



Research Publication Repository

<http://publications.wehi.edu.au/search/SearchPublications>

This is the author's peer reviewed manuscript version of a work accepted for publication.

Publication details:	Murphy JM, Farhan H, Evers PA. Bio-Zombie: the rise of pseudoenzymes in biology. <i>Biochemical Society Transactions</i> . 2017 45(2):537-544
Published version is available at:	https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20160400

Changes introduced as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing and formatting may not be reflected in this manuscript.

Bio-Zombie: the rise of pseudoenzymes in biology

James M. Murphy^{1,2,*}, Hesso Farhan³, Patrick A. Eyers^{4*}

¹ Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, 1G Royal Parade, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia

² Department of Medical Biology, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia

³ Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

⁴ Department of Biochemistry, Institute of Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Crown Street, Liverpool L69 7ZB, UK

*Correspondence to James Murphy (jamesm@wehi.edu.au) or Patrick Eyers (Patrick.eyers@liverpool.ac.uk)

Summary

Pseudoenzymes are catalytically-dead counterparts of enzymes. Despite their first description some 50 years ago, the importance and functional diversity of these ‘fit-for-purpose’ polypeptides is only now being appreciated. Pseudoenzymes have been identified throughout all the kingdoms of life and, owing to predicted deficits in enzyme activity due to the absence of catalytic residues, have been variously referred to as pseudoenzymes, non-enzymes, dead enzymes, prozymes or ‘zombie’ proteins. An important goal of the recent Biochemical Society Pseudoenzymes focused meeting was to explore the functional and evolutionary diversity of pseudoenzymes and to begin to evaluate their functions in biology, including in cell signalling and metabolism. Here, we summarize the impressive breadth of enzyme classes that are known to have pseudoenzyme counterparts and present examples of known cellular functions. We predict that the next decades will represent golden years for the analysis of pseudoenzymes.

Main text

The existence of pseudoenzymes was first inferred through a direct comparison of the sequences of lysozyme and α -lactalbumin [1], some time before the dawn of molecular cloning, genomics, proteomics or our appreciation of cell signalling mechanisms or metabolomics. Indeed, this important class of proteins has generally failed to garner the same attention as their active counterparts, appearing to suffer from the stigma that they are merely remnants of evolution, rather than efficient signalling and regulatory entities in their own right. Moreover, their presence in proteomes throughout the kingdoms of life, and their prevalence – with estimates of the order of 10-15% in a typical genome [2] – are illustrative of their fundamental importance in biology. Importantly, an enhanced understanding of pseudoenzyme function and mechanistic adaptations are likely to provide important insights into the rapidly emerging research area that has ascribed non-catalytic functions to ‘conventional’ signalling enzymes like protein kinases [3], and which might also include ‘classical’ enzymes such as catalase [4]. This type of discrimination between catalytic and non-catalytic outputs is increasingly important as we seek to untangle the complexity of signalling mechanisms and use this knowledge to focus drug design for therapeutic benefit.

The key goal of the recent Biochemical Society Pseudoenzymes focused meeting held in Liverpool (September 11-14, 2016) was to bring together active researchers focussing on the rapidly emerging pseudoenzyme field. Much of the recent history in this area has been written in the ever-expanding pseudokinases field, where structural, cellular, biochemical and genetic studies have provided a picture of the broad diversity of signalling functions that might be mediated more generally by pseudoenzymes (reviewed in [5-7]).

