulting in NF-κB and MAPK signaling that induces the expression of pro-inflammatory and pro-survival genes. A secondary, cytosolic complex II is nucleated by either TRADD or RIPK1. Complex II only triggers apoptosis when levels of cFLIP are low, or the mechanisms that suppress the activation of RIPK1 are disabled (see main text). If caspase-8 is eliminated or inhibited, then complex II becomes more stable because RIPK1 is not cleaved. Active RIPK1 can then trigger necroptosis by promoting oligomerization and activation of RIPK3, which in turn phosphorylates MLKL. The oligomerization and translocation of phosphorylated MLKL to the plasma membrane promotes cell lysis.

⁽B) Binding of FASL or TRAIL to their cognate death receptors, FAS or TRAILR, promotes the assembly of a primary death-inducing signaling complex. In "type 1" cells (for example, lymphocytes), direct activation of caspase-3 and -7 by caspase-8 is sufficient to cause apoptosis. By contrast, type 2 cells (for example, hepatocytes) fail to die unless caspase-8 also cleaves BID. The resulting tBID causes BAX/BAK-dependent release of HTRA2 and DIABLO from mitochondria and thereby relieves caspase inhibition by XIAP. Like TNF, FASL and TRAIL can trigger necroptosis when caspase-8 is inhibited, and this death is dependent on the kinase activities of RIPK1 and RIPK3. If the cells lack RIPK3, however, then formation of a secondary, cytoplasmic FADDosome complex can drive gene expression programs that increase, for example, the levels of cytokines and chemokines.

adaptor for the ubiquitin ligases cIAP1 and cIAP2. By adding K63-linked polyubiquitin chains to RIPK1 and other components of complex I, cIAP1 and cIAP2 help dock the linear ubiquitin chain assembly complex (LUBAC) and TGF-beta activated kinase 1 (TAK1). LUBAC then adds M1-linked polyubiquitin chains to TNFR1, TRADD, and RIPK1, which enhances recruitment of the canonical IkB kinase (IKK) complex. Activation of TAK1 and IKK within complex I engages the NF-kB and MAPK-signaling pathways that drive the expression of pro-inflammatory genes (for example, *Ccl2, Ccl3, Ccl5, Csf2, Cxcl1, Cxcl2, II1b, II6, Nos2*, and *Tnf*) and pro-survival genes (for example, *Bclx* and *Cflar*, the gene encoding cellular FLICE-inhibitory protein [cFLIP]).⁶¹

LUBAC is also required for TANK binding kinase 1 (TBK1) and IKK ϵ to enter complex I. These kinases phosphorylate RIPK1 and thereby suppress its death-inducing kinase activity.^{72,73} Other kinases activated by TNFR1 signaling, including IKK and MK2 (also called MAPK-activated protein kinase 2), promote cell survival by phosphorylating distinct sites on RIPK1.⁷⁴ K63-linked ubiquitination of RIPK1 also appears to suppress its kinase activity.^{75–77} Disabling any of these post-translational modifications on RIPK1 skews TNFR1 signaling toward cell death.

Precisely how activation of RIPK1 downstream of TNFR1 promotes cell death is still being elucidated. The kinase activity of RIPK1 is dispensable for TNF-induced NF-kB or MAPK signaling,⁷⁸ and the main substrate of RIPK1 appears to be itself.⁷⁹ RIPK1 autophosphorylation following DD-mediated dimerization of the kinase⁸⁰ licenses RIPK1 to enter a secondary, cytoplasmic death-inducing complex II.81 Transition of RIPK1 from complex I to complex II is enhanced by cylindromatosis (CYLD), a deubiquitinating enzyme that removes M1- or K63linked polyubiquitin.⁸² CYLD is recruited to complex I via its adaptor protein spermatogenesis associated 2 (SPATA2), which in turn binds to LUBAC.74 Whether CYLD can access its substrates appears to be further dictated by the ubiquitin-binding proteins A20 (also called TNF alpha-induced protein 3) and A20 binding and inhibitor of NF-κB (ABIN-1). Both proteins are recruited into complex I and may shield polyubiquitin chains from cleavage by CYLD.^{83–85} Consistent with this model, mouse intestinal epithelial cells lacking ABIN-1 and A20 are more susceptible than their WT counterparts to TNF-induced cell death that is driven by the activation of RIPK1.86,87

Inhibiting protein translation with cycloheximide can also sensitize to TNF-induced cell death, but in this instance, the kinase activity of RIPK1 is dispensable.⁷⁴ TRADD can nucleate complex II independent of RIPK1.^{82,88} Regardless of whether TRADD or RIPK1 forms the complex II scaffold, both proteins can engage FAS associated via death domain (FADD), the adaptor for caspase-8. The death effector domain (DED) in FADD then interacts with one of two DEDs in the caspase-8 zymogen. Tandem DEDs in caspase-8 support the assembly of helical DED filaments composed of caspase-8 and its catalytically inactive paralog cFLIP.^{89,90} The less studied human caspase-10, which has no murine counterpart, may also enter these structures.⁹¹

Proximity-induced caspase-8 homodimers, or heterodimers of caspase-8 and the long isoform of cFLIP (cFLIP_L), auto-proteolytically process to yield fully active caspase-8. Cleavage between the catalytic subunits of caspase-8 allows conformational

changes that stabilize the active site, but this is less crucial in caspase-8/cFLIP_L heterodimers.⁹²⁻⁹⁴ Heterodimer-mediated cleavage of caspase-8 homodimers may promote caspase-8 activation, at least in the initial stages. The ratio of caspase-8 to cFLIP₁ is important because abundant cFLIP₁ can suppress activation of caspase-8, presumably by limiting the overall amount of caspase-8 incorporated into DED oligomers. Shorter isoforms of cFLIP (for example, human cFLIPs and viral FLIP proteins) inhibit caspase-8 activation by perturbing the helical DED filaments that orient caspase-8 dimers.⁹⁰ Cycloheximide appears to promote TNF-induced apoptosis, in large part by reducing the amount of highly labile cFLIPL.88 Tight regulation of cFLIP_L abundance may have evolved to facilitate the rapid killing of virus-infected cells that have compromised protein translation. Some viruses have acquired their own version of cFLIPs as a means of blocking extrinsic apoptosis.⁵⁶ Other pathogens, including enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC), have acquired other means of thwarting death receptor signaling. For example, the EPEC virulence factor NIeB1 is an acetylglucosamine transferase that modifies and disables DDs, including those in TNFR1, TRADD, RIPK1, and FADD.^{95,96}

Many uninfected, primary cells respond to TNF with low-level caspase-8 activation that does not trigger apoptosis. Available evidence suggests that in this scenario caspase-8 cleaves the RIPK1 scaffold within complex II, thereby disrupting complex II before there is enough active caspase-8 to effectively cleave and activate caspase-3 and -7. Consistent with this model, heterozygous mutations that eliminate the caspase-8 cleavage site in RIPK1 enhance TNF-induced caspase-8 activation and apoptosis.^{97–99} XIAP, an inhibitor of caspase-3, -7, and -9, probably adds an extra layer of protection against the induction of apoptosis.¹⁰⁰ Other mechanisms reported to limit complex II involve lysosomal targeting of the complex by autophagy related 9A (ATG9A),¹⁰¹ and proteasomal degradation of the complex following its poly-ADP-ribosylation by tankyrase-1 and subsequent ubiquitination.¹⁰²

The "tickling" of caspase-8 by TNFR1 in healthy cells is not a wasted effort because it sets the stage for stabilization of a death-inducing complex II when pathogens inhibit caspase-8. If active RIPK1 is not cleaved by caspase-8 in complex II, then it can engage the kinase RIPK3 to unleash caspase-independent mixed lineage kinase domain like (MLKL)-mediated necroptosis (described below). Accordingly, caspase-8 inhibition by vaccinia virus B13R, cowpox virus CrmA, or small-molecule pan-caspase inhibitors sensitizes some cells to TNF-induced cell death.^{103,104} RIPK1 and RIPK3 interact by virtue of their RIP homotypic interaction motifs (RHIMs). RHIM-driven oligomerization of RIPK3 activates its kinase activity, resulting in phosphorylation of the pseudo-kinase MLKL. MLKL then forms oligomers that translocate to membranes to elicit cell lysis.¹⁰⁵ The importance of necroptosis as an anti-viral defense mechanism is highlighted by the discovery of viral MLKL-like decoys that prevent RIPK3 from activating MLKL.¹⁰⁶ Viral and bacterial proteins that block necroptosis by interfering with RHIM-dependent activation of RIPK3 or by targeting RIPK3 for proteasomal degradation have also been identified.58,107,108

Although loss-of-function mutations in human *RIPK3* have been linked to herpes simplex encephalitis,¹⁰⁹ it is uncertain if



the disease stems from impaired necroptosis of virus-infected cells because RIPK3 can have necroptosis-independent functions.^{110–112} Consistent with a necroptosis-independent role of RIPK3, rare cases of *MLKL* deficiency did not display increased susceptibility to infectious pathogens.¹¹³

In mice, excessive TNFR1-driven cell death in intestinal epithelial cells or keratinocytes is a potent driver of inflammation. For example, chronic proliferative dermatitis in LUBAC-impaired *Sharpin^{cpdm}* mutant mice is prevented by eliminating TNFR1, CYLD, FADD, caspase-8, or the kinase activity of RIPK1.^{114–116} Colitis caused by loss of the IKK subunit NEMO from intestinal epithelial cells is also prevented by loss of TNFR1, FADD, or the kinase activity of RIPK1.¹¹⁷ Therefore, TNFR1-induced cell death can drive inflammation even in the absence of pro-inflammatory NF-_KB signaling. Excessive apoptosis in the skin or intestine may disrupt these barriers and allow an influx of microbes that then drive inflammation.

In humans, TNFR1-induced cell death is thought to be an important driver of the auto-inflammatory syndromes caused by TBK1 or OTULIN deficiency. OTU deubiquitinase with linear linkage specificity (OTULIN) preserves LUBAC activity by removing M1-linked polyubiquitin from the ubiquitin ligase.^{83,118} Patient cells lacking TBK1 or OTULIN show heightened sensitivity to TNF-induced death in culture, and notably, TNF inhibition in these patients can ameliorate disease.^{119–121} In contrast to humans, mice with inactivating mutations in Tbk1 or Otulin die as embryos. Tbk1 deficiency unleashes cell death in the fetal liver that is driven by TNFR1 and the kinase activity of RIPK1,^{73,122} whereas embryonic lethality caused by Otulin inactivation (or by loss of the core LUBAC genes Hoil1 or Hoip) is only partly dependent on TNFR1 and active RIPK1.^{118,123} Some of the inflammatory lesions in humans lacking NEMO respond to TNF blockade,¹²⁴ and seeing as IKK deficiency enhances TNFR1stimulated cell death,125 they too may reflect aberrant TNFinduced cell death.

Haploinsufficiency in TNFAIP3 encoding A20 can cause autoinflammation and autoimmunity,¹²⁶ with some patients responding to TNF blockade.^{127,128} Although A20 deficiency confers sensitivity to TNF-induced cell death,^{85,129} mice completely lacking A20 develop severe early-onset inflammation independent of TNF or TNFR1 signaling.¹³⁰ The latter observation suggests that TNF-induced cell death is but one of several pro-inflammatory processes negatively regulated by A20. Mice expressing hypomorphic A20 with a disabled zinc finger 7 (ZnF7) ubiquitin-binding domain develop a TNF-driven arthritis,¹³¹ whereas mutating both ZnF4 and ZnF7 in A20 elicits the more severe phenotype that is associated with A20 deficiency.132 The impact of eliminating both caspase-8-dependent cell death and MLKL-dependent necroptosis in mice completely lacking A20 or in A20 ZnF4/ZnF7 mutant mice has not been reported. Therefore, it is unclear if aberrant cell death instigated by death receptors or the TRIF-dependent TLRs is a major driver of their lethality.

The extent to which TNFR1-stimulated cell death drives human inflammatory disease in the absence of mutational inactivation of a negative regulator of the pathway is unclear. Clinical trials with inhibitors of RIPK1 may provide insights, although as discussed in the sections below, inhibiting the kinase activity of RIPK1 can also block some forms of cell death triggered by FAS, TRAILR1, TRAILR2, TLR3, and TLR4. Rheumatoid arthritis and colitis patients treated with the RIPK1 inhibitor GSK2982772 did not show a benefit (ClinicalTrials.gov studies NCT02858492 and NCT02903966), but other RIPK1 inhibitors are being trialed in cutaneous lupus erythematosus (ClinicalTrials.gov study NCT04781816) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ClinicalTrials. gov study NCT05237284).

DR3

DR3 is not expressed as widely as TNFR1, being found predominantly on lymphoid cells, but it appears to signal in a similar fashion to TNFR1.¹³³ TL1A engagement of DR3 largely stimulates pro-inflammatory cytokine and chemokine production, but perturbations such as cIAP deficiency favor the assembly of a cytoplasmic death-inducing signaling complex. TL1A inhibitors have been shown to benefit patients with inflammatory bowel disease, ^{134,135} indicating that TL1A, like TNF, is an important driver of chronic inflammation.

FAS AND THE TRAIL RECEPTORS

The death receptors FAS, TRAILR1, and TRAILR2 also signal using two sequential complexes, but in the reverse order to TNFR1 and DR3. The receptor-associated complex signals cell death, whereas a secondary cytoplasmic complex termed the FADDosome can stimulate NF-kB and MAPK signaling in cells that fail to die. $^{\rm 136-138}$ The DD in FAS or the TRAIL receptors binds directly to FADD to activate caspase-8 (Figure 2B). Although caspase-8 can cleave and activate caspase-3 and -7 directly, FAS-induced apoptosis in "type 2" cells (for example, mouse hepatocytes) requires caspase-8-mediated proteolytic activation of the pro-apoptotic BH3-only protein BID, yielding tBID. Activation of the intrinsic pathway is needed to overcome XIAP-mediated inhibition of caspase-3 and -7.¹⁰⁰ FAS and the TRAIL receptors, like TNFR1, can signal necroptosis when caspase-8 is inhibited, and this cell death is dependent on the kinase activity of RIPK1.¹³⁹ RIPK1 is recruited to the receptor complex by FADD and subsequently engages RIPK3 to promote necroptosis.

