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#### Abstract

Following erythrocyte invasion, malaria parasites export a catalogue of remodeling proteins into the infected cell that enable parasite development in the human host. Export is dependent on the activity of the aspartyl protease, plasmepsin V (PMV), which cleaves proteins within the Plasmodium export element (PEXEL; RxL $\downarrow_{x E / Q / D}$ ) in the parasite's endoplasmic reticulum. Here, we generated transition state mimetics of the native PEXEL substrate that potently inhibit PMV isolated from $P$. falciparum and P. vivax. Through optimization, we identified that the activity of the mimetics was completely dependent on the presence of $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ Leu and $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ Arg. Treatment of $P$. falciparum-infected erythrocytes with a set of optimized mimetics impaired PEXEL processing and killed the parasites. The striking effect of the compounds provides a clearer understanding of the accessibility of the PMV active site and reaffirms the enzyme as an attractive target for the design of future antimalarials.


## INTRODUCTION

Malaria is caused by infection with protozoan parasites of the genus Plasmodium. Each year these parasites cause several hundred million infections and over 650,000 deaths, predominantly of children and pregnant women. ${ }^{1}$ The two most lethal forms of malaria are caused by infection with $P$. falciparum, which is hyper-endemic in Africa and the most deadly parasite, or $P$. vivax, which is responsible for recrudescent infection via activation of dormant liver-stage hypnozoites that replenish the clinical bloodstage of infection. ${ }^{2}$

Following invasion of an erythrocyte, malaria parasites reside within a parasitophorous vacuole. To survive within the host cell, parasites export proteins beyond the parasite membrane and the parasitophorous vacuole membrane into the erythrocyte and onto its surface. In many cases, export requires the Plasmodium export element (PEXEL; RxLxE/Q/D) ${ }^{3}$ or vacuolar transport signal (VTS), ${ }^{4}$ which is located in the N-terminus of over 450 P. falciparum proteins. This represents a predicted 'exportome' of almost $9 \%$ of all proteins, ${ }^{5,6} 20 \%$, or more, of which are predicted to be essential. ${ }^{7}$ PEXEL-containing proteins are cleaved at the carboxy terminal of the PEXEL Leu residue (RxL $\downarrow$ ) in the parasite endoplasmic reticulum (ER) ${ }^{8}$ by the ER-resident aspartyl protease, plasmepsin V (PMV). ${ }^{9}, 10$ Cleavage of PEXEL cargo by PMV requires the conserved Arg and Leu residues ${ }^{9}$ and occurs within seconds of co-translational insertion into the ER, ${ }^{11}$ indicating that PMV acts as the first step for export of PEXEL-containing proteins.

The PEXEL motif and PMV are conserved in all Plasmodium spp. P. vivax contains a PMV ortholog and it is functionally conserved, ${ }^{11}$ as well as many PEXEL-containing proteins, some of which have been shown to be exported to the host cell. ${ }^{3,12}$ This strongly suggests that the function of PMV is to cleave the PEXEL motif for export across Plasmodium spp. The PMV gene could not be deleted from P. falciparum or $P$. berghei, consistent with a role that is essential for parasite survival. ${ }^{10,}{ }^{13,} 14$ PMV is a
phylogenetically unique aspartyl protease ${ }^{10,13,14}$ that shares little conservation with human proteases, suggesting it may be a promising candidate for antimalarial intervention. ${ }^{15}$

Chloroquine or artemisinin combination therapies have been used to successfully treat millions of malaria infections; however, the emergence and spread of resistance to these antimalarial agents highlights the urgent need for the development of new antimalarial therapies against novel targets. ${ }^{16-10} \mathrm{~A}$ clear benefit would be gained from targeting proteins that are shared between multiple Plasmodium spp. that infect humans.

Historically, aspartyl proteases have been targeted via transition state (TS) peptidomimetics that outcompete the natural substrate to block protease function. A classic transition state mimetic is statine, which possesses a hydroxyl functionality that mimics the TS of amide bond proteolysis, inhibiting proteolysis. Statine has been utilized previously to inhibit the digestive vacuole plasmepsins I-IV of the malaria parasite. ${ }^{17-20}$ These mimetics demonstrated potent inhibition of the plasmepsins I-IV; however, displayed weak activity against parasites in culture, presumably due to the redundancy, and non-essential nature, of the digestive vacuole plasmepsins. ${ }^{21}$ Targeting aspartic proteases with TS mimetics has generated compounds that have aided in the study of biological mechanisms ${ }^{22}$ as well as being clinically relevant, for example, inhibitors of renin (aliskiren) and HIV protease. ${ }^{23-25}$

Recently we described the use of statine to develop a potent inhibitor of PMV, called WEHI-916 (herein, compound 1), from $P$. falciparum and $P$. vivax, which perturbs protein export to the $P$. falciparum-infected erythrocyte and kills the parasite. ${ }^{11}$

Here, we describe the use of statine to develop a repertoire of PEXEL mimetic compounds, some of which display potent inhibition of PMV from P. falciparum and $P$. vivax, and the steps taken to arrive at 1. We used the mimetics to define regions of the substrate that are essential to, or dispensable for,
inhibition of PMV in vitro and in P. falciparum-infected erythrocytes and for parasite death. This new information will guide the development of future inhibitors that block this enzyme.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The aim of the current study was to derive one or more TS mimetics that potently inhibit PMV. While the polar nature of peptide mimetics can be detrimental to target activity in cells and reduce cell permeability, we chose to generate compounds that directly mimic the natural PEXEL substrate (Figure 1) to reduce the likelihood of parasite resistance to the inhibitors, and to further investigate accessibility to the active site of PMV from P. falciparum and P. vivax. ${ }^{5,11}$

Molecular Modeling of PMV in Complex with Substrates. Compound design was assisted by generating a homology model of PfPMV in complex with the PEXEL motif from knob-associated histidine-rich protein (KAHRP), and a statine derivative of the PEXEL peptide (Arg-Ala-Sta(isobutyl)-Ala-Gln). The model indicated that the catalytic acid (Asp118) of PMV forms hydrogen bonds with the carbonyl of the scissile bond of the PEXEL and a water molecule; the water molecule, in turn, forms a hydrogen bond with the catalytic base (Figure 3). In these models, the $S_{3}$ pocket of PMV is lined by the aspartates Glu179 and Glu213, which form salt bridges with the guanidine side-chain of the PEXEL P $3_{3}$ Arg, which also forms salt bridges with the side-chains of Tyr177 and Phe220 of PMV. The side-chain carboxylate of Glu197 is held in position by a hydrogen bond with the backbone amide of the PEXEL ' $x$ ' residue (RxL). The $S_{1}$ pocket of PMV is lined by the side-chains of Tyr99, Ile113, Tyr177, Phe220, Val227 and Gly367 (Figure 3). The $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ Thr of the KAHRP PEXEL makes non-specific hydrophobic contact with residues Cys178 and Glu179 of the molecular 'flap' of PMV, while the $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ ' Lys of the KAHRP PEXEL makes no direct contact with PMV. The $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ ' Gln forms a single hydrogen bond with Ser76 of PMV. The model suggests an intimate association of the PEXEL Arg and Leu with the binding
site residues of PMV, as does the peptide backbone flanking the scissile bond, but few other critical interactions.

Compound Synthesis. In general, TS mimetics were synthesized using conventional solution phase peptide coupling methods (Scheme 1). The synthesis of the early $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime} / \mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ analogues started from the protected ornithine 8 that was coupled with alanine ethyl ester. The $N-\mathrm{Cbz}$ group of the resulting dipeptide was deprotected under hydrogenolytic conditions and N -acylated with acetic anhydride to give the $N$-acetamide 9. The $N$-Boc protection was then removed using acidic media and reacted with $N, N-$ bis-Boc guanyl pyrazole to install the protected guanidine. The ester was subsequently removed by hydrolysis to obtain the acid $\mathbf{1 0}$ ready for coupling of the pre-prepared statine amides 4-6. Finally, deprotection of the diBoc guanidine functionality gave 11-13.

The exploration of the $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ position again utilized the protected ornithine $\mathbf{8}$ as a starting material and additionally protected lysine 14 (Scheme 2). The synthons $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ were then coupled to alanine ethyl ester and hydrolysis of the resulting gave $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$, respectively. Boc deprotection of $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ then afforded the ornithine and lysine variants, $\mathbf{1 7}$ and 18, respectively. These analogues were further utilized synthetically to access both the arginine and homoarginine derivatives, $\mathbf{1 9}$ and 20, via reaction with $N, N-$ bis-Boc guanyl pyrazole, followed by Boc deprotection.

The synthesis of $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ variants began with the commercially available Boc protected synthons 21-24 that were coupled with cyclohexylamine and then Boc deprotected to afford 25-28, respectively. The resulting amides 25-28 were subsequently coupled with $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N-\mathrm{diBoc})-\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{OH}$ to give 29-32, and further Boc deprotected to afford the $P_{1}$ derivatives 33-36 (Scheme 3). The $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ derivatives required incorporation of Val, Leu, Ile or Phe into the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ position; synthesis of these derivatives was performed by coupling the corresponding amino acid ester with the protected ornithine $\mathbf{8}$ to form the dipeptides 37-41 after hydrolysis of the ester functionality with LiOH. Coupling of $\mathbf{3 7 - 4 1}$ with the statine Cyhex amide $\mathbf{6}$ gave
the tripeptides 42-45. The Boc protected ornithine residue of the tripeptides $\mathbf{4 2 - 4 5}$ was then converted to the corresponding arginine residue over three synthetic steps resulting in the desired $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ analogues 46-49 (Scheme 4).

The key carboxylic acid $\mathbf{5 1}$ was synthesized to generate probes to investigate the $\mathrm{S}_{1}{ }^{\prime} / \mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ pockets. Compound $\mathbf{5 1}$ was obtained by coupling the allyl ester of statine $\mathbf{5 0}$ with $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N-\mathrm{diBoc})-\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{OH}$ 90, followed by ester hydrolysis (Scheme 5). $\mathbf{5 1}$ was then utilized in a parallel chemistry study that involved EDCI coupling reactions with a selection of hydrophobic amines followed by Boc deprotection to generate an array of amides A1-D6 (Parallel Set 1; Supp Info Scheme S1 and Table S1). After evaluation of the array (A1-D6) at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against $P$. falciparum PMV, the amides 52-57 were resynthesized to allow $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values to be obtained (Scheme 5).

Parallel chemistry was also used to initially assess the changes to the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ region of the mimetics. This exploited the protected synthon $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ to perform a series of acylations using a small set of isocyanates, acid and sulfonyl chlorides (Supp Info Table S2). The acylated products were then deprotected using TFA (Parallel Set 2; Supp Info Scheme S2). The array (I1-L5) was evaluated at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against P. falciparum PMV. From this parallel study, a small cohort of analogues (70-73) was selected for resynthesis to allow $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ determination. The re-synthesis of analogues 70-73 utilized the protected dipeptide 58. The Cbz functionality of $\mathbf{5 8}$ was deprotected by hydrogenolysis and the product was acylated with the appropriate acyl or sulfonyl halide, followed by ester hydrolysis to afford the carboxylic acids $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 3}$. The acylated products $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 3}$ were then coupled with Sta amide $\mathbf{7}$ to give the tripeptides $\mathbf{6 5}$ 68. The tripeptides 65-68 were then converted to the corresponding $P_{4}$ variants 70-73 over three synthetic steps. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was produced in a similar manner to $\mathbf{7 3}$ with Ala replaced by Val in the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ position (Scheme 6).

Compound Evaluation. Compounds were evaluated using a fluorogenic PEXEL cleavage assay (see Methods) described previously. ${ }^{5,11,13}$ Reactions comprised of a fluorescent peptide of nine amino acids containing the PEXEL sequence (RTLAQ) from KAHRP in PMV digest buffer and PMV-agarose (anti-HA-agarose bound to HA-tagged P. falciparum PMV or P. vivax PMV, both purified from P. falciparum; PfPMV or PvPMV, respectively). The purity and specificity of Pf or Pv PMV-agarose for the KAHRP PEXEL peptide has been well characterized and described previously. ${ }^{5,11,13}$ A PEXEL mutant form of the KAHRP peptide ( $\mathrm{RL}>\mathrm{A}$ ) was used as a control. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined using PfPMV in a ninepoint compound dilution series. Only limited quantities of PvPMV were available and compound inhibition was therefore assessed at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$; this allowed a direct comparison of inhibition values, and in turn, selectivity differences, between PfPMV and PvPMV. To assess whether the compounds were active against human aspartic proteases, they were evaluated for inhibition of Beta-secretase-1 (BACE-1) and cathepsin $D$. These aspartic proteases were chosen on the basis that BACE-1 is the human aspartic protease with the closest, albeit distant, homology to PfPMV (Figure S5) ${ }^{14}$ while cathepsin D shares low sequence similarity with PfPMV (Figure S6) but is closely related to BACE-1 and is used as a benchmark off-target for BACE-1 drug discovery programs. ${ }^{26,}{ }^{27}$ Accordingly, a BACE-1 Time Resolved Fluorescence (TRF) assay, ${ }^{28}$ and a Cathepsin D fluorogenic substrate cleavage assay ${ }^{29}$ was used to assess activity of the compounds.