Owing to defects in catalytic activities arising from the loss of key (conserved) catalytic residues, pseudoenzymes appear to have evolved into important regulatory protein interaction domains [8]. It is important to note that pseudoenzymes are fundamentally distinct from pseudogenes, and should therefore be accorded rather different treatment. In particular, whilst pseudogenes are the non-coding counterparts of conventional genes, pseudoenzymes are transcribed and translated from distinct (often duplicated) genes, and have been shown to perform diverse functions despite catalytic deficiencies. For example, pseudoenzymes have been attributed roles in allosteric regulation of catalytically active cognate (related) enzymes (either in an activating or inhibitory mode) or distinct families of enzymes, in controlling localization of proteins within the cell including by regulating trafficking, and in nucleating the assembly of intracellular signalling hubs. Despite our best efforts, the difficulty in identifying predicted dead enzymes from huge proteomes and the diversity of pseudoenzymes (summarized in Table 1) makes this overview far from comprehensive; instead it should be considered as a work in progress. Indeed, the functions of pseudoenzymes in biological networks are still emerging in most research fields, and identifying examples of ‘naturally-occurring’ defective enzymes based upon the vast enzyme and signalling literature poses a substantial challenge. In part this owes much to the historic lack of interest in proteins that have lost catalytic activity, but is also complicated by the diversity of ways that have been used to identify such proteins, which include terms such as non-enzymes, prozymes, dead enzymes, and catalytically-defective enzymes. However, we predict that the uptake of the term “pseudoenzyme”, backed up with an up-to-date open access website (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pseudoenzyme>), should aid their identification and a rapid expansion to include many more examples in the future. Nonetheless, the wealth of published examples that we have identified underscores their importance in biology and their exceptionally broad mechanistic diversity.

In terms of their evolutionary trajectory, seminal bioinformatic studies argue for the evolution of most (but perhaps not all) pseudoenzymes from ancestral active enzyme counterparts [9, 10]. This conclusion was reached through the common loss of evolutionarily-traceable mechanisms in pseudoenzymes, including mutations that cause active site occlusion, but especially *via* mutation of one or more of the key catalytic residues and motifs recognised in related enzyme counterparts [10]. Intriguingly, whether a pseudoenzyme can be reverted to an ancestral function varies widely between protein families and superfamilies [8]. For example, biologically-relevant levels of catalytic activity can often be restored to pseudophosphatases through simple mutations (such as facile reintroduction of an absent catalytic Cys residue), perhaps indicative of selective pressures to retain the phosphopeptide binding site for their biological function in both an enzyme and pseudoenzyme mode. On the other hand, it appears to be more tricky to ‘resurrect’ pseudokinases into catalytically-active kinases, even when multiple conventional catalytic motifs are reintroduced, as illustrated for RYK [11]. One possibility is that resurrection of activities among enzymes with complex catalytic mechanisms, such as kinases, relies on extensive conformational changes that are not necessary to restore phosphatase activities to other pseudoenzymes, such as pseudophosphatases. This finding is consistent with the idea that the protein kinase fold has been widely co-opted for divergent protein interaction functions.

Pseudoenzymes have been proposed to arise most commonly following gene duplications, allowing the enzyme to be retained for a catalytic role, so that additional ‘copies’ are liberated to evolve new functions without the requirement to maintain active site geometry for catalysis. In some cases, the duplication has led to introduction of a tandem domain architecture, where a pseudoenzyme domain has arisen adjacent to the catalytic counterpart, and the pseudoenzyme domain has acquired a function as an allosteric regulator of the conventional enzyme domain (Janus Kinases [12], GCN2 [13], EccC ATPase [14]). In terms of pseudokinases and pseudophosphatases, multiple examples of binary signalling polypeptides containing both enzyme and pseudoenzyme sequences arranged in series are known, many in the context of tyrosine (de)phosphorylation [7, 15]. Interestingly, recent structural evaluation of the specialised RBR (RING-BetweenRING-RING) family of 13 human Ubiquitin E3 ligases [16] has revealed an analogous tandem domain arrangement. This family, which includes the linear ubiquitylation E3 ligase HOIP and the Parkinson’s disease-associated ligase Parkin, feature two domains of similar fold in a tandem array: the required-for-catalysis, Rcat (also known as RING2), domain that is preceded by the pseudoenzyme ‘Benign’ Rcat, BRcat (also known as InBetweenRING, IBR) domain [17-21].

The evolution of new functions following gene duplication has led to a number of cases where a pseudoenzyme functions within the same ‘pathway’ as the ancestral enzyme, most commonly to become an allosteric activator or suppressor and thus contributing an important layer of regulation. As noted by others [22], such a shared pathway might be predicted, since the duplicated gene product could be co-expressed both temporally and spatially alongside the conventional enzyme following duplication of the enzyme gene locus.