Assembly of the cytoplasmic FADDosome requires FADD and caspase-8, but not caspase-8 catalytic activity.^{136–138} The FADDosome appears to incorporate many of the components of TNFR1 complex I, including TRADD, cIAP1/2, RIPK1, TRAF2, IKK, TAK1, and A20. FADDosome-regulated gene expression in tumor cells that are resistant to TRAIL-induced cell death has been suggested to modulate anti-tumor immune cell responses.¹³⁸

FASL and TNF are part of the arsenal deployed by cytotoxic T cells to kill their target cells, in addition to perforins and granzymes.^{140,141} Just as excessive TNFR1-driven cell death can be deleterious, excessive cell death triggered by FAS or TRAILR can cause tissue damage and inflammation.^{142,143} FASL also contributes to lymphoid homeostasis by killing lymphocytes that are no longer needed.^{37,38} Mice and humans with compromised FASL or FAS develop autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome (ALPS), a disease in which normally rare, unconventional B and T cells accumulate aberrantly.^{144–146} Loss of FADD or caspase-8 enzymatic activity, which prevents







(legend on next page)



all extrinsic apoptosis, impacts mice and humans differently. Mice unable to activate caspase-8 die as embryos owing to unchecked TNFR1-, RIPK1-, RIPK3-, and MLKL-dependent necroptosis.^{97,110,147-151} By contrast, humans lacking FADD or caspase-8 can exhibit ALPS, recurrent infections, and earlyonset inflammatory bowel disease.^{152–154} Whether necroptosis drives the human disease is unclear. Impaired caspase-8 cleavage of RIPK1 must promote inflammation because heterozygous RIPK1 mutations that destroy the caspase-8 cleavage site cause a periodic fever syndrome.^{98,99} Failure to cleave and inactivate another caspase-8 substrate, NEDD4 binding protein 1 (N4BP1), may contribute to impaired innate immunity in patients with FADD or caspase-8 deficiency. In human and mouse myeloid cells, N4BP1 suppresses cytokine and chemokine production by TLRs that signal solely through the adaptor myeloid differentiation gene 88 (MyD88).¹⁵⁵ N4BP1 is a ubiquitin-binding endoribonuclease, but precisely how it limits cytokine and chemokine responses requires further study.

TLR3, TLR4, AND ZBP1

TLR3 and TLR4 both utilize the RHIM-containing adaptor Toll/IL-1 receptor domain-containing adaptor inducing interferon-beta (TRIF) to engage RIPK1 and RIPK3 (Figure 3A). RIPK1, in turn, probably recruits TRADD-TRAF2-cIAP1/2 and FADD-caspase-8. ultimately leading to NF-kB and MAPK activation¹⁵⁶ plus caspase-8-dependent cleavage of N4BP1.155 Disabling LUBAC, or both cIAP1 and cIAP2, has been shown to push TLR3 signaling toward robust caspase-8 activation and cell death.^{62,157} If caspase-8 is inhibited, then both TLR3 and TLR4 can stimulate necroptosis.⁶³ Inhibiting RIPK1 blocks TLR3- or TLR4-induced necroptosis in macrophages, 63, 158 suggesting that the kinase activity of RIPK1 is normally required for TRIF-dependent necroptosis. However, genetic studies in mice have indicated that TRIF or ZBP1 can activate necroptosis even when RIPK1 is absent.^{150,159} Indeed, mouse RIPK1 appears to use its RHIM to suppress TRIFor ZBP1-induced necroptosis because inflammation and perinatal lethality in RIPK1 RHIM mutant mice are prevented by the loss of MLKL or the combined loss of TRIF and ZBP1.^{159,160} Consistent with RIPK1 preventing TRIF from engaging the cell death machinery, primary human fibroblasts lacking RIPK1 are more sensitive than control fibroblasts to cell death induced by LPS or the TLR3 agonist poly(I:C).¹⁶¹ Collectively, these data suggest that activation of RIPK1 might relieve its RHIM-dependent, but otherwise ill-understood suppression of TRIF or ZBP1 signaling.

The RIPK1 scaffold also suppresses caspase-8-driven cell death because *Ripk1* deficient mice die in the perinatal period unless both the caspase-8 and MLKL-dependent death pro-

grams are eliminated.^{150,162,163} By contrast, the kinase activity of RIPK1 is dispensable for mouse viability.78 RIPK1 appears to limit apoptosis, at least in part, by blocking the assembly of a TRADD-FADD-caspase-8 signaling complex.¹⁶⁴ Given that RIPK1 and TRADD seem to act in parallel and bind to the same DD proteins, loss of RIPK1 probably allows more TRADD to enter signaling complexes, coincident with impaired NF-kB and MAPK signaling and reduced expression of $cFLIP_L$.^{159,165} RIPK1 deficiency in primary human fibroblasts also enhances TNF-induced cell death.¹⁶¹ Although RIPK1 loss is lethal in mice, humans lacking RIPK1 largely exhibit immune cell dysfunction leading to lymphopenia, infections, arthritis, and early-onset inflammatory bowel disease.161,166 The broader requirement for RIPK1 in mice versus humans may reflect species-specific differences in the expression patterns of RIPK1 or differences in other pathway components.

ZBP1 has two Z α domains for detecting Z-form nucleic acids and a RHIM to engage RIPK3.⁶⁶ The ZBP1-RIPK3 interaction can trigger necroptosis through MLKL or apoptosis via RIPK1, FADD, and caspase-8 (Figure 3B). The kinase activity of RIPK1 is dispensable for this cell death. Viruses that make Z-RNA include cytomegalovirus, influenza A virus, herpes simplex virus 1, and vaccinia virus.⁶⁶ Cytomegalovirus evades cell death by producing inhibitors of caspase-8 and RHIM-RHIM interactions, whereas vaccinia virus produces a caspase inhibitor and a Z α domain protein that shields viral Z-RNA from ZBP1.^{56,167} Z-form nucleic acids produced by endogenous retroviral elements have been implicated in mouse models where skin or intestinal inflammation is caused by excessive ZBP1-dependent cell death.^{168,169}

Intriguingly, the outputs of ZBP1 signaling are not limited to cell death. ZBP1 can also promote the expression of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) independently of RIPK3, the RIPK1 RHIM, or cell death.¹⁷⁰ Unraveling this signaling pathway will be important because ZBP1 contributes to ISG-driven pathology that is caused by inactivating *Adar1* mutations.^{170–172} Human *ADAR1* mutations cause severe diseases characterized by increased ISG expression, including Aicardi-Goutieres syndrome. Adenosine deaminase RNA specific (ADAR1) has a Z α domain and appears to bind to and edit the Z-RNAs that would otherwise activate ZBP1. Given the pro-inflammatory nature of ZBP1-induced necroptosis and ISG expression, others have suggested utilizing ZBP1 agonists to enhance cancer immunotherapy.¹⁷³

PYROPTOSIS

Pyroptosis refers to cell death that is induced by gasdermin pores in the plasma membrane.¹⁷⁴ It emerged as a distinct cell

Figure 3. Cell-death signaling by TLR3 and ZBP1

(A) Double-stranded RNA binding to endosomal TLR3 stimulates TRIF-dependent signaling. LPS binding to TLR4 (not shown) also stimulates TRIF signaling, the typical output of which is gene transcription induced by interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3), NF- κ B, and MAPK signaling. Proteins that have been implicated in different aspects of TRIF signaling through genetic loss-of-function studies are indicated, but the post-translational modifications that regulate the activity of this complex and the enzymes responsible are still being elucidated. For example, the relative contributions of the ubiquitin ligases TRAF6, cIAP1, and cIAP2 to MAPK and IKK activation are unclear. TRIF has a RHIM to engage either RIPK1 or RIPK3, the former contributing to NF- κ B activation. RIPK1 probably also recruits caspase-8 and cFLIP in a transient fashion via FADD, resulting in N4BP1 cleavage and enhanced pro-inflammatory gene expression. Loss of LUBAC or cIAP1/2 has been shown to favor caspase-8 driven apoptosis, whereas loss of RIPK1 or caspase-8 promotes RIPK3-dependent necroptosis. (B) ZBP1 triggers RIPK3-dependent cell death after binding to Z-form nucleic acids, including Z-RNAs made by certain viruses or endogenous retroviral elements.

(B) ZBP1 triggers RIPK3-dependent cell death after binding to Z-form nucleic acids, including Z-RNAs made by certain viruses or endogenous retroviral elements. ZBP1 can also promote RIPK3-independent ISG expression, but the signaling mechanism has yet to be defined.







Figure 4. Inflammasome-induced GSDMD-dependent pyroptosis

Many DAMPs or PAMPs are sensed directly or indirectly by one of the inflammasome components indicated in gray. These sensors oligomerize and typically recruit an adaptor protein (ASC or NLRC4) to activate caspase-1. One exception is CARD8, which can bind to caspase-1 directly. CARD8 is found in humans but not mice. By contrast, human *NLRP1* and *NAIP* genes have several orthologs in mice. Some inflammasome sensors appear to have evolved to protect a species against specific pathogens. For example, the proteases that activate NLRP1 (or CARD8) in one species may not activate NLRP1 (or CARD8) in another. LPS forms a non-canonical inflammasome complex, binding directly to human caspase-4 and -5 (caspase-11 in mice). Active caspase-1, -4, -5, and -11 cleave GSDMD, releasing an N-terminal fragment that oligomerizes and forms pores in membranes. Caspase-1 proteolytically matures the leaderless cytokines IL-1 β and IL-18 into their biologically active forms. GSDMD pores have several important consequences. They disrupt plasma membrane potential to kill the cell, allow mature IL-1 β and IL-18 to exit the cell, and in a manner not well understood, promote NINJ1-dependent cell rupture. Mature IL-1 β and IL-18 directly.^{191,192}

death program from the study of macrophages infected with *Salmonella*.¹² The death of the infected macrophages was dependent on caspase-1,¹² the protease that proteolytically matures IL-18 and the endogenous pyrogen IL-1 β .^{6,7} Later studies re-

vealed that caspase-1, -4, and -5 in humans, and caspase-1 and -11 in mice, each cause pyroptosis by cleaving gasdermin D (GSDMD).^{175,176} The N-terminal GSDMD cleavage fragment promotes cell lysis by oligomerizing and forming pores in



membranes.^{177,178} GSDMD pores in the plasma membrane collapse ion gradients and cause an influx of water, culminating in ninjurin 1 (NINJ1)-dependent plasma membrane rupture (PMR) and the release of large pro-inflammatory DAMPs.¹⁷⁹ However, small DAMPs, including IL-1 β , can exit the cell through GSDMD pores.¹⁸⁰ In some settings, membrane repair mechanisms may limit the extent of GSDMD pore formation.¹⁸¹ Whether transient GSDMD pores can release IL-1 β from the cell without triggering pyroptosis is unresolved.¹⁸²

INFLAMMASOMES

Caspase-1 forms active dimers within cytoplasmic complexes called inflammasomes¹⁸³ (Figure 4). Inflammasome assembly is triggered by proteins that sense specific DAMPs or PAMPs, and then form an oligomeric scaffold. Some "sensor" proteins are engaged directly by DAMPs or PAMPs, whereas others detect the perturbations that DAMPs or PAMPs elicit within the cell. The former category includes absent in melanoma 2 (AIM2), which binds to viral or bacterial double-stranded DNA,^{184,185} and the NLR family apoptosis inhibitory proteins (NAIPs), which bind to the flagellin, needle, and inner rod proteins of bacteria.^{186,187} NLR family pryin domain containing 1 (NLRP1) and caspase activation and recruitment domain 8 (CARD8) sense certain infections because they each have an inhibitory domain that can be modified by bacterial or viral enzymes and targeted for proteasomal degradation.^{188–190} Removal of this inhibitory domain promotes inflammasome assembly.

The inflammasome sensors that detect PAMPs or DAMPs indirectly include PYRIN and NLRP3. PYRIN senses bacterial toxins that modify and inactivate Rho GTPases,¹⁹³ with dephosphorylation of PYRIN and microtubule polymerization implicated in inflammasome assembly.¹⁹⁴ NLRP3 responds to diverse stimuli, including the bacterial toxin nigericin, extracellular ATP, and gout-associated uric acid crystals.^{195,196} Potassium efflux from the cell is proposed to be the unifying event that drives NEK7dependent oligomerization of NLRP3,¹⁹⁷⁻²⁰⁰ but the mechanistic details of this process remain elusive. NLRP3 activation in settings of sterile inflammation has prompted the development of smallmolecule inhibitors of NLRP3. Clinical trials of NLRP3 inhibitors have focused on auto-inflammatory diseases, including osteoarthritis and neuroinflammation, as well as COVID-19.201 The PYRIN, NLRP1, and NLRP3-NIMA related kinase 7 (NEK7) inflammasomes incorporate the caspase-1 adaptor apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing a CARD (ASC), which has a CARD that binds to the CARD in caspase-1. The NAIP and CARD8 inflammasomes do not require ASC because the CARD in the NAIP-interacting protein NLR family CARD-containing 4 (NLRC4) or in CARD8 itself can engage caspase-1.^{186,187,202-2}

The CARD in caspase-4 or -5 (the human counterparts of rodent caspase-11) binds directly to cytoplasmic oligomers of bacterial LPS.²⁰⁵ This binding does not require the surface-expressed LPS receptor TLR4.^{206,207} These non-canonical inflammasome complexes yield active caspase dimers that trigger GSDMD-dependent pyroptosis.^{175,176,208} The pyroptotic cells also release mature IL-1 β because GSDMD cleavage elicits perturbations that activate the NLRP3 inflammasome and caspase-1¹⁷⁶ (Figure 4).

GSDMD-DEPENDENT PYROPTOSIS IN PATHOGEN DEFENSE AND INFLAMMATORY DISEASES

Genetic studies in mice have shown that GSDMD-dependent pyroptosis is an important mechanism for limiting the growth of intracellular pathogens such as *Salmonella*.²⁰⁹ Other intracellular bacteria preserve their replicative niche by using virulence factors that suppress pyroptosis. *Shigella* has two effectors in its arsenal to foil pyroptosis, the caspase-4 and -11 inhibitor OspC3²¹⁰ and the ubiquitin ligase IpaH7.8.^{211,212} The latter inhibits pyroptosis by targeting human GSDMD and GSDMB (described below) for proteasomal degradation.

The pro-inflammatory nature of pyroptosis is a boon in combating pathogens because immune cells, including neutrophils, are recruited to the site of infection. The downside is that excessive pyroptosis from dysregulation of the pathway can cause disease. Germline activating mutations in human NLRP1, NLRP3, NLRC4, and MEFV (encoding PYRIN) disable normal inflammasome regulation and cause a range of auto-inflammatory disorders.^{213–216} Patients with these disorders often respond to IL-1 β blockade, and aberrant IL-1 β production may reflect enhanced pyroptosis. Consistent with this notion, Gsdmd loss prevents inflammatory lesions and elevated levels of active IL-1ß in knockin mice expressing disease-associated PYRIN or NLRP3 mutants.^{217,218} Of note, Gsdmd deficiency delays rather than prevents cell death and IL-1ß release after canonical inflammasome activation in cultured cells.¹⁷⁶ Cell death still occurs because caspase-1 can also trigger the slower process of apoptosis by proteolytically activating caspase-3 and -7.219 Therefore, the type of cell death is important in vivo. Presumably, Gsdmd deficiency is beneficial in the Mefv or NIrp3 knockin mutant mice because Gsdmd-deficient cells dying by apoptosis are cleared by phagocytes before secondary membrane damage can release the pro-inflammatory, mature form of IL-1 β that is generated by caspase-1.