Structure Activity Relationship. To investigate the binding selectivity of each region within the mimetics for the corresponding binding pocket in PMV (see Figure 1), the $\mathrm{P}_{3}, \mathrm{P}_{2}, \mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ ' moieties of the TS mimetic were sequentially altered and PMV inhibition assessed. The functionality chosen to probe each binding pocket was strongly influenced by the high polar physical characteristics of the TS peptide mimetics, i.e. a hydrophobic functionality was chosen in preference to a hydrophilic group to increase the overall hydrophobicity of the molecule, with a view to improve membrane permeability.

As a starting point, a peptidomimetic was designed based on the common PEXEL motif, RxLxQ. The progenitor peptidomimetic possesses a statine (Sta) in place of the $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ Leu to mimic the TS tetrahedral intermediate, as well as Ala at $P_{2}$ and $\operatorname{Arg}$ at $P_{3}$. The carboxy side of the scissile site (i.e. $x Q$ ), is somewhat displaced by the additional carbon in Sta, therefore, Gln and Ala were both positioned in the $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ in two separate molecules, 74 and 11, respectively (Table 1). For simplification at this early stage, the $N$ terminus of both $\mathbf{7 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ was capped with N -acetamide and the $C$-terminus with $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ amide. The two compounds exhibited $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 556 and 613 nM , respectively, against PfPMV in vitro (Table 1). These results represented an excellent starting point and suggested that the $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ position was not especially important for activity, as both compounds were approximately equally potent. To test this hypothesis further, the C-terminal group was exchanged for a truncated version of Gln, $N$-propyl amide 12, and also a limited number of hydrophobic functionalities, such as a cyclohexyl substituent (13). The analogues $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ exhibited slightly less potency ( 865 and 967 nM , respectively) compared to the Gln 74 and Ala $11 \mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ analogues, and although only a limited number of functionalities were explored at this position, these results demonstrated that the $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ ' region is not critical for inhibiting PMV. Additionally, replacing the $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ ' residue with a cyclohexyl group (13) increased the overall lipophilicity of the molecule and aided the synthetic tractability of future analogues. Assessment of $\mathbf{1 3}$ against PvPMV indicated inhibitory $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were similar to those seen against PfPMV, giving an early indication that both PMV orthologues could be targeted with the same inhibitor. Notably, none of the analogues 11-13 had any observable off-target effects against cathepsin D or BACE-1 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), suggesting that selectively targeting PMV over human aspartyl proteases was achievable.

To further aid in the synthesis of future analogues, and again reduce the overall polarity of the molecule(s), it was proposed to replace the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ acetamide group with a Cbz group (14). The N - Cbz protective group is orthogonally compatible with the $N, N$-bis Boc functionality used to protect the guanidine located on the $\mathrm{P}_{3} \operatorname{Arg}$ (Schemes 1-6). Additionally, the $N-\mathrm{Cbz}$ group enhanced the overall
lipophilicity of the molecule. Evaluation of the $N-\mathrm{Cbz}$ analogue 14 demonstrated that it had comparable potency to the $N$-acetamide analogue 13, did not possess off-target protease activity (Table 1) and was therefore a suitable replacement for the $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$-acetamide functionality. The homology model of PfPMV supported this result, showing that the $N-\mathrm{Cbz}$ could be accommodated at the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ position.

The PEXEL $P_{3}$ Arg possesses a guanidine side chain and its substitution with Lys at this position abrogates PEXEL processing and export to the erythrocyte. ${ }^{5}$ Additionally, substitution of Arg with Lys in 1, leading to WEHI-024, blocks inhibition of PfPMV and PvPMV. ${ }^{11}$ The sensitivity to replacement of the Arg by Lys demonstrates the critical nature of $\operatorname{Arg}$ at $\mathrm{P}_{3}$. In the homology model of PfPMV the side chain carboxylates of Glu179 and Glu213 in the $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ pocket of PMV form salt bridges with the $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ guanidine of $\operatorname{Arg}$ (Figure 3). To further explore the importance of the guanidine side chain at $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ of the PEXEL, a small set of analogues were synthesized to probe this region. In this set of analogues the $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ Arg was replaced by the basic residues ornithine (Orn) (17), Lys (18) and homo $\operatorname{Arg}(\mathbf{2 0})$. The hydrophobic residue homo Phe (75) was also inserted in place of the $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Arg}$; this analogue was generated to investigate whether a bulky hydrophobic residue could be accepted in the $S_{3}$ pocket - an approach that has been successful in overcoming the requirement of a guanidine functionality in programs designing inhibitors that target Thrombin, ${ }^{30}$ however, it was not accepted (Table 1). Evaluation of the $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ analogues 17, 18, 20 and 75 revealed that all of these analogues possessed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values $>20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against $\operatorname{PfPMV}$ (Table 1 ). Similar inhibitory activities were obtained against PvPMV with 17, 18, 20 and 75 (Figure 4), demonstrating the binding requirements of PMV from both Plasmodium spp. are similar with respect to the $S_{3}$ pocket. Of note, none of the analogues possessed any off-target activity against BACE-1 or cathepsin D, except the homo Phe analogue, 75, which exhibited potent activity against cathepsin D (43 $\mathrm{nM})$ (Table 1). Thus, the functional group at $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ can be used as a 'switch' to tune selectivity toward PMV and away from cathepsin D.

Previous studies have demonstrated that the $P_{1}$ Leu is important for PEXEL cleavage in $P$. falciparum, and for optimal PMV activity in vitro and in cultured P. falciparum. ${ }^{5,11}$ To further examine this, analogues were synthesized that replaced the isobutyl side chain of Sta (14), mimicking Leu, for either an isopropyl (Val) 33, a sec-isobutyl (Ile) 34, a benzyl (Phe) $\mathbf{3 5}$ or a methylcyclohexyl (cyclohexyl Ala) 36 (Table 1). Evaluation of inhibition against PfPMV and PvPMV revealed that none of the analogues 33-36 exhibited activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or $<15 \%$ at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ); only the cyclohexylalanine variant $\mathbf{3 6}$ displayed weak inhibition ( $35 \%$ at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of PvPMV (Figure 4). In the homology model, the PEXEL Leu packs tightly against the hydrophobic residues that line the $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ site (Figure 3); residues other than Leu would likely pack less well in this pocket. This provides additional evidence that PfPMV and PvPMV both have a strong preference for Leu in the $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ position.

The $P_{2}$ position is primarily denoted as an arbitrary residue ( $x$ ) in the PEXEL sequence ( $\mathrm{RxLxQ} / \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{D}$ ), however, in the PEXEL sequence alignments described by Marti et al., ${ }^{3}$ Asn, Ile, Ser and Leu are common. Our homology model illustrates that the side chain of the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ residue makes no substantial interaction with PfPMV. Thus far in this study, Ala had been utilized as the sole $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ residue. To investigate the residues that could be tolerated in the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ region, a select set of analogues were synthesized. Again, hydrophobic residues were chosen in order to reduce the hydrophilic nature of the molecule. In this set of analogues the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ Ala was substituted with Leu, Ile, Val and Phe, to produce the analogues $46,47,48$ and 49, respectively (Table 2). The analogues 46-49 were evaluated for inhibitory activity against PfPMV and exhibited a marked improvement in potency; those with Val or Ile at $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ ( $\mathbf{4 6}$ or $\mathbf{4 7}$, respectively) exhibited an approximately 20-fold increase in potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 29 and 26 nM , respectively) compared with the progenitor $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ Ala surrogate, 14. The $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ Leu analogue $\mathbf{4 8}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=77 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ was marginally weaker than the Val or Ile orthologues (46 and 47, respectively), and the $P_{2}$ Phe analogue, 49, was weaker again $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=230 \mathrm{nM}\right)\left(\right.$ Table 2). In the homology model, Cys178 lies in close proximity to the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ residue; the hydrophobic nature of Cys may explain the improved affinity of hydrophobic groups at this position in
the inhibitors. Inhibition of PvPMV by 46-49 correlated well with the results obtained against PfPMV (Figure 4). Although no off-target inhibition on BACE-1 was observed, significant inhibition of cathepsin D was observed with analogues $46\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=713 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and $47\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=447 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, and to a lesser degree 48 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=5.1 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and $49\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=4.8 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The MEROPS online database ${ }^{31}$ includes a number of substrates that are processed by cathepsin D , and which harbor hydrophobic amino acids such as Val or Leu at the $P_{2}$ position. This is reflected in the activity of the Val or Ile orthologues (46 and 47) against cathepsin $D$.

The next step was to examine the C-terminal $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime} / \mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ region of the mimetics, and for this parallel chemistry was employed to generate a large number of analogues. The inhibitory effect against PMV was determined at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ before determining the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of selected analogues. Firstly, an array of amides were generated in parallel (Parallel Set 1; Supp Info Scheme S1 and Table S1) and then evaluated for inhibitory activity at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The inhibitory activity of $\mathbf{D} 2(51 \%$ at $1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ compared well to the previously synthesized $C$-terminal cyclohexyl amide $14(54 \%$ at $1 \mu \mathrm{M})$. However, di-substitution on the C-terminal amide nitrogen ( $\mathbf{A 3}, \mathbf{B 3}, \mathbf{C 3}, \mathbf{D 3}, \mathbf{A 6}, \mathbf{B 6}$ and $\mathbf{C 6} ;<32 \%$ inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was detrimental to activity, which can be attributed to the loss of the C-terminal $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ interaction with the backbone carbonyl oxygen of Gly120. Analogues with substitution on the $N$-alpha-carbon (A2, B2, C2, A5, C5 and D5; 51-66\% inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) all possessed similar activity to the progenitor cyclohexyl amide analogue D2 (14). Smaller $N$-amide substituents such as $n$-propyl A4, isopropyl $\mathbf{B 4}$ and isobutyl $\mathbf{C 4}$ also possessed similar potency ( $42-56 \%$ inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) to the cyclohexyl amide 19. The $N$-benzyl B1, $N$-indane C5, $N$-ethylphenyl C1 and $N$-propylphenyl D1 amides were found to be more potent then the cyclohexyl amide D2 (14), presumably due to the additional lipophilicity, in comparison to the analogues

## A4-C4.

To determine $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values, six of the above amides were selected for re-synthesis (Scheme 5) and 9point titrations against PfPMV (Table 2). This confirmed the results obtained with the parallel set: $N$-di-
substitution on the amide ( $\mathbf{5 7}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $2.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was poorly tolerated, and $N$-ethylphenyl analogues, $\mathbf{5 3}$ and $\mathbf{5 6}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ of 622 and 348 nM$)$, were comparatively as potent as the $N$-benzyl amides, $\mathbf{5 5}$ and $\mathbf{5 2}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ of 569 and 530 nM$)$. The $\mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{P}_{2}$, analogues had slightly greater potency for PvPMV than PfPMV, revealing that small differences exist between the two active sites. Only the $N$-ethylphenyl derivative, 53, displayed off-target activity, having weak activity against cathepsin $D\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=29 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Intriguingly, the $N$-ethyl 4'-chlorophenyl analogue, 56, exhibited no activity against cathepsin $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. In summary, alterations to the C-terminal $P_{1}{ }^{\prime} / P_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ region of the mimetics resulted in only subtle differences in inhibitory profile, the exception being the di-substitution of the C-terminal nitrogen. The lack of sensitivity to substitution in this region provides evidence that the $x / Q / E / D$ portion of the PEXEL sequence motif is not important for inhibition of PMV, consistent with the observed functional specificity of PEXEL cleavage ${ }^{9}$ and PfPMV activity. ${ }^{13}$ The $N$-ethylphenyl amide was selected for integration into the $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime} / \mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ position to undertake future chemistries in this study.

We next explored modifications to the $N$-terminal $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ region in an attempt to further enhance potency, and again employed a parallel chemistry approach. To undertake the parallel chemistry the $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ guanidine functionality was Boc protected and the $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ Sta hydroxyl functionality was protected with TBDMS, allowing a parallel series of acylation reactions to be performed on the exposed $N$-terminus (Parallel Set 2; Supp. Info. Scheme S2). A set of hydrophobic acid chlorides, sulfonyl chlorides and isocyanates were used to perform acylation of the $N$-terminus in parallel (Parallel Set 2; Supp. Info. Table S2). Both the Boc and TBDMS protection was subsequently removed in one step using TFA. Compounds were then evaluated for inhibitory activity against PfPMV (Parallel Set 2; Supp. Info. Table S3). Inhibition by K3 ( $71 \%$ at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) compared well to the previously synthesized $C$-terminal $N$-ethylphenyl amide 53 (54\% at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) (Table 2). However, a urea in the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ position was detrimental to activity (I5, J5 and L5; $<41 \%$ inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The substituted alkyl acetamides (I1, J1 and $\mathbf{K 1}$ ) and the carbamates ( $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{J 3}$ ) did not improve activity ( $<49 \%$ inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), and the substituted aryl acetamides ( $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{J} \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{K} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{2}$ )
possessed comparable activity ( $59-81 \%$ inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) to the progenitor Cbz analogue $\mathbf{K 3}$. However, the sulfonamides $\mathbf{J 4} \mathbf{4} \mathbf{K 4} \mathbf{4} \mathbf{L 4}$ and $\mathbf{L 3}$, resulted in a slight improvement in potency (78-89\% inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ (Parallel Set 2; Supp. Info. Table S3).