Gsdmd deficiency also ameliorates disease in mouse models of sepsis.^{176,220} Caspase-1, IL-1 β , and IL-18 are dispensable for LPS-induced septic shock in mice,²²¹ whereas caspase-11dependent pyroptosis in endothelial cells appears to drive vascular leak and hypotension.²²² An influx of Ca²⁺ through endothelial GSDMD pores may promote lethal coagulation and clot formation in micro-vessels.²²⁰ Collectively, these studies suggest that inhibitors of GSDMD might provide a therapeutic benefit in settings of fulminant inflammation. Intriguingly, exogenously added antagonist GSDMD nanobodies were recently reported to suppress GSDMD-dependent pyroptosis in cultured cells.²²³ It was suggested that the GSDMD nanobodies entered cells through initial GSDMD pores and then inhibited further pore formation. The initial GSDMD pores were thought to be transient and removed from the plasma membrane by the membrane repair machinery. These findings suggest that GSDMD is druggable, but whether these nanobodies can inhibit GSDMD in vivo is unclear. Since the nanobodies could only bind to human GSDMD, they could not be assessed for efficacy in pre-clinical mouse models.

Proteases other than caspase-1, -4, -5, and -11 can also cleave and activate GSDMD. Pyroptosis induced by caspase-8 cleavage of GSDMD has been implicated in the host response to *Yersinia* infection.²²⁴ Neutrophil elastase has also been shown





to cleave and activate GSDMD.²²⁵ By contrast, caspase-3 reportedly disables GSDMD by cleaving within the N-terminal pore-forming domain.²²⁶

THE BROADER GASDERMIN FAMILY

Humans have six gasdermin family members (GSDMA, GSDMB, GSDMC, GSDMD, GSDME, and GSDMF), whereas mice lack *Gsdmb*, but have three *Gsdma* genes (*Gsdma1*, *Gsdma2*, and *Gsdma3*), four *Gsdmc* genes (*Gsdmc1*, *Gsdmc2*, *Gsdmc3*, and *Gsdmc4*), *Gsdmd*, *Gsdme*, and *Gsdmf*.²²⁷ With the exception of GSDMF, each gasdermin has a conserved pore-forming domain at the N terminus that is held in check by the C terminus. Different proteases cleave the different gasdermins to liberate the pore-forming domain, which can then associate with the plasma membrane, organelle membranes, or the outer membrane of bacteria.^{178,228,229} Mice lacking *Gsdma1* (or *Gsdma1-3*),^{230,231} *Gsdmc1-4*,²³² or *Gsdme*²³³ are more susceptible than WT controls to certain bacterial or helminth infections, suggesting that many gasdermins contribute to host defense against pathogens.

Proteolytic activation of GSDME by caspase-3 in cultured cells is reported to induce either pyroptosis²³⁴ or secondary membrane damage after apoptosis.²³⁵ The physiological significance of the latter is less certain because of the normally rapid apoptotic cell clearance by phagocytes *in vivo*.²⁶ Regardless, GSDME has been shown to mediate tissue damage in mice dosed with chemotherapy drugs²³⁴ or infected with enterovirus 71.²³⁶

Granzyme B released from cytotoxic lymphocytes can cleave and activate caspase-3 to cleave GSDME, but it can also cleave GSDME directly in targeted tumor cells.²³⁷ Epigenetic silencing or mutational inactivation of *GSDME* in various tumor cells has implicated GSDME in tumor suppression.^{234,237} Therefore, experiments to see if *Gsdme* deficiency enhances tumorigenesis in sporadic mouse tumor models would be informative. GSDME-driven tumor cell lysis instigated by chimeric antigen receptor T cells has been reported to trigger cytokine release syndrome in mice.²³⁸

GSDMA is largely expressed in epithelial cells. It appears to function as a direct pathogen sensor, inducing pyroptosis after proteolytic cleavage by streptococcal pyrogenic exotoxin B (SpeB).^{230,231} GSDMB can be cleaved and activated by granzyme A, another effector protease that is released by cytotoxic lymphocytes.²³⁹ Notably, multiple splice variants of *GSDMB* have been described across different cell types, some encoding non-functional GSDMB proteins that fail to induce pyroptosis.^{240,241} It is unclear which isoforms are the most physiologically relevant, which complicates the interpretation of genome-wide association studies linking single nucleotide polymorphisms in *GSDMB* to asthma, Crohn's disease, and ulcerative colitis.^{242,243} The less studied GSDMC may mediate anti-helminth defense in mice by releasing IL-33 from goblet and Paneth cells.²³²

NINJ1-DEPENDENT PMR

PMR responsible for the bursting of pyroptotic cells is mediated by NINJ1, a TM protein expressed on the cell surface.¹⁷⁹ Eliminating NINJ1 does not prevent cell death, but it does impair the release of large intracellular molecules like lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), a standard marker of PMR, and HMGB1. *Ninj1* deficient cells induced to undergo pyroptosis in culture stand out because of their persistent ballooned morphology. The expulsion of large DAMPs from dying cells is thought to "alert" immune cells because mice lacking *Ninj1* are more susceptible than WT controls to infection with *Citrobacter rodentium*¹⁷⁹ or *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*.²⁴⁴

NINJ1 appears to be monomeric or dimeric in live cells but oligomeric in pyroptotic cells.¹⁷⁹ Purified NINJ1 forms filaments that are hydrophobic on one surface but hydrophilic on the other,^{245–248} suggesting that NINJ1 disrupts cell membrane integrity by either capping the edges of membranes²⁴⁵ or by forming nanodisc-like rings that rip out sections of the membrane.²⁴⁷ It is unclear what triggers oligomerization of NINJ1 in dying cells. One possibility is that NINJ1 senses alterations in membrane tension or lipid packing as the dying cell becomes swollen.

In culture, NINJ1 also mediates secondary PMR in apoptotic cells.¹⁷⁹ The apoptotic cells eventually swell because of declining ATP levels and malfunction of the Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase pump. Caspase-3 cleavage of GSDME and the formation of GSDME pores may accelerate the swelling via oncotic pressure. Interestingly, NINJ1 is dispensable for PMR in cells undergoing necroptosis or ferroptosis.^{179,249} Whether NINJ1-dependent DAMP release exacerbates inflammation and disease severity is an active area of investigation.²⁴⁶

FLEXIBLE ROUTES TO CELL DEATH

There is burgeoning evidence for flexible usage of the programmed cell-death pathways in mammalian cells. For example, inflammasomes in macrophages can engage either caspase-1 or -8, with pyroptosis typically prevailing over apoptosis because it is induced with faster kinetics.^{219,250} Genetic experiments in mice have unveiled unexpected routes to cell death when the usually dominant death pathway is stymied. For example, expression of proteolytically inactive caspase-8 in mice unleashes lethal necroptosis, but if MLKL is eliminated to prevent necroptosis, then ASC and caspase-1 signaling contribute to lethality.^{112,151} In another example, ileitis in mice with FADD-deficient intestinal epithelial cells is driven by either necroptosis or GSDMD-dependent pyroptosis.²⁵¹ Finally, necroptosis can trigger NLRP3 inflammasome activation within the dying cell, resulting in activation of caspase-1 and the release of active IL- $1\beta.^{252,253}$ Presumably, NLRP3 detects some form of cellular perturbation within the dying cell. Neither NLRP3 nor caspase-1 are required for the death of these cells, but they nonetheless contribute to the pro-inflammatory nature of the death by allowing the dying cells to release mature IL-1 β .

FERROPTOSIS

Ferroptosis refers to the non-apoptotic death of cells that accumulate lethal levels of iron-dependent, phospholipid peroxides in cell membranes.²⁵⁴ Cells undergoing ferroptosis rupture, but precisely how lipid peroxides compromise the integrity of the plasma membrane is unclear. Susceptibility to ferroptosis is conferred by metabolic enzymes that synthesize oxidizable membrane lipids (for example, acyl-CoA synthetase longchain family member 4 [ASCL4] and lysophosphatidylcholine





acyltransferase 3 [LPCAT3]) and iron-dependent enzymes that oxidize membrane lipids (for example, arachidonate lipoxygenases) (Figure 5). Commonly used inducers of ferroptosis include the small molecules erastin and RSL3, which act by disrupting the mechanisms that limit membrane lipid peroxides. RSL3 inhibits glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4), a lipid hydroperoxidase that uses glutathione to reduce lipid peroxides to non-toxic lipid alcohols. Erastin depletes intracellular glutathione by inhibiting the xc⁻ system that imports cystine and makes cysteine available for glutathione synthesis. Treatments that suppress the production of other radical scavenging metabolites, including coenzyme Q_{10} (Co Q_{10}) and tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4), can also sensitize cells to ferroptosis. Increasing the abundance of labile iron is another way of priming cells for ferroptosis.²⁵⁴

Ferroptosis has been implicated in many diseases,²⁵⁴ but it is difficult to test causality in pre-clinical disease models genetically because mediators of ferroptosis also have critical roles in normal cell metabolism. Detection of ferroptosis is another challenge because there is no one discriminating marker unique to this form of cell death. Lipid peroxidation must be observed, and a combination of markers evaluated to exclude other forms of cellular stress. Nonetheless, one area of active investigation is whether cancer cells can be eliminated selectively by inducers of ferroptosis. For example, some tumor cells may be more dependent than normal cells on the import of cystine.²⁵⁵

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Cell death is required for the survival and fitness of multicellular organisms but must be tightly regulated to prevent disease. A

Figure 5. Cellular components determining sensitivity to ferroptosis

Cells die by ferroptosis when they accumulate lethal levels of phospholipid hydroperoxides in membranes, albeit the precise events causing cell rupture are unclear. Ferroptosis sensitivity is determined by (1) the availability of oxidizable membrane phospholipids, which is governed by enzymes like ASCL4, LPCAT3, membrane bound O-acyltransferase domain containing 1 (MBOAT1), and MBOAT2, (2) the availability of Fe2+ for membrane phospholipid oxidation, and (3) the endogenous mechanisms for limiting reactive oxygen species (for example, CoQ10, BH4, and GPX4). Two commonly used inducers of ferroptosis, erastin and RSL3, interfere with the reduction of phospholipid hydroperoxides by GPX4 and glutathione

comprehensive understanding of celldeath signaling mechanisms has revealed opportunities to counter aberrant cell death in disease. In the case of the intrinsic apoptosis pathway, the success story has been the development of the BCL-2 inhibitor Venetoclax for the treatment of certain hematologic malignancies. Identification of inhibitors of the pro-inflammatory cell-death programs is a more recent endeavor based

on the benefits of genetically eliminating these pathways in pre-clinical models of inflammatory disease. Effectors of pyroptosis, such as NLRP3 and GSDMD, are targets of interest, along with RIPK1 as a mediator of extrinsic apoptosis and necroptosis. Key to these efforts is knowing when and where the different cell-death pathways are activated in human disease. Molecular markers of the various forms of cell death have been defined, for example, phosphorylated RIPK3 or phosphorylated MLKL for necroptosis and cleaved GSDMD for inflammasome-induced pyroptosis, but their presence in tissues is likely ephemeral owing to the rapid clearance of dead cells by phagocytes. Therefore, detection of pathway activation markers can be challenging even in pre-clinical models where the genetics tell us the pathway is active.

What really matters is whether interruption of a death pathway will result in clinical benefit. If pyroptosis is the engine that drives runaway inflammation in sepsis, then specific inhibitors of pyroptosis, like those targeting GSDMD, should be efficacious. The promise of such therapies warrants further investigation. In a similar vein, the resounding clinical success of BCL-2 inhibition motivates the development of inhibitors of MCL-1 and BCL-XL, which are commonly overexpressed in solid malignancies. Targeting these inhibitors to cancer cells will be crucial to avoid on-target toxicity in normal, healthy cells, but progress is being made. For example, a BCL-XL inhibitor conjugated to an antibody specific for the tumor antigen CD276 seems to elicit minimal thrombocytopenia while retaining anti-tumor activity.²⁵⁶ The hope is that the advances made in cell-death research over the past 50 years will continue to be translated into meaningful life-saving therapies.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Joshua Webster, Domagoj Vucic, Peter Czabotar, and Anton Gartner for their helpful suggestions.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

K.N., N.K., and V.M.D. are employees of Genentech. A.S. is an employee of WEHI: Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, which received royalties and milestone payments from Venetoclax. A.S. has also received funding for some of his research from Servier Laboratories.

REFERENCES

- Johnson, A.G., Wein, T., Mayer, M.L., Duncan-Lowey, B., Yirmiya, E., Oppenheimer-Shaanan, Y., Amitai, G., Sorek, R., and Kranzusch, P.J. (2022). Bacterial gasdermins reveal an ancient mechanism of cell death. Science 375, 221–225.
- Kerr, J.F., Wyllie, A.H., and Currie, A.R. (1972). Apoptosis: a basic biological phenomenon with wide-ranging implications in tissue kinetics. Br. J. Cancer 26, 239–257.
- Sulston, J.E. (1976). Post-embryonic development in the ventral cord of Caenorhabditis elegans. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 275, 287–297.
- 4. Ellis, H.M., and Horvitz, H.R. (1986). Genetic control of programmed cell death in the nematode *C. elegans*. Cell *44*, 817–829.
- Hengartner, M.O., Ellis, R.E., and Horvitz, H.R. (1992). *Caenorhabditis elegans* gene ced-9 protects cells from programmed cell death. Nature 356, 494–499.
- Thornberry, N.A., Bull, H.G., Calaycay, J.R., Chapman, K.T., Howard, A.D., Kostura, M.J., Miller, D.K., Molineaux, S.M., Weidner, J.R., and Aunins, J. (1992). A novel heterodimeric cysteine protease is required for interleukin-1 beta processing in monocytes. Nature 356, 768–774.
- Cerretti, D.P., Kozlosky, C.J., Mosley, B., Nelson, N., Van Ness, K., Greenstreet, T.A., March, C.J., Kronheim, S.R., Druck, T., and Cannizzaro, L.A. (1992). Molecular cloning of the interleukin-1 beta converting enzyme. Science 256, 97–100.
- Yuan, J., Shaham, S., Ledoux, S., Ellis, H.M., and Horvitz, H.R. (1993). The *C. elegans* cell death gene ced-3 encodes a protein similar to mammalian interleukin-1 beta-converting enzyme. Cell 75, 641–652.
- Tsujimoto, Y., Cossman, J., Jaffe, E., and Croce, C.M. (1985). Involvement of the bcl-2 gene in human follicular lymphoma. Science 228, 1440–1443.
- Vaux, D.L., Cory, S., and Adams, J.M. (1988). Bcl-2 gene promotes haemopoietic cell survival and cooperates with c-myc to immortalize pre-B cells. Nature 335, 440–442.
- Vaux, D.L., Weissman, I.L., and Kim, S.K. (1992). Prevention of programmed cell death in *Caenorhabditis elegans* by human bcl-2. Science 258, 1955–1957.
- Cookson, B.T., and Brennan, M.A. (2001). Pro-inflammatory programmed cell death. Trends Microbiol. 9, 113–114.
- Degterev, A., Huang, Z., Boyce, M., Li, Y., Jagtap, P., Mizushima, N., Cuny, G.D., Mitchison, T.J., Moskowitz, M.A., and Yuan, J. (2005). Chemical inhibitor of nonapoptotic cell death with therapeutic potential for ischemic brain injury. Nat. Chem. Biol. 1, 112–119.
- 14. Gilbert, S.F. (2000). Developmental Biology, Sixth Edition (Sinauer Associates).
- Li, K., van Delft, M.F., and Dewson, G. (2021). Too much death can kill you: inhibiting intrinsic apoptosis to treat disease. EMBO J. 40, e107341.
- 16. Yan, N., Chai, J., Lee, E.S., Gu, L., Liu, Q., He, J., Wu, J.W., Kokel, D., Li, H., Hao, Q., et al. (2005). Structure of the CED-4-CED-9 complex provides insights into programmed cell death in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nature 437, 831–837.



- Li, P., Nijhawan, D., Budihardjo, I., Srinivasula, S.M., Ahmad, M., Alnemri, E.S., and Wang, X. (1997). Cytochrome c and dATP-dependent formation of Apaf-1/caspase-9 complex initiates an apoptotic protease cascade. Cell 91, 479–489.
- Conradt, B., and Horvitz, H.R. (1998). The *C. elegans* protein EGL-1 is required for programmed cell death and interacts with the Bcl-2-like protein CED-9. Cell *93*, 519–529.
- Czabotar, P.E., Lessene, G., Strasser, A., and Adams, J.M. (2014). Control of apoptosis by the BCL-2 protein family: implications for physiology and therapy. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 15, 49–63.
- Moldoveanu, T., and Czabotar, P.E. (2020). BAX, BAK, and BOK: a coming of age for the BCL-2 Family Effector Proteins. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 12, a036319.
- Jost, P.J., and Vucic, D. (2020). Regulation of cell death and immunity by XIAP. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 12, a036426.
- Lee, E.F., Clarke, O.B., Evangelista, M., Feng, Z., Speed, T.P., Tchoubrieva, E.B., Strasser, A., Kalinna, B.H., Colman, P.M., and Fairlie, W.D. (2011). Discovery and molecular characterization of a Bcl-2-regulated cell death pathway in schistosomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 6999–7003.
- Pourkarimi, E., Greiss, S., and Gartner, A. (2012). Evidence that CED-9/ Bcl2 and CED-4/Apaf-1 localization is not consistent with the current model for *C. elegans* apoptosis induction. Cell Death Differ. 19, 406–415.
- Julien, O., and Wells, J.A. (2017). Caspases and their substrates. Cell Death Differ. 24, 1380–1389.
- Kawane, K., Motani, K., and Nagata, S. (2014). DNA degradation and its defects. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 6, a016394.
- Nagata, S. (2018). Apoptosis and clearance of apoptotic cells. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 36, 489–517.
- Denton, D., Aung-Htut, M.T., and Kumar, S. (2013). Developmentally programmed cell death in *Drosophila*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1833, 3499–3506.
- 28. Ikegawa, Y., Combet, C., Groussin, M., Navratil, V., Safar-Remali, S., Shiota, T., Aouacheria, A., and Yoo, S.K. (2023). Evidence for existence of an apoptosis-inducing BH3-only protein, sayonara, in *Drosophila*. EMBO J. 42, e110454.
- Kvansakul, M., Yang, H., Fairlie, W.D., Czabotar, P.E., Fischer, S.F., Perugini, M.A., Huang, D.C., and Colman, P.M. (2008). Vaccinia virus antiapoptotic F1L is a novel Bcl-2-like domain-swapped dimer that binds a highly selective subset of BH3-containing death ligands. Cell Death Differ. 15, 1564–1571.
- Knudson, C.M., Tung, K.S., Tourtellotte, W.G., Brown, G.A., and Korsmeyer, S.J. (1995). Bax-deficient mice with lymphoid hyperplasia and male germ cell death. Science 270, 96–99.
- Lindsten, T., Ross, A.J., King, A., Zong, W.X., Rathmell, J.C., Shiels, H.A., Ulrich, E., Waymire, K.G., Mahar, P., Frauwirth, K., et al. (2000). The combined functions of proapoptotic Bcl-2 family members bak and bax are essential for normal development of multiple tissues. Mol. Cell 6, 1389–1399.
- 32. Ke, F.F.S., Vanyai, H.K., Cowan, A.D., Delbridge, A.R.D., Whitehead, L., Grabow, S., Czabotar, P.E., Voss, A.K., and Strasser, A. (2018). Embryogenesis and adult life in the absence of intrinsic apoptosis effectors BAX, BAK, and BOK. Cell *173*, 1217–1230.e17.
- Llambi, F., Wang, Y.M., Victor, B., Yang, M., Schneider, D.M., Gingras, S., Parsons, M.J., Zheng, J.H., Brown, S.A., Pelletier, S., et al. (2016). BOK is a non-canonical BCL-2 family effector of apoptosis regulated by ER-associated degradation. Cell *165*, 421–433.
- 34. Willis, S.N., Chen, L., Dewson, G., Wei, A., Naik, E., Fletcher, J.I., Adams, J.M., and Huang, D.C. (2005). Proapoptotic Bak is sequestered by Mcl-1 and Bcl-xL, but not Bcl-2, until displaced by BH3-only proteins. Genes Dev. 19, 1294–1305.



- Czabotar, P.E., and Garcia-Saez, A.J. (2023). Mechanisms of BCL-2 family proteins in mitochondrial apoptosis. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 24, 732–748.
- O'Neill, K.L., Huang, K., Zhang, J., Chen, Y., and Luo, X. (2016). Inactivation of prosurvival Bcl-2 proteins activates Bax/Bak through the outer mitochondrial membrane. Genes Dev. 30, 973–988.
- Hughes, P.D., Belz, G.T., Fortner, K.A., Budd, R.C., Strasser, A., and Bouillet, P. (2008). Apoptosis regulators Fas and Bim cooperate in shutdown of chronic immune responses and prevention of autoimmunity. Immunity 28, 197–205.
- Weant, A.E., Michalek, R.D., Khan, I.U., Holbrook, B.C., Willingham, M.C., and Grayson, J.M. (2008). Apoptosis regulators Bim and Fas function concurrently to control autoimmunity and CD8+ T cell contraction. Immunity 28, 218–230.
- Li, H., Zhu, H., Xu, C.J., and Yuan, J. (1998). Cleavage of BID by caspase 8 mediates the mitochondrial damage in the Fas pathway of apoptosis. Cell 94, 491–501.
- Waterhouse, N.J., Sedelies, K.A., Browne, K.A., Wowk, M.E., Newbold, A., Sutton, V.R., Clarke, C.J., Oliaro, J., Lindemann, R.K., Bird, P.I., et al. (2005). A central role for Bid in granzyme B-induced apoptosis. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 4476–4482.
- Brinkmann, K., Ng, A.P., de Graaf, C.A., and Strasser, A. (2022). What can we learn from mice lacking pro-survival BCL-2 proteins to advance BH3 mimetic drugs for cancer therapy? Cell Death Differ. 29, 1079–1093.
- Kvansakul, M., and Hinds, M.G. (2013). Structural biology of the Bcl-2 family and its mimicry by viral proteins. Cell Death Dis. 4, e909.
- 43. Hikita, H., Takehara, T., Shimizu, S., Kodama, T., Li, W., Miyagi, T., Hosui, A., Ishida, H., Ohkawa, K., Kanto, T., et al. (2009). Mcl-1 and Bcl-xL cooperatively maintain integrity of hepatocytes in developing and adult murine liver. Hepatology 50, 1217–1226.
- 44. Fogarty, L.C., Flemmer, R.T., Geizer, B.A., Licursi, M., Karunanithy, A., Opferman, J.T., Hirasawa, K., and Vanderluit, J.L. (2019). Mcl-1 and Bcl-xL are essential for survival of the developing nervous system. Cell Death Differ. 26, 1501–1515.
- 45. Grabow, S., Kueh, A.J., Ke, F., Vanyai, H.K., Sheikh, B.N., Dengler, M.A., Chiang, W., Eccles, S., Smyth, I.M., Jones, L.K., et al. (2018). Subtle changes in the levels of BCL-2 proteins cause severe craniofacial abnormalities. Cell Rep. 24, 3285–3295.e4.
- 46. Strasser, A., Whittingham, S., Vaux, D.L., Bath, M.L., Adams, J.M., Cory, S., and Harris, A.W. (1991). Enforced BCL2 expression in B-lymphoid cells prolongs antibody responses and elicits autoimmune disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88, 8661–8665.
- Strasser, A., Harris, A.W., Bath, M.L., and Cory, S. (1990). Novel primitive lymphoid tumours induced in transgenic mice by cooperation between myc and bcl-2. Nature 348, 331–333.
- Strasser, A., Harris, A.W., Jacks, T., and Cory, S. (1994). DNA damage can induce apoptosis in proliferating lymphoid cells via p53-independent mechanisms inhibitable by Bcl-2. Cell 79, 329–339.
- Roberts, A.W., Davids, M.S., Pagel, J.M., Kahl, B.S., Puvvada, S.D., Gerecitano, J.F., Kipps, T.J., Anderson, M.A., Brown, J.R., Gressick, L., et al. (2016). Targeting BCL2 with venetoclax in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 374, 311–322.
- DiNardo, C.D., Jonas, B.A., Pullarkat, V., Thirman, M.J., Garcia, J.S., Wei, A.H., Konopleva, M., Döhner, H., Letai, A., Fenaux, P., et al. (2020). Azacitidine and venetoclax in previously untreated acute myeloid leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 383, 617–629.
- Roberts, A.W., Wei, A.H., and Huang, D.C.S. (2021). BCL2 and MCL1 inhibitors for hematologic malignancies. Blood *138*, 1120–1136.
- Michalak, E.M., Vandenberg, C.J., Delbridge, A.R., Wu, L., Scott, C.L., Adams, J.M., and Strasser, A. (2010). Apoptosis-promoted tumorigenesis: gamma-irradiation-induced thymic lymphomagenesis requires Puma-driven leukocyte death. Genes Dev. 24, 1608–1613.

- Cell Review
- Labi, V., Erlacher, M., Krumschnabel, G., Manzl, C., Tzankov, A., Pinon, J., Egle, A., and Villunger, A. (2010). Apoptosis of leukocytes triggered by acute DNA damage promotes lymphoma formation. Genes Dev. 24, 1602–1607.
- Healy, M.E., Boege, Y., Hodder, M.C., Böhm, F., Malehmir, M., Scherr, A.L., Jetzer, J., Chan, L.K., Parrotta, R., Jacobs, K., et al. (2020). MCL1 is required for maintenance of intestinal homeostasis and prevention of carcinogenesis in mice. Gastroenterology *159*, 183–199.
- Dhani, S., Zhao, Y., and Zhivotovsky, B. (2021). A long way to go: caspase inhibitors in clinical use. Cell Death Dis. 12, 949.
- Mocarski, E.S., Upton, J.W., and Kaiser, W.J. (2011). Viral infection and the evolution of caspase 8-regulated apoptotic and necrotic death pathways. Nat. Rev. Immunol. *12*, 79–88.
- 57. Cho, Y.S., Challa, S., Moquin, D., Genga, R., Ray, T.D., Guildford, M., and Chan, F.K. (2009). Phosphorylation-driven assembly of the RIP1-RIP3 complex regulates programmed necrosis and virus-induced inflammation. Cell *137*, 1112–1123.
- Liu, Z., Nailwal, H., Rector, J., Rahman, M.M., Sam, R., McFadden, G., and Chan, F.K. (2021). A class of viral inducer of degradation of the necroptosis adaptor RIPK3 regulates virus-induced inflammation. Immunity 54, 247–258.e7.
- Stennicke, H.R., Jürgensmeier, J.M., Shin, H., Deveraux, Q., Wolf, B.B., Yang, X., Zhou, Q., Ellerby, H.M., Ellerby, L.M., Bredesen, D., et al. (1998). Pro-caspase-3 is a major physiologic target of caspase-8. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 27084–27090.
- Ashkenazi, A., and Dixit, V.M. (1998). Death receptors: signaling and modulation. Science 281, 1305–1308.
- Newton, K., and Dixit, V.M. (2012). Signaling in innate immunity and inflammation. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 4.
- 62. Zinngrebe, J., Rieser, E., Taraborrelli, L., Peltzer, N., Hartwig, T., Ren, H., Kovacs, I., Endres, C., Draber, P., Darding, M., et al. (2016). –LUBAC deficiency perturbs TLR3 signaling to cause immunodeficiency and autoinflammation. J. Exp. Med. *213*, 2671–2689.
- He, S., Liang, Y., Shao, F., and Wang, X. (2011). Toll-like receptors activate programmed necrosis in macrophages through a receptor-interacting kinase-3-mediated pathway. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 20054–20059.
- Upton, J.W., Kaiser, W.J., and Mocarski, E.S. (2012). DAI/ZBP1/DLM-1 complexes with RIP3 to mediate virus-induced programmed necrosis that is targeted by murine cytomegalovirus vIRA. Cell Host Microbe 11, 290–297.
- 65. Thapa, R.J., Ingram, J.P., Ragan, K.B., Nogusa, S., Boyd, D.F., Benitez, A.A., Sridharan, H., Kosoff, R., Shubina, M., Landsteiner, V.J., et al. (2016). DAI senses influenza A virus genomic RNA and activates RIPK3-dependent cell death. Cell Host Microbe 20, 674–681.
- 66. DeAntoneo, C., Herbert, A., and Balachandran, S. (2023). Z-form nucleic acid-binding protein 1 (ZBP1) as a sensor of viral and cellular Z-RNAs: walking the razor's edge. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 83, 102347.
- 67. Rothe, J., Lesslauer, W., Lötscher, H., Lang, Y., Koebel, P., Köntgen, F., Althage, A., Zinkernagel, R., Steinmetz, M., and Bluethmann, H. (1993). Mice lacking the tumour necrosis factor receptor 1 are resistant to TNF-mediated toxicity but highly susceptible to infection by Listeria monocytogenes. Nature *364*, 798–802.
- 68. Stutz, M.D., Allison, C.C., Ojaimi, S., Preston, S.P., Doerflinger, M., Arandjelovic, P., Whitehead, L., Bader, S.M., Batey, D., Asselin-Labat, M.L., et al. (2021). Macrophage and neutrophil death programs differentially confer resistance to tuberculosis. Immunity 54, 1758–1771.e7.
- Kontoyiannis, D., Pasparakis, M., Pizarro, T.T., Cominelli, F., and Kollias, G. (1999). Impaired on/off regulation of TNF biosynthesis in mice lacking TNF AU-rich elements: implications for joint and gut-associated immunopathologies. Immunity *10*, 387–398.
- Xanthoulea, S., Pasparakis, M., Kousteni, S., Brakebusch, C., Wallach, D., Bauer, J., Lassmann, H., and Kollias, G. (2004). Tumor necrosis factor



(TNF) receptor shedding controls thresholds of innate immune activation that balance opposing TNF functions in infectious and inflammatory diseases. J. Exp. Med. 200, 367–376.