To determine $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values, selected compounds were chosen for re-synthesis (Scheme 6) and 8-point titrations against PfPMV (Table 2). Retesting confirmed that the phenylsulfonyl amide $72\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=378\right.$ $\mathrm{nM})$ was more potent than both the benzylsulfonyl $73\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=625 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and benzyloxyacetamide $71\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ $=550 \mathrm{nM})$ analogues. The benzamide derivative $70\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=774 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ possessed the weakest activity of this set. This select set of compounds 70-73 displayed negligible difference in selectivity between PfPMV and PvPMV (Figure 4). We also observed that a sulfonamide is more favorable than an amide (72 compared to 70) for binding affinity, suggesting either that the sulfonyl performs an additional interaction that is not possible with an amide, or that the sulfonamide group projects the adjoining substituent in a more preferable orientation for binding to PMV than the corresponding amide. In summary, the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ region immediately surrounding the $N$-terminus of the $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ residue plays only a small role in modulating activity against PMV.

Finally, we synthesized compound $\mathbf{1}$ that incorporated the sum of optimal modifications determined above. This comprised of the phenylsulfonyl amide at $\mathrm{P}_{4}, \operatorname{Arg}$ at $\mathrm{P}_{3}, \operatorname{Val}$ at $\mathrm{P}_{2}$, and $\operatorname{Leu}(\operatorname{Sta})$ at $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ followed by $N$-ethylphenyl amide at $\mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{P}_{2}$, (Table 2). Compound $\mathbf{1}$ (WEHI-916), ${ }^{11}$ potently inhibited PfPMV and $\operatorname{PvPMV}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=19 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ and 24 nM , respectively $)$, and showed no off-target activity against BACE-1 and little activity against cathepsin $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=32 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The off-target selectivity window of $\mathbf{1}$ between PfPMV and cathepsin D ( 1300 -fold) is a vast improvement compared to compound 46 (20-fold). The selectivity of $\mathbf{1}$ demonstrates that, while modification of the N -terminal $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ and C-terminal $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime} / \mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ regions only slightly influences affinity for PMV, it impacts greatly on selectivity for cathepsin D. As such, it should be possible to develop highly selective and potent analogues that uniquely target PMV.

Inhibition of PEXEL Cleavage by PMV in Parasites. A selection of eight TS mimetics of varying activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ranging from 0.019 to $>20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against PMV in vitro) was assessed for their ability to inhibit PMV activity in parasites. To assess this, transgenic P. falciparum expressing the exported PEXEL protein P. falciparum erythrocyte membrane protein 3 (PfEMP3) fused to green fluorescent protein (GFP) ${ }^{5}$ were treated with compounds for 5 hr and the processed and unprocessed species were visualized by immunoblot with anti-GFP antibodies. ${ }^{5,11}$ Compounds 72, 46, 47 and 1 inhibited PEXEL processing to varying degrees; uncleaved PfEMP3-GFP (black arrow) could be observed and was the same size as uncleaved PEXEL R $>$ A mutant PfEMP3-GFP5, 11 (Figure 5). PEXEL-cleaved (blue arrow) and 'GFP only' (degraded reporter in the food vacuole; $\sim 26 \mathrm{kDa}$ ) species of PfEMP3-GFP were also visible (Figure 5). The degree of activity against PMV in parasites correlated well with the observed activity against PMV in vitro (refer to Table 3), with compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ among the least, and $\mathbf{1}$ the most, active (Figure 5, S1). For $\mathbf{1 7}$, this correlated with the lack of activity against PMV in vitro ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), which can be attributed to an ornithine mimetic in place of arginine at $P_{3}$ of the compound. ${ }^{5,11}$ However, compounds 19, 71, 48 and 46 had no significant effect on PEXEL processing of PfEMP3-GFP in parasites despite having $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values $<0.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against PfPMV in vitro (Table 3, Figure 5, S1). The weak cellular activity of these compounds suggests that they possess suboptimal properties for accessing PMV in the parasite ER, which may include poor membrane permeability, likely influenced by their peptidic and polar nature. Our attempts to overcome the polar guanidine side chain of Arg at $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ in our compounds consistently abolished inhibitory activity, illustrating the exquisite selectivity of PMV and a key challenge to overcome if inhibitors are to become orally bioavailable.

Viability of Treated Parasites and Human Cell Lines. The effect of the TS mimetics on parasite viability was assessed by treating early ring-stage $P$. falciparum 3D7 parasites with compounds, or vehicle controls, and measuring parasitemia 72 hr later by flow cytometry. Chloroquine was included in these experiments as a positive control. Parasite survival is summarized in Table 3 and Figure S 4 and
correlated well with the degree of PfPMV inhibition in vitro, with the two most potent inhibitors, $\mathbf{1}$ and 47 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 19$ and 26 nM , respectively) having the greatest effect on parasite viability ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50} 2.5$ and 6.8 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively). 47 was less active against parasites than $\mathbf{1}$, and had a weaker effect on PfEMP3 PEXEL cleavage in parasites (Figure 5), suggesting 47 has less access to PMV in the ER than $\mathbf{1 .}$

For some compounds, parasite death could not solely be attributed to PMV inhibition. For example, compounds 48, 71 and 19 , which displayed inhibitory activity in vitro ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.077,0.550$ and $0.614 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively) but did not inhibit PEXEL processing in parasites, were toxic to parasites, with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 8.9, 23 and $34.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively (Table 3). Thus, some mimetics hit off-targets and these may include other parasite aspartyl proteases (e.g. plasmepsins). There are 10 plasmepsin enzymes encoded in the $P$. falciparum genome, however, not all are expressed in the blood-stage, and some are known to be nonessential. For example, plasmepsins I-IV and VII are dispensable for parasite development, ${ }^{21,32}$ and off target inhibition of these aspartyl proteases is unlikely to account for parasite death in our study. A possible off-target is plasmepsin IX, which is expressed in the blood-stage; however, it has been shown previously not to cleave the PEXEL motif ${ }^{13}$ and its function and essentiality is presently unknown. Other aspartyl protease inhibitors that kill malaria parasites have been described; ${ }^{33}$ however, their essential parasite target(s) are also presently unknown.

The TS mimetics were not broadly cytotoxic to HepG2 cells (Table 3) and had little effect against the human aspartyl proteases BACE-1 and cathepsin D (Tables 2 and 3), demonstrating that PMV can be selectively inhibited over human aspartyl proteases and is a valid target for novel, future antimalarial intervention.

## CONCLUSIONS

The results presented in this study demonstrate the narrow selectivity profile of both PfPMV and PvPMV. Utilizing TS mimetics as probes, we have shown that the sites within PMV that bind the $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $P_{3}$ groups confer strict restrictions on the functionality that can be accommodated at these positions. This was highlighted when the $P_{1}$ Leu was exchanged for a $P_{1}$ Ile mimetic, or the $P_{3}$ Arg was exchanged for a $P_{3}$ Lys or ornithine mimetic, and all PMV inhibitory activity was lost. This narrow selectivity window is attributed to the exclusive preference of PMV for the first three residues of the PEXEL sequence, RxL. However, this study has also highlighted the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ residue, although not critical for binding PMV, as highly important for modulating affinity for it. An example was the 20 -fold improvement in potency achieved by a small change from a methyl ( $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ alanine) 14 to an isopropyl ( $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ valine) 46. Indeed, the presence of Val at this position of the PEXEL is common in $P$. falciparum proteins. ${ }^{6}$ Beyond the $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{3}$ region, the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime} / \mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ regions of the mimetics could be significantly changed without considerably altering the binding affinity for PMV (Figure 6). PMV from P. falciparum or P. vivax demonstrate very similar substrate specificities and compound selectivities, despite sharing only $54.7 \%$ sequence identity $(82.2 \%$ similarity). ${ }^{11}$

The unique selectivity profile of PMV was also made evident with the off-target protease selectivity data presented in this study. These results demonstrated that the off-target BACE-1 and cathepsin D activity could be regulated through definitive changes to the TS mimetic structure. This was highlighted when the $P_{3}$ arginine of $\mathbf{1 4}$ was replaced with homo phenylalanine in analogue 75; the inhibitory activity for PMV was entirely lost, but resulted in a 2000 -fold enhancement in potency for cathepsin D. This data provides preliminary evidence that PMV can be selectively targeted in future medicinal chemistry programs.

The mimetics in this study were refined by a rational approach and produced potent inhibitors of PMV, several of which in the low nanomolar range. The most potent compounds exhibited a moderate effect in a parasite viability assay and there was good correlation between PMV inhibition and parasite
viability. Functionally, it was demonstrated that a small molecule inhibitor of PMV was able to reduce PEXEL processing efficiency in $P$. falciparum-infected erythrocytes. Future medicinal chemistry efforts will be primarily focused on improving physicochemical properties of PMV inhibitors, with the view to generate compounds with enhanced permeability that better access the ER and have a greater functional effect in parasites as a direct result of PMV inhibition. The mimetic probes described have been utilized to generate data that further validates the position of PMV as an essential enzyme for parasite survival in human erythrocytes and as a target for small molecule intervention of malaria.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Molecular modeling. Comparative modeling was performed using the MODELLER program ${ }^{34}$ employing the X-ray crystal structures of plasmepsin II from P. falciparum (2BJU), ${ }^{35}$ plasmepsin from P. vivax (1QS8), ${ }^{36}$ human BACE-1 (2VIE), ${ }^{37}$ and the secreted aspartic protease (3PVK) ${ }^{38}$ as templates. The resulting model was subjected to molecular dynamics (MD) simulation using the GROMACS (v4.5.5) program ${ }^{39}$ employing the OPLS-aa force field. ${ }^{40}$ The system was solvated in a box of water (TIP4P). Ionizable residues were fixed in their charged state, and the system neutralized and the ionic concentration adjusted to 0.1 M by including $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions. Protein and ligand with water and ions were coupled separately to a thermal bath at 300 K using velocity rescaling ${ }^{41}$ applied with a coupling time of 0.1 ps , and the pressure was coupled to an isotropic barostat using a time constant of 1 ps and compressibility of $4.5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{bar}^{-1}$. All simulations were performed with a single non-bonded cutoff of $10 \AA$ and applying a neighbor-list update frequency of 10 steps (20fs). The particle-mesh Ewald method ${ }^{42}$ was used to account for long-range electrostatics, applying a grid width of $1.2 \AA$ and a fourth-order spline interpolation. Bond lengths were constrained using the LINCS algorithm. ${ }^{43}$ The system was initially minimized prior to MD simulation, and followed by two rounds of positional restrained MD, initially with all protein non-hydrogen atoms restrained to their original positions for 0.1 ns , then with restraints
on backbone atoms only in regions of alpha helix or beta sheet for 1.0 ns . This was followed by 10 ns of unrestrained MD.

Plasmepsin V-agarose and fluorogenic PEXEL cleavage assays. PMV-agarose and PEXEL cleavage assays were performed as described previously. ${ }^{5,11,13}$ Briefly, PMV-agarose was prepared by purification of HA-tagged $P$. falciparum or $P$. vivax PMV from transgenic $P$. falciparum parasite lysates using affinity chromatography with goat anti-HA agarose (Abcam). The digest was obtained as described above and was used at a final assay concentration of $0.15 \mu \mathrm{~L} / 20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The KAHRP PEXEL peptide substrate DABCYL-RNKRTLAQKQ-E-EDANS was obtained commercially and used at a final assay concentration at the enzyme $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ (the $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ of substrate was calculated for each PMV-agarose batch). To reduce variation between assays and batches of PMV-agarose, the $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ of PMV cleavage of the KAHRP peptide was calculated and such conditions used for each batch of PMV-agarose. The end-point for all assays was set within the linear range of activity (approximately 2 hr ). Tween- 20 was used at $0.005 \%$ final assay concentration. Final assay buffer concentration was as follows, 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCl}, 25 \mathrm{mM}$ MOPS (pH 6.4). Final assay volume was $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. A 9-point ${ }^{1 / 2}$ serial dilution of compounds was generated using DMSO as a vehicle (final assay concentration of 1\%). Assay reaction was incubated for 120 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and read using a fluorescence plate reader (Ex $340 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{Em} 495 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined using a non-linear regression 4-parameter fit analysis, where two of the parameters were constrained to 0 and $100 \%$.