- Leone, G.M., Mangano, K., Petralia, M.C., Nicoletti, F., and Fagone, P. (2023). Past, present and (foreseeable) future of biological anti-TNF alpha therapy. J. Clin. Med. *12*, 1630.
- Lafont, E., Draber, P., Rieser, E., Reichert, M., Kupka, S., de Miguel, D., Draberova, H., von Mässenhausen, A., Bhamra, A., Henderson, S., et al. (2018). TBK1 and IKKepsilon prevent TNF-induced cell death by RIPK1 phosphorylation. Nat. Cell Biol. 20, 1389–1399.
- Xu, D., Jin, T., Zhu, H., Chen, H., Ofengeim, D., Zou, C., Mifflin, L., Pan, L., Amin, P., Li, W., et al. (2018). TBK1 suppresses RIPK1-driven apoptosis and inflammation during development and in aging. Cell *174*, 1477– 1491.e19.
- Newton, K. (2020). Multitasking kinase RIPK1 regulates cell death and inflammation. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 12, a036368.
- Tang, Y., Tu, H., Zhang, J., Zhao, X., Wang, Y., Qin, J., and Lin, X. (2019). K63-linked ubiquitination regulates RIPK1 kinase activity to prevent cell death during embryogenesis and inflammation. Nat. Commun. 10, 4157.
- 76. Zhang, X., Zhang, H., Xu, C., Li, X., Li, M., Wu, X., Pu, W., Zhou, B., Wang, H., Li, D., et al. (2019). Ubiquitination of RIPK1 suppresses programmed cell death by regulating RIPK1 kinase activation during embryogenesis. Nat. Commun. 10, 4158.
- 77. Kist, M., Kőműves, L.G., Goncharov, T., Dugger, D.L., Yu, C., Roose-Girma, M., Newton, K., Webster, J.D., and Vucic, D. (2021). Impaired RIPK1 ubiquitination sensitizes mice to TNF toxicity and inflammatory cell death. Cell Death Differ. 28, 985–1000.
- Newton, K., Dugger, D.L., Wickliffe, K.E., Kapoor, N., de Almagro, M.C., Vucic, D., Komuves, L., Ferrando, R.E., French, D.M., Webster, J., et al. (2014). Activity of protein kinase RIPK3 determines whether cells die by necroptosis or apoptosis. Science 343, 1357–1360.
- Degterev, A., Hitomi, J., Germscheid, M., Ch'en, I.L., Korkina, O., Teng, X., Abbott, D., Cuny, G.D., Yuan, C., Wagner, G., et al. (2008). Identification of RIP1 kinase as a specific cellular target of necrostatins. Nat. Chem. Biol. 4, 313–321.
- Meng, H., Liu, Z., Li, X., Wang, H., Jin, T., Wu, G., Shan, B., Christofferson, D.E., Qi, C., Yu, Q., et al. (2018). Death-domain dimerization-mediated activation of RIPK1 controls necroptosis and RIPK1-dependent apoptosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *115*, E2001–E2009.
- Laurien, L., Nagata, M., Schünke, H., Delanghe, T., Wiederstein, J.L., Kumari, S., Schwarzer, R., Corona, T., Krüger, M., Bertrand, M.J.M., et al. (2020). Autophosphorylation at serine 166 regulates RIP kinase 1-mediated cell death and inflammation. Nat. Commun. *11*, 1747.
- Wang, L., Du, F., and Wang, X. (2008). TNF-alpha induces two distinct caspase-8 activation pathways. Cell 133, 693–703.
- Draber, P., Kupka, S., Reichert, M., Draberova, H., Lafont, E., de Miguel, D., Spilgies, L., Surinova, S., Taraborrelli, L., Hartwig, T., et al. (2015). LU-BAC-recruited CYLD and A20 regulate gene activation and cell death by exerting opposing effects on linear ubiquitin in signaling complexes. Cell Rep. 13, 2258–2272.
- 84. Dziedzic, S.A., Su, Z., Jean Barrett, V., Najafov, A., Mookhtiar, A.K., Amin, P., Pan, H., Sun, L., Zhu, H., Ma, A., et al. (2018). ABIN-1 regulates RIPK1 activation by linking Met1 ubiquitylation with Lys63 deubiquitylation in TNF-RSC. Nat. Cell Biol. 20, 58–68.
- 85. Priem, D., Devos, M., Druwé, S., Martens, A., Slowicka, K., Ting, A.T., Pasparakis, M., Declercq, W., Vandenabeele, P., van Loo, G., and Bertrand, M.J.M. (2019). A20 protects cells from TNF-induced apoptosis through linear ubiquitin-dependent and -independent mechanisms. Cell Death Dis. *10*, 692.
- Kattah, M.G., Shao, L., Rosli, Y.Y., Shimizu, H., Whang, M.I., Advincula, R., Achacoso, P., Shah, S., Duong, B.H., Onizawa, M., et al. (2018). A20 and ABIN-1 synergistically preserve intestinal epithelial cell survival. J. Exp. Med. *215*, 1839–1852.

- Rusu, I., Mennillo, E., Bain, J.L., Li, Z., Sun, X., Ly, K.M., Rosli, Y.Y., Naser, M., Wang, Z., Advincula, R., et al. (2022). Microbial signals, MyD88, and lymphotoxin drive TNF-independent intestinal epithelial tissue damage. J. Clin. Invest. *132*, e154993.
- Micheau, O., and Tschopp, J. (2003). Induction of TNF receptor I-mediated apoptosis via two sequential signaling complexes. Cell *114*, 181–190.
- 89. Fu, T.M., Li, Y., Lu, A., Li, Z., Vajjhala, P.R., Cruz, A.C., Srivastava, D.B., DiMaio, F., Penczek, P.A., Siegel, R.M., et al. (2016). Cryo-EM structure of caspase-8 tandem DED filament reveals assembly and regulation mechanisms of the death-inducing signaling complex. Mol. Cell 64, 236–250.
- Fox, J.L., Hughes, M.A., Meng, X., Sarnowska, N.A., Powley, I.R., Jukes-Jones, R., Dinsdale, D., Ragan, T.J., Fairall, L., Schwabe, J.W.R., et al. (2021). Cryo-EM structural analysis of FADD:caspase-8 complexes defines the catalytic dimer architecture for co-ordinated control of cell fate. Nat. Commun. *12*, 819.
- Kischkel, F.C., Lawrence, D.A., Tinel, A., LeBlanc, H., Virmani, A., Schow, P., Gazdar, A., Blenis, J., Arnott, D., and Ashkenazi, A. (2001). Death receptor recruitment of endogenous caspase-10 and apoptosis initiation in the absence of caspase-8. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 46639–46646.
- Yu, J.W., Jeffrey, P.D., and Shi, Y. (2009). Mechanism of procaspase-8 activation by c-FLIPL. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106, 8169–8174.
- Keller, N., Mares, J., Zerbe, O., and Grütter, M.G. (2009). Structural and biochemical studies on procaspase-8: new insights on initiator caspase activation. Structure 17, 438–448.
- 94. Pop, C., Oberst, A., Drag, M., Van Raam, B.J., Riedl, S.J., Green, D.R., and Salvesen, G.S. (2011). FLIP(L) induces caspase 8 activity in the absence of interdomain caspase 8 cleavage and alters substrate specificity. Biochem. J. 433, 447–457.
- 95. Li, S., Zhang, L., Yao, Q., Li, L., Dong, N., Rong, J., Gao, W., Ding, X., Sun, L., Chen, X., et al. (2013). Pathogen blocks host death receptor signalling by arginine GlcNAcylation of death domains. Nature 501, 242–246.
- 96. Pearson, J.S., Giogha, C., Ong, S.Y., Kennedy, C.L., Kelly, M., Robinson, K.S., Lung, T.W., Mansell, A., Riedmaier, P., Oates, C.V., et al. (2013). A type III effector antagonizes death receptor signalling during bacterial gut infection. Nature 501, 247–251.
- Newton, K., Wickliffe, K.E., Dugger, D.L., Maltzman, A., Roose-Girma, M., Dohse, M., Kőműves, L., Webster, J.D., and Dixit, V.M. (2019). Cleavage of RIPK1 by caspase-8 is crucial for limiting apoptosis and necroptosis. Nature 574, 428–431.
- Lalaoui, N., Boyden, S.E., Oda, H., Wood, G.M., Stone, D.L., Chau, D., Liu, L., Stoffels, M., Kratina, T., Lawlor, K.E., et al. (2020). Mutations that prevent caspase cleavage of RIPK1 cause autoinflammatory disease. Nature 577, 103–108.
- 99. Tao, P., Sun, J., Wu, Z., Wang, S., Wang, J., Li, W., Pan, H., Bai, R., Zhang, J., Wang, Y., et al. (2020). A dominant autoinflammatory disease caused by non-cleavable variants of RIPK1. Nature 577, 109–114.
- 100. Jost, P.J., Grabow, S., Gray, D., McKenzie, M.D., Nachbur, U., Huang, D.C., Bouillet, P., Thomas, H.E., Borner, C., Silke, J., et al. (2009). XIAP discriminates between type I and type II FAS-induced apoptosis. Nature 460, 1035–1039.
- 101. Huyghe, J., Priem, D., Van Hove, L., Gilbert, B., Fritsch, J., Uchiyama, Y., Hoste, E., van Loo, G., and Bertrand, M.J.M. (2022). ATG9A prevents TNF cytotoxicity by an unconventional lysosomal targeting pathway. Science 378, 1201–1207.
- 102. Liu, L., Sandow, J.J., Leslie Pedrioli, D.M., Samson, A.L., Silke, N., Kratina, T., Ambrose, R.L., Doerflinger, M., Hu, Z., Morrish, E., et al. (2022). Tankyrase-mediated ADP-ribosylation is a regulator of TNF-induced death. Sci. Adv. 8, eabh2332.
- Vercammen, D., Beyaert, R., Denecker, G., Goossens, V., Van Loo, G., Declercq, W., Grooten, J., Fiers, W., and Vandenabeele, P. (1998).



Inhibition of caspases increases the sensitivity of L929 cells to necrosis mediated by tumor necrosis factor. J. Exp. Med. *187*, 1477–1485.

- 104. Li, M., and Beg, A.A. (2000). Induction of necrotic-like cell death by tumor necrosis factor alpha and caspase inhibitors: novel mechanism for killing virus-infected cells. J. Virol. 74, 7470–7477.
- 105. Murphy, J.M. (2020). The killer pseudokinase mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein (MLKL). Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 12, a036376.
- 106. Petrie, E.J., Sandow, J.J., Lehmann, W.I.L., Liang, L.Y., Coursier, D., Young, S.N., Kersten, W.J.A., Fitzgibbon, C., Samson, A.L., Jacobsen, A.V., et al. (2019). Viral MLKL homologs subvert necroptotic cell death by sequestering cellular RIPK3. Cell Rep. 28, 3309–3319.e5.
- Upton, J.W., Kaiser, W.J., and Mocarski, E.S. (2010). Virus inhibition of RIP3-dependent necrosis. Cell Host Microbe 7, 302–313.
- 108. Pearson, J.S., Giogha, C., Mühlen, S., Nachbur, U., Pham, C.L., Zhang, Y., Hildebrand, J.M., Oates, C.V., Lung, T.W., Ingle, D., et al. (2017). EspL is a bacterial cysteine protease effector that cleaves RHIM proteins to block necroptosis and inflammation. Nat. Microbiol. 2, 16258.
- 109. Liu, Z., Garcia Reino, E.J., Harschnitz, O., Guo, H., Chan, Y.H., Khobrekar, N.V., Hasek, M.L., Dobbs, K., Rinchai, D., Materna, M., et al. (2023). Encephalitis and poor neuronal death-mediated control of herpes simplex virus in human inherited RIPK3 deficiency. Sci. Immunol. *8*, eade2860.
- 110. Alvarez-Diaz, S., Dillon, C.P., Lalaoui, N., Tanzer, M.C., Rodriguez, D.A., Lin, A., Lebois, M., Hakem, R., Josefsson, E.C., O'Reilly, L.A., et al. (2016). The pseudokinase MLKL and the kinase RIPK3 have distinct roles in autoimmune disease caused by loss of death-receptor-induced apoptosis. Immunity 45, 513–526.
- 111. Nogusa, S., Thapa, R.J., Dillon, C.P., Liedmann, S., Oguin, T.H., 3rd, Ingram, J.P., Rodriguez, D.A., Kosoff, R., Sharma, S., Sturm, O., et al. (2016). RIPK3 activates parallel pathways of MLKL-driven necroptosis and FADD-mediated apoptosis to protect against influenza A virus. Cell Host Microbe 20, 13–24.
- 112. Newton, K., Wickliffe, K.E., Maltzman, A., Dugger, D.L., Reja, R., Zhang, Y., Roose-Girma, M., Modrusan, Z., Sagolla, M.S., Webster, J.D., et al. (2019). Activity of caspase-8 determines plasticity between cell death pathways. Nature 575, 679–682.
- 113. Faergeman, S.L., Evans, H., Attfield, K.E., Desel, C., Kuttikkatte, S.B., Sommerlund, M., Jensen, L.T., Frokiaer, J., Friese, M.A., Matthews, P.M., et al. (2020). A novel neurodegenerative spectrum disorder in patients with MLKL deficiency. Cell Death Dis. 11, 303.
- 114. Rickard, J.A., Anderton, H., Etemadi, N., Nachbur, U., Darding, M., Peltzer, N., Lalaoui, N., Lawlor, K.E., Vanyai, H., Hall, C., et al. (2014). TNFR1dependent cell death drives inflammation in Sharpin-deficient mice. eLife 3, e03464.
- 115. Kumari, S., Redouane, Y., Lopez-Mosqueda, J., Shiraishi, R., Romanowska, M., Lutzmayer, S., Kuiper, J., Martinez, C., Dikic, I., Pasparakis, M., et al. (2014). Sharpin prevents skin inflammation by inhibiting TNFR1induced keratinocyte apoptosis. eLife 3, e03422.
- 116. Ang, R.L., Chan, M., Legarda, D., Sundberg, J.P., Sun, S.C., Gillespie, V.L., Chun, N., Heeger, P.S., Xiong, H., Lira, S.A., et al. (2021). Immune dysregulation in SHARPIN-deficient mice is dependent on CYLD-mediated cell death. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *118*, e2001602118.
- 117. Vlantis, K., Wullaert, A., Polykratis, A., Kondylis, V., Dannappel, M., Schwarzer, R., Welz, P., Corona, T., Walczak, H., Weih, F., et al. (2016). NEMO prevents RIP kinase 1-mediated epithelial cell death and chronic intestinal inflammation by NF-kappaB-dependent and -independent functions. Immunity 44, 553–567.
- 118. Heger, K., Wickliffe, K.E., Ndoja, A., Zhang, J., Murthy, A., Dugger, D.L., Maltzman, A., de Sousa E Melo, F., Hung, J., Zeng, Y., et al. (2018). OTU-LIN limits cell death and inflammation by deubiquitinating LUBAC. Nature 559, 120–124.