BACE-1 time resolved fluorescence assay. A similar procedure was followed according to Porcari et al. ${ }^{28}$ BACE- 1 was obtained commercially and used at a final assay concentration of $312.5 \mathrm{fg} / 1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The substrate Ac-Cys-(Eu chelate)-Glu-Val-Asn-Leu*-Asp-Ala-Glu-Phe-Lys-QSY7-NH2 was obtained commercially and used at a final assay concentration $19 \mu \mathrm{M}$. NaOAc was used as a buffer $(\mathrm{pH} 4)$ at a 50
mM final assay concentration. Tween- 20 was used at $0.005 \%$ final assay concentration. An 11 point $1 / 3$ serial dilution of compounds was performed using DMSO as a vehicle (final assay concentration of $1 \%$ ). Final assay volume was $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Assay reaction was incubated for 90 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and read using a fluorescence plate reader (Ex 340 nm , Em $615 \mathrm{~nm}, 400 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ delay). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined using a non-linear regression 4-parameter fit analysis, where two of the parameters were constrained to 0 and $100 \%$. Compounds from the literature were used as controls; the 2-amino-3,4-dihydroquinazoline compound 3a from Baxter et al. ${ }^{26}$ reported an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $11 \mathrm{nM}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$, obtained $5 \mathrm{nM}(\mathrm{SEM} \pm 0.0 \mathrm{nM})$; the aminohydantoin compound 2 from Malamas et al. ${ }^{27}$ reported an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $3.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$, obtained $4.1 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{SEM} \pm$ $0.6 \mu \mathrm{M})$.

Cathepsin D fluorogenic substrate assay. A similar procedure was followed according to Yasuda et al. ${ }^{29}$ Cathepsin D was obtained commercially and used at a final assay concentration of $40 \mathrm{fg} / 1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The substrate MOCAc-Gly-Lys-Pro-Ile-Leu-Phe*-Phe-Arg-Lys(DNP)-Asp-Arg-NH2 was obtained commercially and used at a final assay concentration $19 \mu \mathrm{M}$. NaOAc was used as a buffer ( pH 4 ) at a 100 mM final assay concentration. An 11 point ${ }^{1 / 3}$ serial dilution of compounds was performed using DMSO as a vehicle (final assay concentration of $1 \%$ ). Final assay volume was $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Assay reaction was incubated for 60 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and read using a fluorescence plate reader (Ex $340 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{Em} 405 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined using a non-linear regression 4-parameter fit analysis, where two of the parameters were constrained to 0 and $100 \%$. Compounds from the literature were used as controls; the 2-amino-3,4-dihydroquinazoline compound 3a from Baxter et al. ${ }^{26}$ reported an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 110 nM , obtained $46 \mathrm{nM}( \pm$ SEM 15 nM$)$; the aminohydantoin compound $\mathbf{2}$ from Malamas et al. ${ }^{27}$ reported an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 100 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, obtained $11.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

HepG2 viability assay. HepG2 cells were cultured in Dulbeccos modified eagles medium (DME) supplemented with $10 \%$ heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS), in a humidified incubator at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Eleven point compound titration assays were performed by treating cells ( $1 \times 10^{4}$ ) for 48 hr in 96 well plates. Cytotoxicity was determined using CellTiter Glo (Promega) and calculated as a percentage of DMSO control. Compound TCMDC-136775 from literature ${ }^{44}$ was used as a control compound, and reported a $17 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$; obtained an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $19 \mu \mathrm{M}( \pm$ SEM $2.3 \mu \mathrm{M})$.

## P. falciparum culture, parasite PEXEL cleavage assays, immunoblots and densitometry.

 P. falciparum 3D7 were cultured in human $\mathrm{O}^{+}$erythrocytes at 4\% haematocrit in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, $0.2 \%$ sodium bicarbonate and $0.5 \%$ Albumax II (Invitrogen) in culture gas $\left(5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 5 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}, 90 \% \mathrm{~N}\right)$ at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Transgenic $P$. falciparum expressing PfEMP3-GFP from the $C R T$ promoter were generated previously ${ }^{13}$ and treated with compounds as described previously. ${ }^{11}$ Briefly, 30-34 hr old trophozoites were purified from uninfected erythrocytes by passing through a Vario Macs magnet column (Miltenyi Biotech) and treated with inhibitor for 5 hr at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in culture gas. Parasites were treated with $0.1 \%$ saponin and pellets solubilized in $4 x$ Laemmli sample buffer before protein separation via SDS-PAGE. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose using an iBlot (Invitrogen), blocked in $10 \%$ skim milk/PBS-T and probed with mouse anti-GFP (Roche; 1:1000), rabbit anti-HSP70 (1:4000) or rabbit anti-Aldolase (1:1000) antibodies followed by horseradish peroxidaseconjugated secondary antibodies (Silenius; 1:2000) and visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham). Densitometry of blots exposed within the linear range were scanned at 400 dpi using a GS800 Calibrated Densitometer (Bio-Rad) and quantified in Quantity One v4.6.3 software (Bio-Rad).Parasite viability assays. Parasite viability assays were performed as described previously. ${ }^{11}$ Briefly, early ring-stage $P$. falciparum 3D7 parasites were obtained by sorbitol synchronization and treated in 96well plates with compounds dissolved in ethanol (not greater than $2 \%$ final to limit toxicity) or DMSO
(not greater than $0.2 \%$ final to limit toxicity) in 9-point titrations for 72 hr at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in culture gas. Parasitemia was then determined by flow cytometry and expressed relative to vehicle-treated controls. Parasitemia was qualitatively assessed by Giemsa smears.

Data analyses. All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 6. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ sigmoidal doseresponse curves were constrained to 0 and $100 \%$ relative to vehicle controls.

General Chemistry Procedures. Analytical thin-layer chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}^{254}$ aluminum-backed plates, and visualized by fluorescence quenching under UV light or by $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ staining. Flash chromatography was performed with silica gel 60 (particle size 0.040-0.063 $\mu \mathrm{m})$. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance DRX 300 with the solvents indicated ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at 300 MHz ). Chemical shifts are reported in ppm on the $\delta$ scale and referenced to the appropriate solvent peak. MeOD contains $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. HRMS were acquired by Jason Dang at the Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences Spectrometry Facility using an Agilent 1290 infinity 6224 TOF LCMS. Column used was RRHT $2.1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm} 1.8 \mu \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{C18}$. Gradient was applied over the 5 min with the flow rate of 0.5 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$. For MS: Gas temperature was $325^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; drying gas $11 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$; nebulizer 45 psig and the fragmentor 125V. LCMS were recorded on a Waters ZQ 3100 using a 2996 Diode Array Detector. LCMS conditions used to assess purity of compounds were as follows, column: XBridge TM C185 $\mu \mathrm{m} 4.6 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$, injection volume $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, gradient: $10-100 \%$ B over 10 min (solvent A: water $0.1 \%$ formic acid; solvent B: AcCN $0.1 \%$ formic acid), flow rate: $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, detection: $100-600 \mathrm{~nm}$. All final compounds were analyzed using high performance liquid chromatography/ultraviolet/evaporative light scattering detection coupled to mass spectrometry. Unless otherwise noted, all compounds were found to be $>95 \%$ pure by this method.

The following compounds were purchased commercially and used without further purification, Cbz-Orn(N-Boc)-OH, Cbz-Lys(N-Boc)-OH, Fmoc-Sta(S,S)-OH, Boc-Sta(S,S)OH, HCl.NH2-Ala-OEt, $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Val-OMe, HCl. $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Leu-OMe, HCl. $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Ile-OMe, $\mathrm{HCl}_{2} . \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Phe-OEt, 4-aminobutyric acid amide hydrochloride, Boc-AHPPA-OH, Boc-ACHPA-OH, Boc- AHMHpA-OH, Boc-AHMHxA-OH. $\operatorname{Ac}-\mathrm{Arg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$-Ala-Sta-Gln-CONH2 2 .TFA 74 was synthesized using solid phase methods by John Karas at ModPep, Melbourne, Australia. $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{Arg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{Val}-\mathrm{Sta}-\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$.TFA 1 was synthesized as previously described. ${ }^{11}$

## General Procedure D

HCl.NH2-Sta-NHCyhex (6). A mixture of Boc-Sta-NHCyhex 80 ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in a mixture of 4 N HCl in dioxane $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was allowed to sit for 2 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness in vacuo to obtain a solid. The solid was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and filtered off to obtain $\mathbf{6}$ as a white solid ( $530 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.01$ (d, 1H, J8.0 Hz), 7.88 (br s, 3H), 3.89-3.86 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.84(\mathrm{~m}$, 6 H ).
$\mathbf{N H}_{2}-\mathrm{Sta-NH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathbf{P h}$. $\mathbf{H C l}$ (7). General Procedure D was followed using Boc-Sta-NH(CH2 $)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} 115$ ( $950 \mathrm{mg}, 2.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to obtain 7 as a hygroscopic residue ( $780 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 7.25-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=279[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Ac-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OEt (9). A mixture of Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OEt 76 ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ $(0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOAc ( 100 mL ) was allowed to stir for 2 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a hydrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was filtered through a bed of Celite and washed with EtOAc. Acetic anhydride ( $855 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $8.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(898 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the resulting mixture was then allowed to stir
at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere for 2 h . Water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture. The layers were then separated. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the organic layer was concentrated in vacuo to obtain a solid. The solid was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and filtered off, washing with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to obtain 9 as a white solid ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 7.03$ (br s, 1 H ), $6.51(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.83-4.79$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z$ $=374[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## General Procedure B

Ac-Arg(N,N-diBoc)-Ala-OH (10). A mixture of $\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc)-Ala-OEt 77 ( $550 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{LiOH}(51 \mathrm{mg}, 2.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of water ( 3 mL ) and THF ( 5 mL ) was allowed to stir for 2 $h$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Citric acid solution was added to the reaction mixture. The solution was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then washed with brine solution ( $1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the organic layer was concentrated in vacuo to obtain 10 as a foam ( $505 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.57-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.44-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 21 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=490[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## General Procedure E

Ac-Arg( $\mathbf{N H}_{2}$ )-Ala-Sta-Ala-NH2. TFA (11). A mixture of $\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{Arg}\left(N, N\right.$-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-Ala- $\mathrm{NH}_{2} 81$ (35 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, in TFA $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{DCM}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was allowed to stir for 6 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness in vacuo. The oil was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and filtered off, washing with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to obtain 11 as a white solid ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD) (rotamers): $\delta 4.39-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.07-3.97 and 3.65-3.55 ( $2 \times \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.25-3.20 (m, 2 H ), 2.80-2.70 and 2.41-2.34 ( $2 \times \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.01(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 H), 1.90-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 1.01-0.92(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 515.3293 ; \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires (M + H), 515.3306.
$\operatorname{Ac-Arg}\left(\mathbf{N H}_{2}\right)$-Ala- $\mathbf{S t a - N H}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathbf{C O N H}_{2}$.TFA (12). General Procedure E was followed using Ac$\operatorname{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc $)$-Ala- $\mathrm{Sta}-\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CONH}_{2} \mathbf{8 3}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain $\mathbf{1 2}$ as a white solid (13 $\mathrm{mg}, 74 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD): $\delta 4.39-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.24(\mathrm{~m}$,
$4 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.01-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 529.3457 ; \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 529.3462$.

Ac-Arg(NH2)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex .TFA (13). General Procedure E was followed using $\operatorname{Ac}-\operatorname{Arg}(N, N-$ diBoc)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex $84(25 \mathrm{mg}, 34.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain $\mathbf{1 3}$ as a white solid ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD): $\delta 4.38-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.24(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), $2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 526.3707 ; \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 526.3717$.

Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OH (15). General Procedure B was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OEt 76 $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 15 as an oil ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 7.20 (br s, 0.5 H ), 6.30 (br s, 0.5 H ), 6.12 (br s, 0.5 H ), 5.95 (br s, 1 H ), 5.11 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.98 (br s, 0.5 H ), 4.56$4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=438[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Lys( $\boldsymbol{N}$-Boc)-Ala-OH (16). General Procedure B was followed using Cbz-Lys(N-Boc)-Ala-OEt 86 $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.09 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 16 as an oil ( $900 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-$ $1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=452[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Orn(NH2)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex .TFA (17). General Procedure E was followed using Cbz-Orn( N -Boc)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex $85(65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 17 as a white solid ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD): $\delta 7.36-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.69-3.60 (m, 1H), 2.98-2.90 (m, 2H), 2.31-2.23 (m, 2H), 1.90-1.15 (m, 20H), 0.95-0.92 (m, 6H). HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 576.3751 ; \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 576.3761$.

Cbz-Lys(NH2)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex .HCl (18). General Procedure D was followed using Cbz-Lys(N-Boc)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex 87 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to give 18 as an oil ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.13-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-$
$5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.87-4.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+$ H) $590.3906 ; \mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 590.3918$.

Cbz-Arg(NH2)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex .TFA (19). General Procedure E was followed using Cbz- $\operatorname{Arg}(N, N-$ diBoc)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex 88 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 34.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to give 19 as a white solid ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) (rotamers): $\delta 8.05-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), ~ 4.05-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.70-1.05 (m, 20H), 1.23-1.05 (m, 6H). HRMS found: $(M+H) 618.3970 ; \mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$, 618.3979 .

Cbz-hArg( $\mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ )-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex .TFA (20). General Procedure A was followed using Cbz-Lys( $N$ -Boc)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex 87 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by General procedure E gave 20 as a white solid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD) (rotamers): $\delta 7.38-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.67$ and 2.31-2.24 ( $2 \times \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.90-1.15 (m, 22H), 1.01-0.85 (m, 6H). HRMS found: $(M+H) 632.4125 ; \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$, 632.4136 .

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-AHMHxA-NHCyhex (29). General Procedure D was followed using Boc-AHMHxA-NHCyhex 92 to give $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-AHMHxA-NHCyhex.HCl 25. General Procedure C was then followed using Cbz-Arg( $N, N$-diBoc)-Ala-OH $90\left(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.086 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ) and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-AHMHxANHCyhex.HCl 25 ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain 29 as a colorless glass ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 8.45-8.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.67-0.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.10-5.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75-4.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.5-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 36 \mathrm{H})$, $0.95-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=804[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-AHMHpA-NHCyhex (30). General Procedure D was followed using Boc-AHMHpA-NHCyhex 91 to give $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-AHMHpA-NHCyhex.HCl 26. General Procedure C was then followed using Cbz-Arg( $N, N$-diBoc)-Ala-OH 90 ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-AHMHpANHCyhex.HCl 26 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain 30 as a white solid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (rotamers): $\delta 8.44-8.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.60-$ $6.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.02 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 38 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 6.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.86(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=818[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Arg(N,N-diBoc)-Ala-AHPPA-NHCyhex (31). General Procedure D was followed using Boc-AHPPA-NHCyhex 93 to give $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-AHPPA-NHCyhex. HCl 27. General Procedure C was then followed using Cbz-Arg( $N, N$-diBoc)-Ala-OH $90\left(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ), and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-AHPPA-NHCyhex. HCl 27 (22 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain 31 as a white solid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). MS, $m / z=852[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-ACHPA-NHCyhex (32). General Procedure D was followed using Boc-ACHPA-NHCyhex 94 to give $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-ACHPA-NHCyhex. HCl 28. General Procedure C was then followed using Cbz-Arg( $N, N$-diBoc)-Ala-OH 90 ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-ACHPA-NHCyhex. HCl 28 ( 25 mg , 0.75 mmol ) to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain 32 as a white solid ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (rotamers): $\delta$ 8.45-8.35 (m, 1H), $7.36(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.10-6.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.35-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 60 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=858[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Arg(NH2)-Ala-AHMHxA-NHCyhex .TFA (33). General Procedure E was followed using Cbz$\operatorname{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc)-Ala-AHMHxA-NHCyhex 29 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 33 as a white solid (20 $\mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD) (rotamers): $\delta 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-0.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 604.3812 ; \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 604.3823$.

Cbz-Arg(NH2)-Ala-AHMHpA-NHCyhex .TFA (34). General Procedure E was followed using Cbz$\operatorname{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc)-Ala-AHMHpA-NHCyhex $\mathbf{3 0}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 34 as a white solid (10 $\mathrm{mg}, 56 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD) (rotamers): $\delta 7.37(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.64$ and 2.29-2.19 $(2 \times \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 1.03-0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 618.3969 ; \mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 618.3979$.

Cbz-Arg(NH2)-Ala-AHPPA-NHCyhex .TFA (35). General Procedure E was followed using Cbz$\operatorname{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc $)$-Ala-AHPPA-NHCyhex $31(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain a white solid $35(23 \mathrm{mg}$, $64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD): $\delta 7.35-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 425-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-$ $3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 652.3813; $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 652.3823$.

Cbz-Arg(NH2)-Ala-ACHPA-NHCyhex .TFA (36). General Procedure E was followed using Cbz$\operatorname{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc)-Ala-ACHPA-NHCyhex $32(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 36 as a white solid ( 20 mg , $89 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD) (rotamers): $\delta 7.39-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.67$ and 2.30-2.23(2$\times \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.90-0.80 (m, 30H). HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 658.4283 ; \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$, 658.4292.

Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Val-OH (37). General Procedure B was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Val-OMe 95 ( $195 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 37 as an oil ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.25(5 \mathrm{H}$, s), $6.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.02$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.35(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{13H}), 0.90-0.78(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=466[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ile-OH (39). General Procedure B was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ile-OMe 96 ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 39 as an oil ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 6.27 (br s, 1H), 5.12-4.95 (m, 3H), 4.35-4.20 (m, 1H), 4.10-3.95 (m, 1H), 3.30-2.85 (m, 2H), 1.90-1.35 $(\mathrm{m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=480[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Leu-OH (40). General Procedure B was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Leu-OMe $97(210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 40 as an oil ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.30$ (s, $5 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-$ $0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=480[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Phe-OH (41). General Procedure B was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Phe-OEt 98 ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 41 as an oil ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.35-7.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H})$, $m / z=514[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Orn(N-Boc)-Val-Sta-NHCyhex (42). General Procedure C was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Val-OH $37(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Sta-NHCyhex $6(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ to obtain a solid. The solid was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and filtered off to obtain 42 as a white solid ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89-4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.20-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-1.1(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=704[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ile-Sta-NHCyhex (43). General Procedure C was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ile-OH $39(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{NH}_{2}-$ Sta-NHCyhex $6(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain 43 as an oil ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ). $m / z=718[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Leu-Sta-NHCyhex (44). General Procedure C was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Leu-OH $40(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and HCl. $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Sta-NHCyhex $6(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ to obtain a solid. The solid was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and filtered off to obtain 44 as a white solid ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.85-5.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.65,3.30-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-1.10$ $(\mathrm{m}, 29 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=718[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Phe-Sta-NHCyhex (45). General Procedure C was followed using Cbz - $\mathrm{Orn}(N$-Boc)-Phe-OH 41 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and HCl. $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Sta-NHCyhex $6(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ to obtain a solid. The solid was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and filtered off to obtain 45 as a white solid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.39-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.40-6.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.75-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-$ $0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . m / z=752[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N H}_{2}$ )-Val-Sta-NHCyhex .TFA (46). General Procedure E was followed using $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ diBoc)-Val-Sta-NHCyhex 99 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to obtain 46 as a white solid ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD): $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-$ $3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 1.0-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 646.4282 ; \mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 646.4292$.

Cbz-Arg(NH2)-Ile-Sta-NHCyhex .TFA (47). General Procedure E was followed using Cbz-Arg( $N, N-$ diBoc)-Ile-Sta-NHCyhex $100(55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 47 as a white solid ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD): $\delta 7.38-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.69-3.60 (m, 1H), 3.23-3.15 (m, 2H), 2.31-2.23 (m, 2H), 1.90-1.15 (m, 20H), 0.98-0.84 (m, 12H). HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 660.4439 ; \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 660.4449$.

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N H}_{2}$ )-Leu-Sta-NHCyhex .TFA (48). General Procedure E was followed using $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N-$ diBoc)-Leu-Sta-NHCyhex $101(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 48 as a white solid ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD): $\delta 7.37(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.21-3.18 (m, 2H), 2.30-2.22 (m, 2H), 1.90-1.15 (m, 20H), 1.02-0.91 (m, 12H). HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ $660.4440 ; \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 660.4449$.

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N H}_{2}$ )-Phe-Sta-NHCyhex .TFA (49). General Procedure E was followed using Cbz- $\operatorname{Arg}(N, N-$ diBoc)-Phe-Sta-NHCyhex 102 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 49 as a white solid ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD): $\delta 7.35-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 1.01-0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+$ H) 694.4285; $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 694.4292$.

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-OH (51). General Procedure B was followed using $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ diBoc)-Ala-Sta-OAllyl $104(800 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 51 as an oil ( $740 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). MS, $m / z=737$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Arg(NH2)-Ala-Sta-NH-Bzl .TFA (52). General Procedure C was followed using $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N-$ diBoc)-Ala-Sta-OH 51 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzylamine ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N-\mathrm{diBoc})$-Ala-Sta-NH-Bzl 105 as an oil (14 mg, 62\%). General Procedure E was followed using Cbz-Arg( $N, N$-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-NH-Bzl 105 ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to obtain a white solid 52 ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD) (rotamers): $\delta 7.33-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.31$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.76$ and 2.40-2.33 $(2 \times \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, 1.01-0.85 (m, 6H). HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 626.3657 ; \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 626.3666$.

Cbz-Arg(NH2)-Ala-Sta-NH(CH2 $\mathbf{2}_{2} \mathbf{P h}$.TFA (53). General Procedure C was followed using Cbz$\operatorname{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc $)$-Ala-Sta-OH $51(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phenethylamine $(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N-\mathrm{diBoc})-\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{Sta}-\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} 106$ as an oil ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ). General Procedure E was followed using Cbz-Arg( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-NH(CH2)2 Ph 106 ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to obtain a white solid $53(9 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD): $\delta 7.60-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.32-3.23 (m, 2H), 1.85-1.30 (m, 10H), 1.01-0.89 (m, 6H). HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 640.3812 ; \mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 640.3823$.

Cbz-Arg(NH2)-Ala-Sta-NH-indane .TFA (54). General Procedure C was followed using Cbz$\operatorname{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc $)$-Ala-Sta-OH $51(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2 -aminoindane ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N-\mathrm{diBoc})$-Ala-Sta-NH-indane 107 as an oil (18 mg, 78\%). General Procedure E was then followed using $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-NH-indane 107 ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.021$ mmol) to obtain a white solid $54(9 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD) (rotamers): $\delta 7.36-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-$ $5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.67$ and 2.31-2.26 $(2 \times \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+$ H) $652.3812 ; \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 652.3823$.

Cbz-Arg(NH2)-Ala-Sta-NHCH(CH3)Ph .TFA (55). General Procedure C was followed using Cbz$\operatorname{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc $)-A l a-S t a-O H 51(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1-methylbenzylamine ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $10 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N-d i B o c)$-Ala-Sta- $\mathrm{NHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Ph} 108$ as an oil (20 mg, $88 \%$ ). General Procedure E was then followed using Cbz-Arg( $N, N-$ diBoc $)-A l a-S t a-N H C H\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Ph} 108(20 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$ to obtain a white solid $55(9 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD): $\delta 7.37-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-4.95$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.90-1.30 (m, 13H), 0.98-0.86 (m, 6H). HRMS found: $(M+H) 640.3811 ; \mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$, 640.3823 .
$\mathbf{C b z}-\mathrm{Arg}\left(\mathbf{N H}_{2}\right)$-Ala-Sta-NH(CH2 $)_{2} \mathbf{P h}\left(\mathbf{4}^{\prime}\right.$ - $\left.\mathbf{C l}\right)$.TFA (56). General Procedure E was followed using Cbz$\operatorname{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc $)-\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{Sta-NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\left(4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}\right) 109(44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford the title compound 56 as a colourless oil ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}, 325 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 7.38-7.15$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 5.15-5.02 (m, 2H), $4.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 0.97-0.86 (m, 6H). HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 674.3422 ; \mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{ClN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 674.3433$.
$\mathbf{C b z}-\mathrm{Arg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$-Ala-Sta- $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathbf{P h}\left(4{ }^{\prime}-\mathbf{C l}\right)$.TFA (57). General Procedure E was followed using Cbz-Arg $(N, N-$ diBoc $)-\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{Sta}-\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\left(4{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}\right) 110(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol})$ to afford the title compound 57 as an oil ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ): $\delta 7.39-7.16$ (m, 9H, ArH), 5.09
$(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 688.3581 ; \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{ClN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 688.3589$.