119. Zhou, Q., Yu, X., Demirkaya, E., Deuitch, N., Stone, D., Tsai, W.L., Kuehn, H.S., Wang, H., Yang, D., Park, Y.H., et al. (2016). Biallelic hypomorphic mutations in a linear deubiquitinase define otulipenia, an early-onset autoinflammatory disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *113*, 10127–10132.

Cell

Review

- 120. Damgaard, R.B., Walker, J.A., Marco-Casanova, P., Morgan, N.V., Titheradge, H.L., Elliott, P.R., McHale, D., Maher, E.R., McKenzie, A.N.J., and Komander, D. (2016). The deubiquitinase OTULIN is an essential negative regulator of inflammation and autoimmunity. Cell *166*, 1215– 1230.e20.
- 121. Taft, J., Markson, M., Legarda, D., Patel, R., Chan, M., Malle, L., Richardson, A., Gruber, C., Martín-Fernández, M., Mancini, G.M.S., et al. (2021). Human TBK1 deficiency leads to autoinflammation driven by TNFinduced cell death. Cell 184, 4447–4463.e20.
- 122. Bonnard, M., Mirtsos, C., Suzuki, S., Graham, K., Huang, J., Ng, M., Itié, A., Wakeham, A., Shahinian, A., Henzel, W.J., et al. (2000). Deficiency of T2K leads to apoptotic liver degeneration and impaired NF-kappaBdependent gene transcription. EMBO J. 19, 4976–4985.
- 123. Peltzer, N., Darding, M., Montinaro, A., Draber, P., Draberova, H., Kupka, S., Rieser, E., Fisher, A., Hutchinson, C., Taraborrelli, L., et al. (2018). LU-BAC is essential for embryogenesis by preventing cell death and enabling haematopoiesis. Nature 557, 112–117.
- 124. Hübner, S., Schwieger-Briel, A., Technau-Hafsi, K., Danescu, S., Baican, A., Theiler, M., Weibel, L., and Has, C. (2022). Phenotypic and genetic spectrum of incontinentia pigmenti - a large case series. J. Dtsch. Dermatol. Ges. 20, 35–43.
- 125. Dondelinger, Y., Jouan-Lanhouet, S., Divert, T., Theatre, E., Bertin, J., Gough, P.J., Giansanti, P., Heck, A.J., Dejardin, E., Vandenabeele, P., and Bertrand, M.J. (2015). NF-kappaB-independent role of IKKalpha/ IKKbeta in preventing RIPK1 kinase-dependent apoptotic and necroptotic cell death during TNF signaling. Mol. Cell 60, 63–76.
- 126. Zhou, Q., Wang, H., Schwartz, D.M., Stoffels, M., Park, Y.H., Zhang, Y., Yang, D., Demirkaya, E., Takeuchi, M., Tsai, W.L., et al. (2016). Loss-offunction mutations in TNFAIP3 leading to A20 haploinsufficiency cause an early-onset autoinflammatory disease. Nat. Genet. 48, 67–73.
- 127. Kadowaki, T., Ohnishi, H., Kawamoto, N., Hori, T., Nishimura, K., Kobayashi, C., Shigemura, T., Ogata, S., Inoue, Y., Kawai, T., et al. (2018). Haploinsufficiency of A20 causes autoinflammatory and autoimmune disorders. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. *141*, 1485–1488.e11.
- 128. Aeschlimann, F.A., Batu, E.D., Canna, S.W., Go, E., Gül, A., Hoffmann, P., Leavis, H.L., Ozen, S., Schwartz, D.M., Stone, D.L., et al. (2018). A20 haploinsufficiency (HA20): clinical phenotypes and disease course of patients with a newly recognised NF-kB-mediated autoinflammatory disease. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 77, 728–735.
- 129. Lee, E.G., Boone, D.L., Chai, S., Libby, S.L., Chien, M., Lodolce, J.P., and Ma, A. (2000). Failure to regulate TNF-induced NF-kappaB and cell death responses in A20-deficient mice. Science 289, 2350–2354.
- 130. Boone, D.L., Turer, E.E., Lee, E.G., Ahmad, R.C., Wheeler, M.T., Tsui, C., Hurley, P., Chien, M., Chai, S., Hitotsumatsu, O., et al. (2004). The ubiquitin-modifying enzyme A20 is required for termination of toll-like receptor responses. Nat. Immunol. 5, 1052–1060.
- 131. Razani, B., Whang, M.I., Kim, F.S., Nakamura, M.C., Sun, X., Advincula, R., Turnbaugh, J.A., Pendse, M., Tanbun, P., Achacoso, P., et al. (2020). Non-catalytic ubiquitin binding by A20 prevents psoriatic arthritis-like disease and inflammation. Nat. Immunol. 21, 422–433.
- 132. Martens, A., Priem, D., Hoste, E., Vetters, J., Rennen, S., Catrysse, L., Voet, S., Deelen, L., Sze, M., Vikkula, H., et al. (2020). Two distinct ubiquitin-binding motifs in A20 mediate its anti-inflammatory and cell-protective activities. Nat. Immunol. *21*, 381–387.
- 133. Varfolomeev, E., Goncharov, T., Maecker, H., Zobel, K., Kömüves, L.G., Deshayes, K., and Vucic, D. (2012). Cellular inhibitors of apoptosis are global regulators of NF-kappaB and MAPK activation by members of the TNF family of receptors. Sci. Signal. *5*, ra22.



- 134. Hassan-Zahraee, M., Ye, Z., Xi, L., Baniecki, M.L., Li, X., Hyde, C.L., Zhang, J., Raha, N., Karlsson, F., Quan, J., et al. (2022). Antitumor necrosis factor-like ligand 1A therapy targets tissue inflammation and fibrosis pathways and reduces gut pathobionts in ulcerative colitis. Inflamm. Bowel Dis. 28, 434–446.
- 135. Feagan, B.G., Sands, B., Siegel, C.A., Dubinsky, M., Longman, R., Sabinho, J., Laurent, O., Luo, A., Lu, J.D., Nguyen, D., et al. (2023). DOP87 The anti-TL1A antibody PRA023 demonstrated proof-of-concept in Crohn's disease: phase 2a Apollo-CD study results. J. Crohns Colitis 17, i162-i164.
- 136. Varfolomeev, E., Maecker, H., Sharp, D., Lawrence, D., Renz, M., Vucic, D., and Ashkenazi, A. (2005). Molecular determinants of kinase pathway activation by Apo2 ligand/tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 40599–40608.
- 137. Henry, C.M., and Martin, S.J. (2017). Caspase-8 acts in a non-enzymatic role as a scaffold for assembly of a pro-inflammatory "FADDosome" complex upon TRAIL stimulation. Mol. Cell 65, 715–729.e5.
- 138. Hartwig, T., Montinaro, A., von Karstedt, S., Sevko, A., Surinova, S., Chakravarthy, A., Taraborrelli, L., Draber, P., Lafont, E., Arce Vargas, F., et al. (2017). The TRAIL-induced cancer secretome promotes a tumor-supportive immune microenvironment via CCR2. Mol. Cell 65, 730–742.e5.
- 139. Holler, N., Zaru, R., Micheau, O., Thome, M., Attinger, A., Valitutti, S., Bodmer, J.L., Schneider, P., Seed, B., and Tschopp, J. (2000). Fas triggers an alternative, caspase-8-independent cell death pathway using the kinase RIP as effector molecule. Nat. Immunol. 1, 489–495.
- 140. Suda, T., Takahashi, T., Golstein, P., and Nagata, S. (1993). Molecular cloning and expression of the Fas ligand, a novel member of the tumor necrosis factor family. Cell *75*, 1169–1178.
- 141. Kearney, C.J., Vervoort, S.J., Hogg, S.J., Ramsbottom, K.M., Freeman, A.J., Lalaoui, N., Pijpers, L., Michie, J., Brown, K.K., Knight, D.A., et al. (2018). Tumor immune evasion arises through loss of TNF sensitivity. Sci. Immunol. *3*, eaar3451.
- 142. Ogasawara, J., Watanabe-Fukunaga, R., Adachi, M., Matsuzawa, A., Kasugai, T., Kitamura, Y., Itoh, N., Suda, T., and Nagata, S. (1993). Lethal effect of the anti-Fas antibody in mice. Nature 364, 806–809.
- 143. Taraborrelli, L., Peltzer, N., Montinaro, A., Kupka, S., Rieser, E., Hartwig, T., Sarr, A., Darding, M., Draber, P., Haas, T.L., et al. (2018). LUBAC prevents lethal dermatitis by inhibiting cell death induced by TNF, TRAIL and CD95L. Nat. Commun. 9, 3910.
- 144. Watanabe-Fukunaga, R., Brannan, C.I., Copeland, N.G., Jenkins, N.A., and Nagata, S. (1992). Lymphoproliferation disorder in mice explained by defects in Fas antigen that mediates apoptosis. Nature 356, 314–317.
- 145. Takahashi, T., Tanaka, M., Brannan, C.I., Jenkins, N.A., Copeland, N.G., Suda, T., and Nagata, S. (1994). Generalized lymphoproliferative disease in mice, caused by a point mutation in the Fas ligand. Cell 76, 969–976.
- 146. Rieux-Laucat, F., Le Deist, F., Hivroz, C., Roberts, I.A., Debatin, K.M., Fischer, A., and de Villartay, J.P. (1995). Mutations in Fas associated with human lymphoproliferative syndrome and autoimmunity. Science 268, 1347–1349.
- 147. Kaiser, W.J., Upton, J.W., Long, A.B., Livingston-Rosanoff, D., Daley-Bauer, L.P., Hakem, R., Caspary, T., and Mocarski, E.S. (2011). RIP3 mediates the embryonic lethality of caspase-8-deficient mice. Nature 471, 368–372.
- 148. Oberst, A., Dillon, C.P., Weinlich, R., McCormick, L.L., Fitzgerald, P., Pop, C., Hakem, R., Salvesen, G.S., and Green, D.R. (2011). Catalytic activity of the caspase-8-FLIP(L) complex inhibits RIPK3-dependent necrosis. Nature 471, 363–367.
- 149. Zhang, H., Zhou, X., McQuade, T., Li, J., Chan, F.K., and Zhang, J. (2011). Functional complementation between FADD and RIP1 in embryos and lymphocytes. Nature 471, 373–376.
- Dillon, C.P., Weinlich, R., Rodriguez, D.A., Cripps, J.G., Quarato, G., Gurung, P., Verbist, K.C., Brewer, T.L., Llambi, F., Gong, Y.N., et al. (2014).

RIPK1 blocks early postnatal lethality mediated by caspase-8 and RIPK3. Cell *157*, 1189–1202.

- 151. Fritsch, M., Günther, S.D., Schwarzer, R., Albert, M.C., Schorn, F., Werthenbach, J.P., Schiffmann, L.M., Stair, N., Stocks, H., Seeger, J.M., et al. (2019). Caspase-8 is the molecular switch for apoptosis, necroptosis and pyroptosis. Nature 575, 683–687.
- 152. Chun, H.J., Zheng, L., Ahmad, M., Wang, J., Speirs, C.K., Siegel, R.M., Dale, J.K., Puck, J., Davis, J., Hall, C.G., et al. (2002). Pleiotropic defects in lymphocyte activation caused by caspase-8 mutations lead to human immunodeficiency. Nature 419, 395–399.
- 153. Bolze, A., Byun, M., McDonald, D., Morgan, N.V., Abhyankar, A., Premkumar, L., Puel, A., Bacon, C.M., Rieux-Laucat, F., Pang, K., et al. (2010). Whole-exome-sequencing-based discovery of human FADD deficiency. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 87, 873–881.
- 154. Lehle, A.S., Farin, H.F., Marquardt, B., Michels, B.E., Magg, T., Li, Y., Liu, Y., Ghalandary, M., Lammens, K., Hollizeck, S., et al. (2019). Intestinal inflammation and dysregulated immunity in patients with inherited caspase-8 deficiency. Gastroenterology 156, 275–278.
- 155. Gitlin, A.D., Heger, K., Schubert, A.F., Reja, R., Yan, D., Pham, V.C., Suto, E., Zhang, J., Kwon, Y.C., Freund, E.C., et al. (2020). Integration of innate immune signalling by caspase-8 cleavage of N4BP1. Nature 587, 275–280.
- 156. Chen, N.J., Chio, I.I., Lin, W.J., Duncan, G., Chau, H., Katz, D., Huang, H.L., Pike, K.A., Hao, Z., Su, Y.W., et al. (2008). Beyond tumor necrosis factor receptor: TRADD signaling in toll-like receptors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 12429–12434.
- 157. Feoktistova, M., Geserick, P., Kellert, B., Dimitrova, D.P., Langlais, C., Hupe, M., Cain, K., MacFarlane, M., Häcker, G., and Leverkus, M. (2011). clAPs block Ripoptosome formation, a RIP1/caspase-8 containing intracellular cell death complex differentially regulated by cFLIP isoforms. Mol. Cell 43, 449–463.
- 158. Polykratis, A., Hermance, N., Zelic, M., Roderick, J., Kim, C., Van, T.M., Lee, T.H., Chan, F.K.M., Pasparakis, M., and Kelliher, M.A. (2014). Cutting edge: RIPK1 kinase inactive mice are viable and protected from TNF-induced necroptosis in vivo. J. Immunol. *193*, 1539–1543.
- 159. Newton, K., Wickliffe, K.E., Maltzman, A., Dugger, D.L., Strasser, A., Pham, V.C., Lill, J.R., Roose-Girma, M., Warming, S., Solon, M., et al. (2016). RIPK1 inhibits ZBP1-driven necroptosis during development. Nature 540, 129–133.
- 160. Lin, J., Kumari, S., Kim, C., Van, T.M., Wachsmuth, L., Polykratis, A., and Pasparakis, M. (2016). RIPK1 counteracts ZBP1-mediated necroptosis to inhibit inflammation. Nature 540, 124–128.
- 161. Cuchet-Lourenço, D., Eletto, D., Wu, C., Plagnol, V., Papapietro, O., Curtis, J., Ceron-Gutierrez, L., Bacon, C.M., Hackett, S., Alsaleem, B., et al. (2018). Biallelic RIPK1 mutations in humans cause severe immunodeficiency, arthritis, and intestinal inflammation. Science 361, 810–813.
- 162. Rickard, J.A., O'Donnell, J.A., Evans, J.M., Lalaoui, N., Poh, A.R., Rogers, T., Vince, J.E., Lawlor, K.E., Ninnis, R.L., Anderton, H., et al. (2014). RIPK1 regulates RIPK3-MLKL-driven systemic inflammation and emergency hematopoiesis. Cell 157, 1175–1188.
- 163. Kaiser, W.J., Daley-Bauer, L.P., Thapa, R.J., Mandal, P., Berger, S.B., Huang, C., Sundararajan, A., Guo, H., Roback, L., Speck, S.H., et al. (2014). RIP1 suppresses innate immune necrotic as well as apoptotic cell death during mammalian parturition. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, 7753–7758.
- 164. Anderton, H., Bandala-Sanchez, E., Simpson, D.S., Rickard, J.A., Ng, A.P., Di Rago, L., Hall, C., Vince, J.E., Silke, J., Liccardi, G., and Feltham, R. (2019). RIPK1 prevents TRADD-driven, but TNFR1 independent, apoptosis during development. Cell Death Differ. 26, 877–889.
- 165. Dannappel, M., Vlantis, K., Kumari, S., Polykratis, A., Kim, C., Wachsmuth, L., Eftychi, C., Lin, J., Corona, T., Hermance, N., et al. (2014). RIPK1 maintains epithelial homeostasis by inhibiting apoptosis and necroptosis. Nature *513*, 90–94.