Bz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OH (60). General Procedure B was followed using Bz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OEt 112 $(170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain $\mathbf{6 0}$ as a colorless oil $(155 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.89-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-$ $3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=408[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

BzlOAc-Orn(N-Boc)-Ala-OH (61). General Procedure B was followed using BzlOAc-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OEt $113(170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 61 as a colorless oil $(150 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 7.44-7.32 (m, 5H), 4.71-4.51 (m, 4H), 3.96 (s, 2H), 3.25-3.05 (m, 2H), 1.95-1.50 (m, 4H), 1.49-1.44 (m, $12 \mathrm{H}) . m / z=452[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
$\mathbf{P h S O}_{2}-\mathrm{Orn}\left(\mathbf{N}\right.$-Boc)-Ala-OH (62). General Procedure B was followed using $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}$ - $\mathrm{Orn}(N$-Boc)-AlaOEt $111(170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 62 as a colorless oil ( $155 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.95$ (br s, 1H), 7.89-7.86 (m, 2H), $7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.42$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=444[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
$\mathbf{P h C H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{Orn}\left(\boldsymbol{N}\right.$-Boc)-Ala-OH (63). General Procedure B was followed using $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{Orn}(\mathrm{N}-$ Boc)-Ala-OEt $114(170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 63 as a colorless oil $(155 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : ס 7.43-2.34 (m, 5H), 7.26-7.24 (m, 1H), 6.00 (br s, 1H), 4.52-4.59 (1H, m), 4.27(s, 2H), 3.98-3.93(m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}) . m / z=458[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Bz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-Sta-NH( $\left.\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathbf{P h}$ (65). General Procedure C was followed using Bz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OH $60(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}-\mathrm{Sta}-\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$. $\mathrm{HCl} 116(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain $\mathbf{6 5}$ as a colorless oil ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)($ rotamers $): \delta 7.88-7.12(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.85-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.65-6.61 (d, 1H, J9.3 Hz), 5.05-4.90 (m, 1H), 4.80-4.65 (m, 1H), 4.45-4.35 (m, 1H), 4.01-3.85 (m, 2H),
$3.55-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 19 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ $668[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

BzlOAc-Orn(N-Boc)-Ala-Sta-NH(CH2)2Ph (66). General Procedure C was followed using BzlOAcOrn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OH 61 ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}-\mathrm{Sta}-\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{HCl} 116$ ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain66 as a colorless oil ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.37-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.05$ (br $\mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.6-(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49-6.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 4.00-3.85 (m, 4H), 3.55-3.35 (m, 2H), 3.25-3.05 (m, 2H), 2.84-2.77 (m, 2H), 2.32-2.25 (m, 2H), 1.90$1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 19 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=712[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

PhSO $_{2}-\mathrm{Orn}\left(\boldsymbol{N}\right.$-Boc)-Ala-Sta-NH(CH2)2 $\mathbf{P h}^{\mathbf{P}}$ (67). General Procedure C was followed using $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}-$ Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OH $62(95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}-\mathrm{Sta}-\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{HCl} 116$ ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 67 as a colorless oil ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.90-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-$ $7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.05$ (br s, 0.5 H$), 6.88-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.95-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.35$ $(\mathrm{m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.74(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 19 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=704[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
$\mathbf{P h C H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{Orn}(\boldsymbol{N}$-Boc)-Ala-Sta-NH(CH2)2 $\mathbf{P h}$ (68). General Procedure C was followed using $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{Orn}(N-\mathrm{Boc})$-Ala-OH $63(90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}-\mathrm{Sta}-\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{HCl} 116(76 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.24 mmol ), to obtain 68 as a colorless oil ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.40-7.15$ (m, $10 \mathrm{H}), ~ 6.91-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.10-5.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.95-3.80 (m, 3H), 3.55-3.25 (m, 2H), 3.25-2.95 (m, 2H), 2.81-2.73 (m, 2H), 2.30-2.20 (m, 2H), 1.20$1.30(19 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.90-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . m / z=718[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Bz-Arg(NH2)-Ala-Sta-NH(CH2)2Ph .TFA (70). General Procedure A was followed using Bz- $\operatorname{Arg}(N, N-$ diBoc)-Ala-Sta- $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} 118(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 70 as a white solid $(40 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD) (rotamers): $\delta 7.92-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.60$ and 2.35$2.27(2 \times \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.01-0.77(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{HRMS}$ found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 610.3707 ; \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 610.3717$.

BzlOAc-Arg(NH2)-Ala-Sta-CONH(CH2 $\mathbf{2}_{2} \mathbf{P h}$.TFA (71). General Procedure A was followed using BzlOAc- $\operatorname{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc $)$-Ala-Sta-NH $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} 119(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 71 as a white solid ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD) (rotamers): $\delta 7.39-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-$ $3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.65$ and 2.31-2.24(2×m, $2 H)$, 1.95-1.30 (m, 10H), 1.01-0.88 (m, 6H). HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 654.3970 ; \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}), 654.3979$.
$\mathbf{P h C H}_{2} \mathbf{S O}_{2}$ - $\mathbf{A r g}\left(\mathbf{N H}_{2}\right)$-Ala-Sta-NH(CH2 $)_{2} \mathbf{P h}$.TFA (72). General Procedure A was followed using $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N-\mathrm{diBoc})-\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{Sta}-\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} 120(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 72 as a white solid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD): $\delta 7.44-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.71$ and 2.28-2.24(2×m,2H), 1.01-0.85(m,6H). HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 660.3536 ; \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 660.3543$.
$\mathbf{P h S O}_{2}-\mathrm{Arg}\left(\mathbf{N H}_{2}\right)$-Ala-Sta-NH(CH2 $)_{2} \mathbf{P h}$.TFA (73). General Procedure A was followed using $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}-$ $\operatorname{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc $)$-Ala-Sta- $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} 117(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 73 as a white solid $(30 \mathrm{mg}$, $84 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD): 7.92-7.85 (m, 2H), 7.66-7.53 (m, 3H), 7.31-7.20 (m, 5H), 4.15-3.75 (m, 4H), 3.44-3.40 (m, 2H), 3.13-3.06 (m, 2H), 2.83-2.77 (m, 2H), 2.29-2.19 (m, 2H), 1.75-1.21 (m, 10H), 1.01$0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 646.3381 ; \mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 646.3387$.

Cbz-hPhe-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex (75). General Procedure D was followed using Boc-hPhe-Ala-StaNHCyhex $123(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain $\mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-hPhe-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex 124 as a white solid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ). A mixture of $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-hPhe-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex $124(90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(48 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.34 mmol ) and Cbz- $O$-succinimide ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was allowed to stir for 18 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .10 \%$ Citric acid solution was added to the reaction mixture. The solution was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was then washed with $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( $1 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the organic layer was concentrated in vacuo to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain 75 as a white solid ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)($ rotamers $): ~ \delta 7.35-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$,
$6.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$, $5.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.65$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=623[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Orn(N-Boc)-Ala-OEt (76). A mixture of Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-OH 8 ( $3.4 \mathrm{~g}, 9.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and CDI (1.5 $\mathrm{g}, 9.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for $40 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Ala-OEt $(1.71 \mathrm{~g}, 11.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was desalted with $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added to the above solution. The mixture was allowed to stir for 18 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was partitioned between brine and EtOAc. The layers were separated and the organic layer washed with $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 30 mL ), $10 \%$ Citric acid solution $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to give a solid. The solid was triturated with TBME and filtered off to obtain 76 as a white solid ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.42(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=466[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## General Procedure A

$\operatorname{Ac-Arg}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-OEt (77). A mixture of Ac-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OEt $9(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, in 4 N HCl in dioxane was allowed to stir for 15 min at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 15 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The solution was stirred vigorously for 5 min . $N, N{ }^{\prime}$-Bis-Boc-1-guanylpyrazole ( $551 \mathrm{mg}, 1.78$ mmol ) was added and the solution was left to stir for 12 h . Citric acid solution was added to the reaction mixture. The solution was extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was then washed with $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( $1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the organic layer was concentrated in vacuo to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain 77 as a colorless foam ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 11.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46-8.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56-4.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.49$ $(\mathrm{s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=516[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## General Procedure C

Fmoc-Sta-Ala-NH2 (78). A mixture of Fmoc-Sta-OH 2 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.126 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(43 \mathrm{uL}, 0.503 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), alaninamide. $\mathrm{HCl}(21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.164 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{HBTU}(62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.164 \mathrm{mmol})$, in DMF $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was allowed to stir for 18 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Citric acid solution was added to the reaction mixture. The solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was then washed with $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( $1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the organic layer was concentrated in vacuo to obtain an oil. The oil obtained was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain 78 as a colorless oil ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 9.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.48-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-$ $1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

Fmoc-Sta-NH(CH2)3 $\mathbf{C O N H}_{2}$ (79). General Procedure C was followed using Fmoc-Sta-OH 2 (120 mg, 0.3 mmol ) and 4-aminobutyric acid amide hydrochloride ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to obtain a crude gum. The gum was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and filtered off, washing with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to obtain 79 as a white solid ( 140 mg , $96 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.81-6.77$ (m, 1H), 6.27 (br s, 1H), $5.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.48-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-$ $3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.29(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

Boc-Sta-NHCyhex (80). General Procedure C was followed using Boc-Sta-OH 3 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and cyclohexylamine $(620 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ to obtain a gum. The gum was subjected to column chromatography eluting $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $7 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain $\mathbf{8 0}$ as a white solid ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 5.88(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.80-$ $3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.86$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=357[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 713[2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.
$\operatorname{Ac-Arg}(\mathbf{N}, \boldsymbol{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-Ala-NH2 (81). A mixture of Fmoc-Sta-Ala-NH2 $78(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$, and piperidine $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ in DMF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was allowed to stir for 30 min at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture
was concentrated to dryness in vacuo. The oil obtained was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \%$ dichloromethane to $20 \%$ methanol/dichloromethane to obtain $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Sta-Ala- $\mathrm{NH}_{2} 4$ as a white solid ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). General Procedure C was then followed using $\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N-\mathrm{diBoc})-\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{OH}$ $10(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.103 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Sta-Ala- $\mathrm{NH}_{2} 4(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.102 \mathrm{mmol})$ to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain $\mathbf{8 1}$ as a colorless oil ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ). MS, $m / z=715[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
$\operatorname{Ac-Arg}\left(\mathbf{N}, \boldsymbol{N} \text {-diBoc)-Ala- } \mathbf{S t a - N H}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathbf{C O N H}_{2} \text { (83). A mixture of Fmoc-Sta-NH(CH2 }\right)_{3} \mathrm{CONH}_{2} 79$ $(140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$, and piperidine ( $575 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 2 mL ) was allowed to stir for 1 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness in vacuo. The solid was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and decanted off (repeated 3 times). The resulting solid $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Sta}-\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CONH}_{2} \mathbf{8 2}$ was used in the next reaction without further purification. General Procedure C was then followed using $\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{Arg}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ diBoc)-Ala-OH 10 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.103 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathbf{8 2}(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $12 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain $\mathbf{8 3}$ as a colorless oil ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ ). MS, $m / z=729[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
$\operatorname{Ac-Arg}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex (84). General Procedure C was followed using $\operatorname{Ac}-\operatorname{Arg}(N, N-$ diBoc)-Ala-OH 10 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and HCl. $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Sta-NHCyhex 6 ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.074 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain 84 as a colorless oil ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ ). MS, $m / z=726[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex (85). General Procedure C was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OH 15 ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \%$ DCM to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain $\mathbf{8 5}$ as a colourless oil (65 mg, 93\%). MS, $m / z=676[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Lys( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OEt (86). A mixture of Cbz-Lys( $N$-Boc)-OH 14 ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and CDI ( 1.07 $\mathrm{g}, 6.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 30 mL ) was stirred for 40 min . HCl. $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Ala-OEt ( $1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 7.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was desalted with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added to the above solution. The mixture
was allowed to stir for 18 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was partitioned between brine and EtOAc. The layers were separated and the organic layer washed with $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 30 mL ) , $10 \%$ citric acid solution ( 30 mL ) and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to give a solid. The solid triturated with TBME and filtered off to obtain 86 as a white solid ( $2.79 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21$ $(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.14-3.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.92-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=480[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Lys( $N$-Boc)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex (87). General Procedure C was followed using Cbz-Lys( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OH 16 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.221 \mathrm{mmol})$, and HCl. $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ Sta-NHCyhex $6(77.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain 87 as a white solid ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-6.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$, 6.16-6.06 (m, 1H), $5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.87-4.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-$ $3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 31 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.86$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=690[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex (88). General Procedure A was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$ -Boc)-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex $\mathbf{8 5}$ ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.086 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain $\mathbf{8 8}$ as a colourless oil ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 8.45-8.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-$ $3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 38 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=818[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-OEt (89). General Procedure E was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-AlaOEt $76(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 89 as a colorless foam ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.36$ (br s, 1H), $7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.15(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27$ (t, 3H, J 7.1 Hz).

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-OH (90). General Procedure B was followed using $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N-\mathrm{diBoc})-$ Ala-OEt $89(225 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 90 as a colorless oil (190 mg, $89 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$
$8.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=580[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Boc-AHMHpA-NHCyhex (91). Boc-AHMHpA-OH. DCHA 22 ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was desalted using $10 \%$ citric acid solution. The crude material was subjected to General Procedure C using cyclohexylamine ( $62 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.055 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 91 as an oil ( $95 \mathrm{mg} 97 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.09(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.25-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.43-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=357[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Boc-AHMHxA-NHCyhex (92). Boc-AHMHxA-OH .DCHA 21 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was desalted using $10 \%$ citric acid solution. The crude material was subjected to General Procedure C using cyclohexylamine ( $103 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 92 as a white solid ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $5.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.45-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 0.98-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=343[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Boc-AHPPA-NHCyhex (93). General Procedure C was followed using Boc-AHPPA-OH 23 (200 mg, 0.65 mmol ) using cyclohexylamine ( $148 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 93 as a white solid ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.32-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.65-5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 3.75-3.67 (m, 2H), 3.02-2.85 (m, 2H), 2.48-2.40 (m, 1H), 2.15-2.06 (m, 1H), 1.93-1.10 (m, 19H). MS, $m / z=391[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Boc-ACHPA-NHCyhex (94). General Procedure C was followed using Boc-ACHPA-OH 24 (100 mg, 0.32 mmol ) using cyclohexylamine ( $73 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 94 as a white solid $(125 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.97-5.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.99-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.63-3.57 (m, 1H), 2.48-2.40 (m, 1H), 2.29-2.22 (m, 1H), 1.90-0.85 (m, 31H).

Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Val-OMe (95). General Procedure C was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-OH 8 (200 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.546 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Val-OMe ( $183 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). $10 \%$ Citric acid solution was added to
the reaction mixture. The precipitate that formed was filtered off successively washing with water, a solution of $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and water to obtain 95 as a white solid ( $225 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ (br s, 1H), 5.45 (br s, 1H), 5.13 (s, 2H), 4.65 (br s, 1H), 4.54-4.50 (m, 1H), 4.37 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-215(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.91(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=480[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ile-OMe (96). General Procedure C was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-OH 8 (200 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.546 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Ile-OMe ( $214 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to obtain 96 as a colorless oil ( 275 mg , $98 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.58-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.1(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H})$, 0.95-0.89 (m, 6H). $m / z=494[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Leu-OMe (97). General Procedure C was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-OH 8 (200 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.546 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Leu-OMe ( $214 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 97 as a colorless oil ( 275 mg , $98 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.61-4.53 (m, 1H), $4.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-$ $0.92(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=494[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Phe-OEt (98). General Procedure C was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-OH 8 (200 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.546 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Phe-OEt ( $251 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). $10 \%$ Citric acid solution was added to the reaction mixture. The precipitate that formed was filtered off successively washing with water, a solution of $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and water to obtain 98 as a white solid ( $290 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 7.36-7.14 (m, 10H), 6.75 (br s, 1H), 5.42 (br s, 1H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 4.84-4.77 (m, 1H), 4.69 (br s, 1H), 4.28 (br s, 1H), $4.17(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.25-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ $542[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Arg(N,N-diBoc)-Val-Sta-NHCyhex (99). General Procedure A was followed using Cbz-Orn( N -Boc)-Val-Sta-NHCyhex $42(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042 \mathrm{mmol})$ to obtain 99 as a colorless oil $(25 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=846$ $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Ile-Sta-NHCyhex (100). General Procedure A was followed using Cbz-Orn( N -Boc)-Ile-Sta-NHCyhex 43 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 100 as a colorless oil ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ). $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ 860 [M] ${ }^{+}$.

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Leu-Sta-NHCyhex (101). General Procedure A was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$ -Boc)-Leu-Sta-NHCyhex 44 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), obtain 101 as a colorless oil ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ). $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=860$ $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Phe-Sta-NHCyhex (102). General Procedure A was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$ -Boc)-Phe-Sta-NHCyhex 45 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 102 as a colorless oil ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ). $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ 894 [M] ${ }^{+}$.

Boc-Sta-OAllyl (103). A mixture of Boc-Sta-OH 3 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(301 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and allyl bromide ( $236 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 4 mL ) was allowed to stir for 2 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .10 \%$ Citric acid solution was added to the reaction mixture and the solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was then washed successively with $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and water ( $1 \times 10$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the organic layer was concentrated in vacuo to obtain 103 as an oil ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 6.00-5.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38-5.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72-4.63(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J 6.6$ Hz ).

Cbz-Arg( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-OAllyl (104). General Procedure D was followed using Boc-Sta-OAllyl $103(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol}) . \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Sta-OAllyl. HCl 50 was obtained and used directly in the following reaction. General Procedure C was then followed using $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Sta-OAllyl. HCl 50 ( $850 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc)-Ala-OH $90(388 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ to obtain an oil. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain 104 as a white solid ( $825 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50-6.35(\mathrm{~m}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95-5.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-$ $3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J 6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=777[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
$\mathbf{C b z}-\mathrm{Arg}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N} \text {-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-NH(CH2})_{2} \mathbf{P h}\left(\mathbf{4}^{\prime} \mathbf{- C l}\right)(\mathbf{1 0 9 )}$. General Procedure C was followed using Cbz-Arg( $N, N-\mathrm{diBoc})-\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{Sta}-\mathrm{OH} 51(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-ethylamine ( 18 mg , 0.135 mmol ), to obtain to give 109 as yellow oil ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $11.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39-8.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.74$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40-5.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13-4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.51-3.28 (m, 4H), 2.82-2.68 (m, 2H), 2.31-2.18 (m, 2H), 1.89-1.54 (m, 6H), 1.49-1.44 (m, 17H), 1.35 $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=874.6[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
$\mathbf{C b z}-\mathrm{Arg}\left(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}\right.$-diBoc)-Ala-Sta- $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathbf{P h}\left(\mathbf{4}^{\prime}-\mathbf{C l}\right)(\mathbf{1 1 0})$. General Procedure C was followed using Cbz-Arg( $N, N$-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-OH 51 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-(4-chlorophenyl)- $N$-methylethanamine $(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain to give $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ as yellow oil ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 325 K , rotamers) $\delta 11.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58-8.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.68$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44-6.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.99-2.71 (m, 5H), 2.44-2.09 (m, 2H), 1.95-1.55 (m, 6H), 1.54-1.43 (m, 18H), 1.42-1.31 (m, 4H), 0.93 $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}, m / z=888.5[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## General Procedure F

PhSO $_{2}-\mathrm{Orn}(\boldsymbol{N}$-Boc)-Ala-OEt (111). Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OEt 58 (300 mg, 0.64 mmol ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in EtOAc under a hydrogen atmosphere was allowed to stir for 18 h . The mixture was filtered through Celite and concentrated to dryness in vacuo. To the crude oil was dissolved in DCM ( 2 mL ), benzenesulfonyl chloride $(89 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(97 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was then allowed to stir for 18 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness in vacuo. The oil was subjected to silica chromatography gradient eluting with $100 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ to obtain 111 as a white solid (240 mg, 80\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.87-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
$5.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-3.99$, (m, 4H), 3.30-2.95(m, 2H), 1.79-1.45 (m, 4H), $1.41(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . m / z=472[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Bz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OEt (112). General Procedure F was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OEt 58 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzoyl chloride ( $82 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 112 as a white solid ( 190 mg , $69 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.83-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-$ $4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.36(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) . m / z=436[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

BzlOAc-Orn(N-Boc)-Ala-OEt (113). General Procedure F was followed using Cbz-Orn(N-Boc)-AlaOEt 58 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzyloxyacetyl chloride ( $107 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 113 as a colorless oil ( $235 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.40-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.46 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.05(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=480[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
$\mathbf{P h C H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{Orn}(\boldsymbol{N}$-Boc)-Ala-OEt (114). General Procedure F was followed using Cbz-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-OEt 58 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzylsulfonyl chloride ( $143 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 114 as a white solid (190 mg, 62\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.44-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-$ $1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) . m / z=486[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Boc-Sta-NH(CH2)2 Ph (115). General Procedure C was followed using Boc-Sta-OH 3 ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.54$ mmol ) and phenethylamine ( $616 \mathrm{mg}, 5.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to obtain 115 as a solid $(950 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-$ $3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $14.9,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.6,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . m / z=379[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
$\mathbf{P h S O}_{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{A r g}\left(\mathbf{N}, \boldsymbol{N} \text {-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-NH( } \mathbf{C H}_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{P h}$ (117). General Procedure A was followed using $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}-$ Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-Sta-NH $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} 67(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 117 as a colorless oil ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 8.45-8.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.15(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.83-2.75 (m, 2H), 2.40-2.25 (m, 2H), 1.30-1.25 (m, 28H), 0.93-0.89 (m, 6H). $m / z=846[M]^{+}$.

Bz-Arg( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-NH(CH2$)_{2} \mathbf{P h}$ (118). General Procedure A was followed using Bz-Orn( $N-$ Boc)-Ala-Sta-NH $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} 65(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 118 as a colorless oil $(55 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (rotamers): $\delta 7.87-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75-$ $4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.30(28 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.90-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . m / z=810[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

BzlOAc-Arg( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-NH(CH2 $)_{2} \mathbf{P h}$ (119). General Procedure A was followed using BzlOAc-Orn( $N$-Boc)-Ala-Sta-NH $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} 66(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain 119 as a colorless oil (45 $\mathrm{mg}, 75 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.37-7.15(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.49-6.45 (m, 0.5H), 4.99-4.84 (m, 1H), 4.60-4.30 (m, 4H), 4.00-3.85 (m, 4H), 3.55-3.35 (m, 2H), 3.25$3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=854$ $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.
$\mathbf{P h C H}_{2} \mathbf{S O}_{2}-\mathrm{Arg}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N} \text {-diBoc)-Ala-Sta-NH(CH2})_{2} \mathbf{P h}(120)$. General Procedure A was followed using $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{Orn}(N-\mathrm{Boc})$-Ala-Sta-NH $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph} \mathbf{6 8}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ as a colorless oil ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.40-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.10-5.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.84-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=860[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Boc-hPhe-Ala-OEt (121). General Procedure C was followed using Boc-hPhe-OH ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.79$ mmol ), and $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Ala-OEt ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 2.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), to obtain 121 as a white solid ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.32-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$
$7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.21-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.41$ $(\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) . m / z=266[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

Boc-hPhe-Ala-OH (122). General Procedure C was followed using Boc-hPhe-Ala-OEt 121 ( 450 mg , $1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ as a colorless oil ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.29-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ (br s, 1H), $5.28(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.45-1.35 (m, 12H). $m / z=351[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Boc-hPhe-Ala-Sta-NHCyhex (123). General Procedure C was followed using Boc-hPhe-Ala-OH 122 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{NH}_{2}$-Sta-Cyhex $\mathbf{6}(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.314 \mathrm{mmol})$, to obtain $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ as a white solid (140 mg, 83\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (rotamers): $\delta 7.32-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $2.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.72-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=589[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## Supporting Information

Parallel chemistry experimental, compound evaluation data and BACE1 and cathepsin D sequence alignment data. "This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org."

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

## Corresponding Author

*Dr. Brad E. Sleebs. Phone: +61 39345 2718. Email: sleebs@wehi.edu.au.

## Notes

The authors declare no conflict of interest with this manuscript.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (Project Grant 1010326 to J.A.B), the Human Frontiers Science Program (RGY0073/2012 to J.A.B), the Ramaciotti Foundation (Establishment Grant 3197/2010 to J.A.B), a CASS Foundation Science and Medicine grant (SM.12.4348 to J.A.B), the Australian Cancer Research Foundation, and a Victorian State Government Operational Infrastructure Support and Australian Government NHMRC IRIISS. We thank the University of Melbourne for the provision of a postgraduate scholarship awarded to M.G. A.F.C. is a Howard Hughes International Scholar and an Australia Fellow of the NHMRC. J.A.B is a QEII Fellow of the Australian Research Council. We thank Dr Guillaume Lessene and Prof David Huang for their helpful discussions.

## ABBREVIATIONS

PMV - Plasmepsin V; PEXEL - Plasmodium Export Element; Pf - Plasmodium falciparum; Pv Plasmodium vivax; BACE-1 - beta-secretase-1; KAHRP - Knob Associated Histidine Rich Protein; PfEMP3 - P. falciparum erythrocyte membrane protein 3; PTEX - Plasmodium Translocon of Exported proteins; ER - Endoplasmic reticulum; GFP - Green Fluorescent Protein; PMVHA - immuno precipitated Plasmepsin V tagged with 3x hemagglutinin tags; Cyhex - cyclohexyl; HBTU - $O$ -(benzotriazol-1-yl)- $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate; TFA - trifluoroacetic acid; CDI - $N, N^{\prime}$-carbonyldiimidazole; AHMHpA - Boc-(3S,4S,5S)-4-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methylheptanoic acid; AHMHxA - $(3 S, 4 S)$-4-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methylhexanoic acid; AHPPA - (3S,4S)-4-amino-3-hydroxy-5-phenylpentanoic acid; ACHPA - (3S,4S)-4-amino-3-hydroxy-5-cyclohexylpentanoic acid; Sta -
(3S,4S)-4-amino-3-hydroxy-6-methylheptanoic acid; BzlOAc - benzyloxyacetyl; DCHA - N,Ndicyclohexylamine.
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Figure 1. The KAHRP PEXEL residues $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}-\mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ and the associated binding pockets of $\mathrm{PMV}\left(\mathrm{S}_{3}-\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right)$.


Figure 2. The structure of the previously described plasmepsin V inhibitor $\mathbf{1}$ (WEHI-916). ${ }^{11}$


Figure 3. Modeling studies highlight the important binding interactions of PMV (cyan and tan) with the KAHRP PEXEL (violet). A space filling representation showing the arrangement of catalytic acid (Asp118), base (Asp364) (tan) and water molecule (grey). From the modeling studies, the $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ Arg of the PEXEL motif forms salt bridges with Glu179 and Glu213, and packs against the hydrophobic side-chain of Phe 220 in the $S_{3}$ pocket of PMV. The $P_{1}$ Leu of the PEXEL packs tightly in the $S_{1}$ pocket of PMV formed by the side-chains of residues Tyr99, Ile113, Phe220 and Val 227.

Table 1. Protease activity of TS mimetics, probing the $P_{4}, P_{3}, P_{1}$ and $P_{1}{ }^{\prime} / P_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ moieties.

| Cmpd |  |  |  |  | Protease inhibition$\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PfPMV } \\ ( \pm \text { SEM })^{\text {a }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { cathepsin D } \\ ( \pm \text { SEM })^{\text {b }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BACE- } \\ 1^{\mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{cLog}^{\text {d }}$ |
| 74 | Ac | $N$-guan | $i$-Bu | $\mathrm{Gln}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.556 \\ (0.116) \end{gathered}$ | >100 | >100 | -4.9 |
| 11 | Ac | $N$-guan | $i$-Bu | Ala-NH2 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 0.613 \\ (0.299) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | >100 | >100 | -3.8 |
| 12 | Ac | $N$-guan | $i$-Bu | NHPrCONH2 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.865 \\ (0.264) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | >100 | >100 | -3.8 |
| 13 | Ac | $N$-guan | $i$-Bu | NHCyhex | $\begin{gathered} 0.967 \\ (0.410) \end{gathered}$ | >100 | >100 | -1.2 |
| 17 | Cbz | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $i$-Bu | NHCyhex | $>20$ | >100 | >100 | 1.9 |
| 18 | Cbz | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | NHCyhex | $>20$ | >100 | >100 | 2.3 |
| 19 | Cbz | N -guan | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | NHCyhex | $\begin{gathered} 0.614 \\ (0.143) \end{gathered}$ | >100 | >100 | 1.2 |
| 20 | Cbz | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$-guan | $i-\mathrm{Bu}$ | NHCyhex | $>20$ | nd | nd | 1.6 |
| 75 | Cbz | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{-1} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $i$-Bu | NHCyhex | $>20$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.043 \\ (0.016) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | >100 | 4.5 |
| 33 | Cbz | $N$-guan | $i$-Pr | NHCyhex | $>20$ | nd | nd | 0.8 |
| 34 | Cbz | $N$-guan | $s-\mathrm{Bu}(S)$ | NHCyhex | $>20$ | nd | nd | 1.3 |
| 35 | Cbz | $N$-guan | Bzl | NHCyhex | $>20$ | nd | nd | 1.6 |
| 36 | Cbz | N -guan | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cy} \\ \text { hex } \end{gathered}$ | NHCyhex | $>20$ | nd | nd | 2.1 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ data represents means $\pm$ SEMs for three independent fluorogenic substrate (wtKAHRP) cleavage experiments. $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ of substrate was determined for each enzyme batch. A 9-point dilution series of each compound was incubated $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ with P. falciparum (Pf) PMVHA isolated from parasites. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Data represents means $\pm$ SEMs for three independent fluorogenic substrate cleavage experiments. An 11-point dilution series of each compound was incubated $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ with cathepsin D. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Data represents means for two independent TRF substrate cleavage experiments. An 11-point dilution series of each compound was incubated $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ with BACE-1. guan = guanidine; Cyhex $=$ cyclohexyl. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Calculated using ChemAxon software. ${ }^{45}$

Table 2. Protease activity of TS mimetics, probing the $\mathrm{P}_{4}, \mathrm{P}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime} / \mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ moieties.

| Cmpd |  |  |  | Protease inhibition$\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PfPMV } \\ ( \pm \mathrm{SEM})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { cathepsin } \\ \text { D } \\ ( \pm \text { SEM })^{\text {b }} \end{gathered}$ | BACE- $1^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{cLog}^{\text {e }}$ |
| 19 | Cbz | Me | NHCyhex | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.614 \\ (0.143) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | >100 | >100 | 1.2 |
| 46 | Cbz | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | NHCyhex | $\begin{array}{r} 0.029 \\ (0.006) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.713 \\ (0.207) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | >100 | 2.1 |
| 47 | Cbz | $s-\mathrm{Bu}(S)$ | NHCyhex | $\begin{array}{r} 0.026 \\ (0.007) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.447 \\ (0.085) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | >100 | 2.5 |
| 48 | Cbz | $i$-Bu | NHCyhex | $\begin{gathered} 0.077 \\ (0.022) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5.1 (1.8) | >100 | 2.5 |
| 49 | Cbz | Bzl | NHCyhex | $\begin{array}{r} 0.230 \\ (0.050) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4.8 (1.2) | >100 | 2.8 |
| 52 | Cbz | Me | NHBzl | $\begin{gathered} 0.530 \\ (0.267) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | >100 | >100 | 1.1 |
| 53 | Cbz | Me | $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.622 \\ (0.355) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 29 (14) | >100 | 1.4 |
| 54 | Cbz | Me | NH-2-indane | $\begin{gathered} 0.772 \\ (0.281) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | >100 | >100 | 1.5 |
| 55 | Cbz | Me | $r a c-\mathrm{NHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Ph}^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.569 \\ (0.239) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | >100 | >100 | 1.5 |
| 56 | Cbz | Me | $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\left(4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.348 \\ (0.103) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | >100 | >100 | 2.0 |
| 57 | Cbz | Me | $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\left(4{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.01 \\ (1.41) \end{gathered}$ | >100 | >100 | 2.2 |
| 70 | Bz | Me | $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.774 \\ (0.699) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | >100 | >100 | 0.9 |
| 71 | $\mathrm{BzlOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | Me | $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.550 \\ (0.325) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | >100 | >100 | 0.6 |
| 72 | $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}$ | Me | $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.378 \\ (0.269) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | >100 | >100 | 0.6 |
| 73 | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | Me | $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.625 \\ (0.051) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | >100 | >100 | 0.4 |
| 1 | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | $i-\operatorname{Pr}$ | $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.019 \\ (0.003) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 32 (11) | >100 | 1.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ data represents means $\pm$ SEMs for three independent fluorogenic substrate (wtKAHRP) cleavage experiments. A 9-point dilution series of each compound was incubated $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ with $P$. falciparum $(\mathrm{Pf})$ PMVHA isolated from parasites. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Data represents means $\pm$ SEMs for three independent fluorogenic substrate cleavage experiments. An 11-point dilution series of each compound was incubated $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ with cathepsin D. ${ }^{c}$ Data represents means for two independent TRF substrate cleavage experiments. An 11-
point dilution series of each compound was incubated $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ with BACE-1. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{rac}=$ racemic. . yhex $=$ cyclohexyl. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Calculated using ChemAxon software. ${ }^{45}$

Figure 4. Inhibition of plasmepsin V from $P$. falciparum and $P$. vivax.

${ }^{\text {a }}$ \% Inhibition of PfPMV and PvPMV by the TS mimetics. Percent inhibition of PfPMV and PvPMV were determined by addition of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound into the fluorogenic PEXEL cleavage assay. Results shown are a minimum of three independent biological replicates using either $P$. falciparum (white bar) or $P$. vivax (black bar) PMV. Error bars indicate SEM.

Table 3. Cellular activity of TS mimetics compared to P. falciparum PMV inhibition.

| Compound | PfPMV <br> $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | P. falciparum <br> viability <br> EC $_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Densitometry of <br> PfEMP3 PEXEL <br> cleavage inhibition | HepG2 <br> $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{d}}$ | cLogP $^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $>20$ | $>50$ | $0.18(0.08)$ | $>50$ | 2.3 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 0.614 <br> $(0.143)$ | 34.6 <br> $(5.9)$ | $0.20(0.10)$ | $>50$ | 1.2 |
| $\mathbf{7 1}$ | 0.550 <br> $(0.325)$ | 23.0 <br> $(6.7)$ | $0.16(0.01)$ | $>50$ | 0.6 |
| $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 0.378 <br> $(0.269)$ | 16.3 <br> $(1.1)$ | $0.31(0.04)$ | nd | 0.6 |
| $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 0.077 <br> $(0.022)$ | 8.9 <br> $(1.3)$ | $0.26(0.14)$ | $>50$ | 2.5 |
| $\mathbf{4 6}$ | 0.029 <br> $(0.006)$ | 9.8 <br> $(1.5)$ | $0.24(0.05)$ | $>50$ | 2.1 |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 0.026 <br> $(0.007)$ | 6.8 <br> $(0.3)$ | $0.53(0.13)$ | $>50$ | 2.5 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.019 <br> $(0.003)$ | 2.5 <br> $(0.5)$ | $2.76(0.58)$ | $>50$ | 1.3 |
| $\mathbf{C Q}^{\mathrm{f}}$ | nd | 0.003 <br> $(0.002-0.004)$ | nd | nd | nd |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ data represents mean ( $\pm$ SEM) of three independent fluorogenic substrate (wTKAHRP) cleavage experiments. A 9-point dilution series of each compound was incubated with P. falciparum (Pf) PMVHA isolated from parasites. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ data represents mean $\pm$ SEMs for three independent experiments measuring P. falciparum 3D7 parasitemia by flow cytometry following exposure to compounds in 9-point dilution series for 72 h . Parasite survival was measured relative to vehicle-treated controls. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Data is the mean $( \pm$ SEM ) of three experiments measuring inhibition of PfEMP3-GFP PEXEL processing in $P$. falciparuminfected erythrocytes following treatment with $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound for 5 h . PEXEL cleavage was evaluated by immunoblot with anti-GFP antibodies and densitometry of the uncleaved bands (optical density per $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) was performed. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ data represents mean of three independent toxicity experiments. An 11-point dilution series of each compound was incubated for 48 h . Cell Titre-Glo was used to quantify cell death. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Calculated using ChemAxon software. ${ }^{45 \mathrm{f}} \mathrm{CQ}$, chloroquine.


Figure 5. Activity of selected transition state mimetics against PMV in cultured parasites. P. falciparum trophozoites expressing PfEMP3-GFP in infected erythrocytes were treated with $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of compound $\mathbf{7 1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4 6}, 48,47,72$, or vehicle control (EtOH) and processing of the PEXEL in PfEMP3 was assessed by immunoblotting with anti-GFP antibodies. A schematic of the GFP protein and its cleavage positions is shown at the top. Uncleaved (black arrow), PEXEL-cleaved (blue arrow) and 'GFP only' (a degraded remnant of the GFP reporter in the food vacuole) species of PfEMP3-GFP is indicated next to the immunoblot. PEXEL R > A mutant PfEMP3-GFP was included as a size control and the blot was probed with parasite anti-HSP70 as a loading control. Densitometry of the uncleaved band in each lane is shown beneath the blot. PMV inhibition can be seen for compounds 1, 46, 47, and 72.


Figure 6. Summary of the key structure activity relationships, using 1.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of $\mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{P}_{2}$, analogues ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$


${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents and conditions: a) HBTU, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMF, $\mathrm{R}^{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$; b) For Fmoc: piperidine, DMF; For Boc: 4N HCl dioxane; c) CDI, Et $\mathrm{E}_{3}$, ethyl alaninate. $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{THF}$; d) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc}^{2}$ e) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; f) 4 N HCl dioxane; g) $N, N^{\prime}$-bis-Boc-1-guanylpyrazole, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}$; h) LiOH, THF/H2O; i) 4-6, HBTU, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMF; j) TFA, DCM.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of $P_{3}$ analogues ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents and conditions: a) CDI, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, ethyl alaninate. $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{THF}$; b) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; c) 6, HBTU , $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}$; d) 4 N HCl dioxane; e) $N, N^{\prime}$-bis-Boc-1-guanylpyrazole, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}$; f) TFA, DCM.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of $P_{1}$ analogues ${ }^{a}$


[^0]Scheme 4. Synthesis of $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ analogues ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents and conditions: a) 8, $\mathrm{HBTU}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}$; b) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; c) 6, $\mathrm{HBTU}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}$; d) 4 NHCl dioxane; e) $N, N$-bis-Boc-1-guanylpyrazole, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}$; f) TFA, DCM.

Scheme 5. Synthesis of $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime} / \mathrm{P}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ analogues ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents and conditions: a) allyl- $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}$; b) 4 N HCl dioxane; c) $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N$-diBoc)-AlaOH 90, HBTU, Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}$; d) LiOH, THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; e) $\mathrm{R}^{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, ~ \mathrm{HBTU}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMF; f) TFA, DCM.

Scheme 6. Synthesis of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ analogues ${ }^{\text {a }}$


| $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 60 Bz | Me |
| 61 BziOAc | Me |
| $62 \mathrm{PhSO}_{2}$ | Me |
| $63 \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | Me |
| $64 \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ |


${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc}$; b) $\mathrm{R}^{4}-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}$; c) LiOH, THF/H2O; d) 7, HBTU, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}$; e) 4 NHCl dioxane; f) $N, N$-bis-Boc-1-guanylpyrazole, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DCM; g) TFA, DCM.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents and conditions: a) HBTU, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Cyhex} ;$ b) 4 N HCl dioxane; c) $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Arg}(N, N-$ diBoc)-Ala-OH 90, HBTU, Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}$; d) TFA, DCM.