- 166. Li, Y., Führer, M., Bahrami, E., Socha, P., Klaudel-Dreszler, M., Bouzidi, A., Liu, Y., Lehle, A.S., Magg, T., Hollizeck, S., et al. (2019). Human RIPK1 deficiency causes combined immunodeficiency and inflammatory bowel diseases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *116*, 970–975.
- 167. Koehler, H., Cotsmire, S., Langland, J., Kibler, K.V., Kalman, D., Upton, J.W., Mocarski, E.S., and Jacobs, B.L. (2017). Inhibition of DAI-dependent necroptosis by the Z-DNA binding domain of the vaccinia virus innate immune evasion protein, E3. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *114*, 11506–11511.
- 168. Jiao, H., Wachsmuth, L., Kumari, S., Schwarzer, R., Lin, J., Eren, R.O., Fisher, A., Lane, R., Young, G.R., Kassiotis, G., et al. (2020). Z-nucleicacid sensing triggers ZBP1-dependent necroptosis and inflammation. Nature 580, 391–395.
- 169. Wang, R., Li, H., Wu, J., Cai, Z.Y., Li, B., Ni, H., Qiu, X., Chen, H., Liu, W., Yang, Z.H., et al. (2020). Gut stem cell necroptosis by genome instability triggers bowel inflammation. Nature 580, 386–390.
- 170. Jiao, H., Wachsmuth, L., Wolf, S., Lohmann, J., Nagata, M., Kaya, G.G., Oikonomou, N., Kondylis, V., Rogg, M., Diebold, M., et al. (2022). ADAR1 averts fatal type I interferon induction by ZBP1. Nature 607, 776–783.
- 171. Hubbard, N.W., Ames, J.M., Maurano, M., Chu, L.H., Somfleth, K.Y., Gokhale, N.S., Werner, M., Snyder, J.M., Lichauco, K., Savan, R., et al. (2022). ADAR1 mutation causes ZBP1-dependent immunopathology. Nature 607, 769–775.
- 172. de Reuver, R., Verdonck, S., Dierick, E., Nemegeer, J., Hessmann, E., Ahmad, S., Jans, M., Blancke, G., Van Nieuwerburgh, F., Botzki, A., et al. (2022). ADAR1 prevents autoinflammation by suppressing spontaneous ZBP1 activation. Nature 607, 784–789.
- 173. Zhang, T., Yin, C., Fedorov, A., Qiao, L., Bao, H., Beknazarov, N., Wang, S., Gautam, A., Williams, R.M., Crawford, J.C., et al. (2022). ADAR1 masks the cancer immunotherapeutic promise of ZBP1-driven necroptosis. Nature 606, 594–602.
- 174. Galluzzi, L., Vitale, I., Aaronson, S.A., Abrams, J.M., Adam, D., Agostinis, P., Alnemri, E.S., Altucci, L., Amelio, I., Andrews, D.W., et al. (2018). Molecular mechanisms of cell death: recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee on Cell Death 2018. Cell Death Differ. 25, 486–541.
- 175. Shi, J., Zhao, Y., Wang, K., Shi, X., Wang, Y., Huang, H., Zhuang, Y., Cai, T., Wang, F., and Shao, F. (2015). Cleavage of GSDMD by inflammatory caspases determines pyroptotic cell death. Nature 526, 660–665.
- 176. Kayagaki, N., Stowe, I.B., Lee, B.L., O'Rourke, K., Anderson, K., Warming, S., Cuellar, T., Haley, B., Roose-Girma, M., Phung, Q.T., et al. (2015). Caspase-11 cleaves gasdermin D for non-canonical inflammasome signalling. Nature 526, 666–671.
- 177. Ding, J., Wang, K., Liu, W., She, Y., Sun, Q., Shi, J., Sun, H., Wang, D.C., and Shao, F. (2016). Pore-forming activity and structural autoinhibition of the gasdermin family. Nature 535, 111–116.
- 178. Liu, X., Zhang, Z., Ruan, J., Pan, Y., Magupalli, V.G., Wu, H., and Lieberman, J. (2016). Inflammasome-activated gasdermin D causes pyroptosis by forming membrane pores. Nature 535, 153–158.
- 179. Kayagaki, N., Kornfeld, O.S., Lee, B.L., Stowe, I.B., O'Rourke, K., Li, Q., Sandoval, W., Yan, D., Kang, J., Xu, M., et al. (2021). NINJ1 mediates plasma membrane rupture during lytic cell death. Nature 591, 131–136.
- 180. Xia, S., Zhang, Z., Magupalli, V.G., Pablo, J.L., Dong, Y., Vora, S.M., Wang, L., Fu, T.M., Jacobson, M.P., Greka, A., et al. (2021). Gasdermin D pore structure reveals preferential release of mature interleukin-1. Nature 593, 607–611.
- 181. Rühl, S., Shkarina, K., Demarco, B., Heilig, R., Santos, J.C., and Broz, P. (2018). ESCRT-dependent membrane repair negatively regulates pyroptosis downstream of GSDMD activation. Science 362, 956–960.
- 182. Liu, T., Yamaguchi, Y., Shirasaki, Y., Shikada, K., Yamagishi, M., Hoshino, K., Kaisho, T., Takemoto, K., Suzuki, T., Kuranaga, E., et al. (2014). Single-cell imaging of caspase-1 dynamics reveals an all-or-none inflammasome signaling response. Cell Rep. 8, 974–982.

- **183.** Martinon, F., Burns, K., and Tschopp, J. (2002). The inflammasome: a molecular platform triggering activation of inflammatory caspases and processing of proIL-beta. Mol. Cell *10*, 417–426.
- 184. Rathinam, V.A., Jiang, Z., Waggoner, S.N., Sharma, S., Cole, L.E., Waggoner, L., Vanaja, S.K., Monks, B.G., Ganesan, S., Latz, E., et al. (2010). The AIM2 inflammasome is essential for host defense against cytosolic bacteria and DNA viruses. Nat. Immunol. *11*, 395–402.
- 185. Fernandes-Alnemri, T., Yu, J.W., Juliana, C., Solorzano, L., Kang, S., Wu, J., Datta, P., McCormick, M., Huang, L., McDermott, E., et al. (2010). The AIM2 inflammasome is critical for innate immunity to Francisella tularensis. Nat. Immunol. *11*, 385–393.
- 186. Zhao, Y., Yang, J., Shi, J., Gong, Y.N., Lu, Q., Xu, H., Liu, L., and Shao, F. (2011). The NLRC4 inflammasome receptors for bacterial flagellin and type III secretion apparatus. Nature 477, 596–600.
- Kofoed, E.M., and Vance, R.E. (2011). Innate immune recognition of bacterial ligands by NAIPs determines inflammasome specificity. Nature 477, 592–595.
- 188. Sandstrom, A., Mitchell, P.S., Goers, L., Mu, E.W., Lesser, C.F., and Vance, R.E. (2019). Functional degradation: A mechanism of NLRP1 inflammasome activation by diverse pathogen enzymes. Science 364, eaau1330.
- 189. Robinson, K.S., Teo, D.E.T., Tan, K.S., Toh, G.A., Ong, H.H., Lim, C.K., Lay, K., Au, B.V., Lew, T.S., Chu, J.J.H., et al. (2020). Enteroviral 3C protease activates the human NLRP1 inflammasome in airway epithelia. Science 370, eaay2002.
- 190. Wang, Q., Gao, H., Clark, K.M., Mugisha, C.S., Davis, K., Tang, J.P., Harlan, G.H., DeSelm, C.J., Presti, R.M., Kutluay, S.B., and Shan, L. (2021). CARD8 is an inflammasome sensor for HIV-1 protease activity. Science 371, eabe1707.
- 191. Devant, P., Dong, Y., Mintseris, J., Ma, W., Gygi, S.P., Wu, H., and Kagan, J.C. (2023). Structural insights into cytokine cleavage by inflammatory caspase-4. Nature 624, 451–459.
- 192. Shi, X., Sun, Q., Hou, Y., Zeng, H., Cao, Y., Dong, M., Ding, J., and Shao, F. (2023). Recognition and maturation of IL-18 by caspase-4 noncanonical inflammasome. Nature 624, 442–450.
- 193. Xu, H., Yang, J., Gao, W., Li, L., Li, P., Zhang, L., Gong, Y.N., Peng, X., Xi, J.J., Chen, S., et al. (2014). Innate immune sensing of bacterial modifications of Rho GTPases by the pyrin inflammasome. Nature 513, 237–241.
- 194. Schnappauf, O., Chae, J.J., Kastner, D.L., and Aksentijevich, I. (2019). The pyrin inflammasome in health and disease. Front. Immunol. 10, 1745.
- 195. Mariathasan, S., Weiss, D.S., Newton, K., McBride, J., O'Rourke, K., Roose-Girma, M., Lee, W.P., Weinrauch, Y., Monack, D.M., and Dixit, V.M. (2006). Cryopyrin activates the inflammasome in response to toxins and ATP. Nature 440, 228–232.
- 196. Martinon, F., Pétrilli, V., Mayor, A., Tardivel, A., and Tschopp, J. (2006). Gout-associated uric acid crystals activate the NALP3 inflammasome. Nature 440, 237–241.
- 197. Muñoz-Planillo, R., Kuffa, P., Martínez-Colón, G., Smith, B.L., Rajendiran, T.M., and Núñez, G. (2013). K(+) efflux is the common trigger of NLRP3 inflammasome activation by bacterial toxins and particulate matter. Immunity 38, 1142–1153.
- 198. He, Y., Zeng, M.Y., Yang, D., Motro, B., and Núñez, G. (2016). NEK7 is an essential mediator of NLRP3 activation downstream of potassium efflux. Nature 530, 354–357.
- 199. Shi, H., Wang, Y., Li, X., Zhan, X., Tang, M., Fina, M., Su, L., Pratt, D., Bu, C.H., Hildebrand, S., et al. (2016). NLRP3 activation and mitosis are mutually exclusive events coordinated by NEK7, a new inflammasome component. Nat. Immunol. *17*, 250–258.
- 200. Sharif, H., Wang, L., Wang, W.L., Magupalli, V.G., Andreeva, L., Qiao, Q., Hauenstein, A.V., Wu, Z., Núñez, G., Mao, Y., and Wu, H. (2019). Structural mechanism for NEK7-licensed activation of NLRP3 inflammasome. Nature 570, 338–343.

- 201. Coll, R.C., Schroder, K., and Pelegrín, P. (2022). NLRP3 and pyroptosis blockers for treating inflammatory diseases. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 43, 653–668.
- 202. Mariathasan, S., Newton, K., Monack, D.M., Vucic, D., French, D.M., Lee, W.P., Roose-Girma, M., Erickson, S., and Dixit, V.M. (2004). Differential activation of the inflammasome by caspase-1 adaptors ASC and Ipaf. Nature 430, 213–218.
- 203. Gong, Q., Robinson, K., Xu, C., Huynh, P.T., Chong, K.H.C., Tan, E.Y.J., Zhang, J., Boo, Z.Z., Teo, D.E.T., Lay, K., et al. (2021). Structural basis for distinct inflammasome complex assembly by human NLRP1 and CARD8. Nat. Commun. 12, 188.
- 204. Robert Hollingsworth, L., David, L., Li, Y., Griswold, A.R., Ruan, J., Sharif, H., Fontana, P., Orth-He, E.L., Fu, T.M., Bachovchin, D.A., and Wu, H. (2021). Mechanism of filament formation in UPA-promoted CARD8 and NLRP1 inflammasomes. Nat. Commun. *12*, 189.
- 205. Shi, J., Zhao, Y., Wang, Y., Gao, W., Ding, J., Li, P., Hu, L., and Shao, F. (2014). Inflammatory caspases are innate immune receptors for intracellular LPS. Nature 514, 187–192.
- 206. Kayagaki, N., Wong, M.T., Stowe, I.B., Ramani, S.R., Gonzalez, L.C., Akashi-Takamura, S., Miyake, K., Zhang, J., Lee, W.P., Muszyński, A., et al. (2013). Noncanonical inflammasome activation by intracellular LPS independent of TLR4. Science 341, 1246–1249.
- 207. Hagar, J.A., Powell, D.A., Aachoui, Y., Ernst, R.K., and Miao, E.A. (2013). Cytoplasmic LPS activates caspase-11: implications in TLR4-independent endotoxic shock. Science 341, 1250–1253.
- 208. Wang, K., Sun, Q., Zhong, X., Zeng, M., Zeng, H., Shi, X., Li, Z., Wang, Y., Zhao, Q., Shao, F., and Ding, J. (2020). Structural mechanism for GSDMD targeting by autoprocessed caspases in pyroptosis. Cell 180, 941– 955.e20.
- 209. Karki, R., Lee, E., Place, D., Samir, P., Mavuluri, J., Sharma, B.R., Balakrishnan, A., Malireddi, R.K.S., Geiger, R., Zhu, Q., et al. (2018). IRF8 regulates transcription of naips for NLRC4 inflammasome activation. Cell 173, 920–933.e13.
- 210. Kobayashi, T., Ogawa, M., Sanada, T., Mimuro, H., Kim, M., Ashida, H., Akakura, R., Yoshida, M., Kawalec, M., Reichhart, J.M., et al. (2013). The Shigella OspC3 effector inhibits caspase-4, antagonizes inflammatory cell death, and promotes epithelial infection. Cell Host Microbe 13, 570–583.
- 211. Luchetti, G., Roncaioli, J.L., Chavez, R.A., Schubert, A.F., Kofoed, E.M., Reja, R., Cheung, T.K., Liang, Y., Webster, J.D., Lehoux, I., et al. (2021). Shigella ubiquitin ligase IpaH7.8 targets gasdermin D for degradation to prevent pyroptosis and enable infection. Cell Host Microbe 29, 1521– 1530.e10.
- 212. Hansen, J.M., de Jong, M.F., Wu, Q., Zhang, L.S., Heisler, D.B., Alto, L.T., and Alto, N.M. (2021). Pathogenic ubiquitination of GSDMB inhibits NK cell bactericidal functions. Cell 184, 3178–3191.e18.
- 213. Onen, F. (2006). Familial Mediterranean fever. Rheumatol. Int. 26, 489–496.
- 214. Zhong, F.L., Mamaï, O., Sborgi, L., Boussofara, L., Hopkins, R., Robinson, K., Szeverényi, I., Takeichi, T., Balaji, R., Lau, A., et al. (2016). Germline NLRP1 mutations cause skin inflammatory and cancer susceptibility syndromes via inflammasome activation. Cell *167*, 187–202.e17.
- 215. Romberg, N., Vogel, T.P., and Canna, S.W. (2017). NLRC4 inflammasomopathies. Curr. Opin. Allergy Clin. Immunol. *17*, 398–404.
- 216. Booshehri, L.M., and Hoffman, H.M. (2019). CAPS and NLRP3. J. Clin. Immunol. 39, 277–286.
- 217. Xiao, J., Wang, C., Yao, J.C., Alippe, Y., Xu, C., Kress, D., Civitelli, R., Abu-Amer, Y., Kanneganti, T.D., Link, D.C., and Mbalaviele, G. (2018). Gasdermin D mediates the pathogenesis of neonatal-onset multisystem inflammatory disease in mice. PLoS Biol. *16*, e3000047.
- 218. Kanneganti, A., Malireddi, R.K.S., Saavedra, P.H.V., Vande Walle, L., Van Gorp, H., Kambara, H., Tillman, H., Vogel, P., Luo, H.R., Xavier, R.J., et al. (2018). GSDMD is critical for autoinflammatory pathology in a mouse model of Familial Mediterranean fever. J. Exp. Med. 215, 1519–1529.



- de Vasconcelos, N.M., Van Opdenbosch, N., Van Gorp, H., Martín-Pérez, R., Zecchin, A., Vandenabeele, P., and Lamkanfi, M. (2020). An apoptotic caspase network safeguards cell death induction in pyroptotic macrophages. Cell Rep. 32, 107959.
- 220. Zhang, H., Zeng, L., Xie, M., Liu, J., Zhou, B., Wu, R., Cao, L., Kroemer, G., Wang, H., Billiar, T.R., et al. (2020). TMEM173 drives lethal coagulation in sepsis. Cell Host Microbe 27, 556–570.e6.
- 221. Kayagaki, N., Warming, S., Lamkanfi, M., Vande Walle, L., Louie, S., Dong, J., Newton, K., Qu, Y., Liu, J., Heldens, S., et al. (2011). Non-canonical inflammasome activation targets caspase-11. Nature 479, 117–121.
- 222. Cheng, K.T., Xiong, S., Ye, Z., Hong, Z., Di, A., Tsang, K.M., Gao, X., An, S., Mittal, M., Vogel, S.M., et al. (2017). Caspase-11-mediated endothelial pyroptosis underlies endotoxemia-induced lung injury. J. Clin. Invest. 127, 4124–4135.
- Schiffelers, L.D., Normann, S., Binder, S.C., Hagelauer, E., Kopp, A., Alon, A., Geyer, M., Ploegh, H.L., and Schmidt, F.I. (2023). Antagonistic nanobodies reveal mechanism of GSDMD pore formation and unexpected therapeutic potential https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.20.537718.
- 224. Orning, P., Weng, D., Starheim, K., Ratner, D., Best, Z., Lee, B., Brooks, A., Xia, S., Wu, H., Kelliher, M.A., et al. (2018). Pathogen blockade of TAK1 triggers caspase-8-dependent cleavage of gasdermin D and cell death. Science 362, 1064–1069.
- 225. Kambara, H., Liu, F., Zhang, X., Liu, P., Bajrami, B., Teng, Y., Zhao, L., Zhou, S., Yu, H., Zhou, W., et al. (2018). Gasdermin D exerts anti-inflammatory effects by promoting neutrophil death. Cell Rep. 22, 2924–2936.
- 226. Taabazuing, C.Y., Okondo, M.C., and Bachovchin, D.A. (2017). Pyroptosis and apoptosis pathways engage in bidirectional crosstalk in monocytes and macrophages. Cell Chem. Biol. 24, 507–514.e4.
- 227. De Schutter, E., Roelandt, R., Riquet, F.B., Van Camp, G., Wullaert, A., and Vandenabeele, P. (2021). Punching holes in cellular membranes: biology and evolution of gasdermins. Trends Cell Biol. *31*, 500–513.
- 228. Ruan, J., Xia, S., Liu, X., Lieberman, J., and Wu, H. (2018). Cryo-EM structure of the gasdermin A3 membrane pore. Nature 557, 62–67.
- 229. Rogers, C., Erkes, D.A., Nardone, A., Aplin, A.E., Fernandes-Alnemri, T., and Alnemri, E.S. (2019). Gasdermin pores permeabilize mitochondria to augment caspase-3 activation during apoptosis and inflammasome activation. Nat. Commun. 10, 1689.
- 230. LaRock, D.L., Johnson, A.F., Wilde, S., Sands, J.S., Monteiro, M.P., and LaRock, C.N. (2022). Group A Streptococcus induces GSDMA-dependent pyroptosis in keratinocytes. Nature 605, 527–531.
- 231. Deng, W., Bai, Y., Deng, F., Pan, Y., Mei, S., Zheng, Z., Min, R., Wu, Z., Li, W., Miao, R., et al. (2022). Streptococcal pyrogenic exotoxin B cleaves GSDMA and triggers pyroptosis. Nature 602, 496–502.
- 232. Zhao, M., Ren, K., Xiong, X., Xin, Y., Zou, Y., Maynard, J.C., Kim, A., Battist, A.P., Koneripalli, N., Wang, Y., et al. (2022). Epithelial STAT6 O-GlcNAcylation drives a concerted anti-helminth alarmin response dependent on tuft cell hyperplasia and gasdermin C. Immunity 55, 623–638.e5.
- 233. Chen, K.W., Demarco, B., Ramos, S., Heilig, R., Goris, M., Grayczyk, J.P., Assenmacher, C.A., Radaelli, E., Joannas, L.D., Henao-Mejia, J., et al. (2021). RIPK1 activates distinct gasdermins in macrophages and neutrophils upon pathogen blockade of innate immune signaling. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *118*, e2101189118.
- 234. Wang, Y., Gao, W., Shi, X., Ding, J., Liu, W., He, H., Wang, K., and Shao, F. (2017). Chemotherapy drugs induce pyroptosis through caspase-3 cleavage of a gasdermin. Nature 547, 99–103.
- 235. De Schutter, E., Ramon, J., Pfeuty, B., De Tender, C., Stremersch, S., Raemdonck, K., de Beeck, K.O., Declercq, W., Riquet, F.B., Braeckmans, K., and Vandenabeele, P. (2021). Plasma membrane perforation by GSDME during apoptosis-driven secondary necrosis. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 79, 19.





- 236. Dong, S., Shi, Y., Dong, X., Xiao, X., Qi, J., Ren, L., Xiang, Z., Zhou, Z., Wang, J., and Lei, X. (2022). Gasdermin E is required for induction of pyroptosis and severe disease during enterovirus 71 infection. J. Biol. Chem. 298, 101850.
- 237. Zhang, Z., Zhang, Y., Xia, S., Kong, Q., Li, S., Liu, X., Junqueira, C., Meza-Sosa, K.F., Mok, T.M.Y., Ansara, J., et al. (2020). Gasdermin E suppresses tumour growth by activating anti-tumour immunity. Nature 579, 415–420.
- 238. Liu, Y., Fang, Y., Chen, X., Wang, Z., Liang, X., Zhang, T., Liu, M., Zhou, N., Lv, J., Tang, K., et al. (2020). Gasdermin E-mediated target cell pyroptosis by CAR T cells triggers cytokine release syndrome. Sci. Immunol. 5, eaax7969.
- 239. Zhou, Z., He, H., Wang, K., Shi, X., Wang, Y., Su, Y., Wang, Y., Li, D., Liu, W., Zhang, Y., et al. (2020). Granzyme A from cytotoxic lymphocytes cleaves GSDMB to trigger pyroptosis in target cells. Science 368, eaaz7548.
- 240. Wang, C., Shivcharan, S., Tian, T., Wright, S., Ma, D., Chang, J., Li, K., Song, K., Xu, C., Rathinam, V.A., and Ruan, J. (2023). Structural basis for GSDMB pore formation and its targeting by IpaH7.8. Nature *616*, 590–597.
- 241. Zhong, X., Zeng, H., Zhou, Z., Su, Y., Cheng, H., Hou, Y., She, Y., Feng, N., Wang, J., Shao, F., et al. (2023). Structural mechanisms for regulation of GSDMB pore-forming activity. Nature 616, 598–605.
- 242. Chao, K.L., Kulakova, L., and Herzberg, O. (2017). Gene polymorphism linked to increased asthma and IBD risk alters gasdermin-B structure, a sulfatide and phosphoinositide binding protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *114*, E1128–E1137.
- 243. Rana, N., Privitera, G., Kondolf, H.C., Bulek, K., Lechuga, S., De Salvo, C., Corridoni, D., Antanaviciute, A., Maywald, R.L., Hurtado, A.M., et al. (2022). GSDMB is increased in IBD and regulates epithelial restitution/ repair independent of pyroptosis. Cell 185, 283–298.e17.
- 244. Bjanes, E., Sillas, R.G., Matsuda, R., Demarco, B., Fettrelet, T., DeLaney, A.A., Kornfeld, O.S., Lee, B.L., Rodríguez López, E.M., Grubaugh, D., et al. (2021). Genetic targeting of Card19 is linked to disrupted NINJ1 expression, impaired cell lysis, and increased susceptibility to Yersinia infection. PLoS Pathog. 17, e1009967.
- 245. Degen, M., Santos, J.C., Pluhackova, K., Cebrero, G., Ramos, S., Jankevicius, G., Hartenian, E., Guillerm, U., Mari, S.A., Kohl, B., et al. (2023). Structural basis of NINJ1-mediated plasma membrane rupture in cell death. Nature 618, 1065–1071.
- 246. Kayagaki, N., Stowe, I.B., Alegre, K., Deshpande, I., Wu, S., Lin, Z., Komfeld, O.S., Lee, B.L., Zhang, J., Liu, J., et al. (2023). Inhibiting membrane rupture with NINJ1 antibodies limits tissue injury. Nature *618*, 1072–1077.

- David, L., Borges, J.P., Hollingsworth, L.R., Volchuk, A., Jansen, I., Steinberg, B.E., and Wu, H. (2023). NINJ1 mediates plasma membrane rupture through formation of nanodisc-like rings https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.01.543231.
- Sahoo, B., Mou, Z., Liu, W., Dubyak, G., and D'ai, X. (2023). How NINJ1 mediates plasma membrane rupture and why NINJ2 cannot https://doi. org/10.1101/2023.05.31.543175.
- 249. Hirata, Y., Cai, R., Volchuk, A., Steinberg, B.E., Saito, Y., Matsuzawa, A., Grinstein, S., and Freeman, S.A. (2023). Lipid peroxidation increases membrane tension, Piezo1 gating, and cation permeability to execute ferroptosis. Curr. Biol. 33, 1282–1294.e5.
- 250. Doerflinger, M., Deng, Y., Whitney, P., Salvamoser, R., Engel, S., Kueh, A.J., Tai, L., Bachem, A., Gressier, E., Geoghegan, N.D., et al. (2020). Flexible usage and interconnectivity of diverse cell death pathways protect against intracellular Infection. Immunity *53*, 533–547.e7.
- 251. Schwarzer, R., Jiao, H., Wachsmuth, L., Tresch, A., and Pasparakis, M. (2020). FADD and caspase-8 regulate gut homeostasis and inflammation by controlling MLKL- and GSDMD-mediated death of intestinal epithelial cells. Immunity 52, 978–993.e6.
- 252. Conos, S.A., Chen, K.W., De Nardo, D., Hara, H., Whitehead, L., Núñez, G., Masters, S.L., Murphy, J.M., Schroder, K., Vaux, D.L., et al. (2017). Active MLKL triggers the NLRP3 inflammasome in a cell-intrinsic manner. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *114*, E961–E969.
- 253. Polykratis, A., Martens, A., Eren, R.O., Shirasaki, Y., Yamagishi, M., Yamaguchi, Y., Uemura, S., Miura, M., Holzmann, B., Kollias, G., et al. (2019). A20 prevents inflammasome-dependent arthritis by inhibiting macrophage necroptosis through its ZnF7 ubiquitin-binding domain. Nat. Cell Biol. *21*, 731–742.
- 254. Stockwell, B.R. (2022). Ferroptosis turns 10: Emerging mechanisms, physiological functions, and therapeutic applications. Cell *185*, 2401–2421.
- 255. Badgley, M.A., Kremer, D.M., Maurer, H.C., DelGiorno, K.E., Lee, H.J., Purohit, V., Sagalovskiy, I.R., Ma, A., Kapilian, J., Firl, C.E.M., et al. (2020). Cysteine depletion induces pancreatic tumor ferroptosis in mice. Science 368, 85–89.
- 256. Carneiro, B.A., Perets, R., Dowlati, A., LoRusso, P., Yonemori, K., He, L., Munasinghe, W., Noorani, B., Johnson, E.F., and Zugazagoitia, J. (2023). Mirzotamab clezutoclax as monotherapy and in combination with taxane therapy in relapsed/refractory solid tumors: dose expansion results. J. Clin. Oncol. 41, 3027.