An important outcome of the ‘Pseudoenzymes’ meeting was the appreciation (or perhaps re-discovery) that a very wide range of pseudoenzymes has appeared across the kingdoms of life. Most importantly, pseudoenzymes have been described in various microbes, model prokaryotes, unicellular protists and across the eukaryotes, including in yeasts, plants, invertebrates and vertebrates. In some cases, evolution has produced ubiquitous pseudoenzyme subfamilies, in others either a specific niche has been defined by a

pseudoenzyme, or we remain in the dark as to how sequence variation dictates the required transition between enzyme and pseudoenzyme: only future experimentation will reveal these mechanisms. In Table 1, we summarize the enormous diversity among pseudoenzyme classes, which now includes pseudokinases, pseudo-Histidine kinases of the ‘two-component’ family, pseudophosphatases, pseudoproteases, pseudoDUBs, pseudo-Ubiquitin ligases, pseudonucleases, pseudoATPases, pseudoGTPases, pseudochitinases, pseudosialidases, pseudolyases, pseudotransferases, pseudoHATs, pseudophospholipases, pseudooxidoreductases and pseudodismutases. Whilst these types of pseudoenzyme are distinct with respect to their evolutionary origins, and their cellular mechanisms of action are often poorly understood, it is clear that they perform biological functions, including allosteric regulation of *bona fide* enzymes, regulation of protein localisation/trafficking, or nucleation of signalling complexes. Intriguingly, despite extensive searches, some classes of enzyme do not have readily identifiable pseudoenzyme counterparts. A notable example is the HECT E3 Ubiquitin ligase family, a critical group of E3 ligases distinct from the more common ‘scaffold-like’ RING-type E3 ligases whose catalytic residues are notoriously difficult to predict from sequence [23]. HECT E3 ligases possess an invariant Cys residue in the catalytic centre that forms a direct covalent ubiquitin intermediate after transfer from an E2 ligase [24]. We speculate here that this critical mechanistic Cys is fundamental to the function of this enzyme class, and not appropriate for a ‘pseudoenzyme’ niche in cell signalling.

Finally, we anticipate that as we learn more about pseudoenzymes from detailed cellular and molecular studies, the molecular basis for pseudoenzyme evolution will be slowly revealed, enabling the diverse mechanisms by which pseudoenzymes operate in cells to become clearer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Biochemical Society for supporting conferences in this emerging field; colleagues at the 2016 Pseudoenzymes meeting for useful discussions and for sharing their ideas; and Elton Zeqiraj and Kay Hofmann for discussions on the curious absence of HECT pseudo-E3 ligases.

FUNDING

JMM thanks the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia for supporting research in this emerging area in his lab (1105754, 1057905, 1067289, 1124735, 1124737, IRISS 9000220) and the Victorian Government Operational Infrastructure Support. PAE thanks the BBSRC (BB/N021703/1) and NWCR (CR1037) for research funding.

References

- 1 Brew, K., Vanaman, T. C. and Hill, R. L. (1967) Comparison of the amino acid sequence of bovine alpha-lactalbumin and hens egg white lysozyme. *J Biol Chem.* **242**, 3747-3749
- 2 Pils, B. and Schultz, J. (2004) Inactive enzyme-homologues find new function in regulatory processes. *J Mol Biol.* **340**, 399-404
- 3 Kung, J. E. and Jura, N. (2016) Structural Basis for the Non-catalytic Functions of Protein Kinases. *Structure.* **24**, 7-24
- 4 Benoit, S. L. and Maier, R. J. (2016) Helicobacter Catalase Devoid of Catalytic Activity Protects the Bacterium against Oxidative Stress. *J Biol Chem*
- 5 Jacobsen, A. V. and Murphy, J. M. (2017) The secret life of kinases: insights into non-catalytic signalling functions from pseudokinases. *Biochem Soc Trans.* **Submitted**
- 6 Eyers, P. A. and Murphy, J. M. (2013) Dawn of the dead: protein pseudokinases signal new adventures in cell biology. *Biochemical Society Transactions.* **41**, 969-974
- 7 Reiterer, V., Eyers, P. A. and Farhan, H. (2014) Day of the dead: pseudokinases and pseudophosphatases in physiology and disease. *Trends Cell Biol.* **24**, 489-505
- 8 Eyers, P. A. and Murphy, J. M. (2016) The evolving world of pseudoenzymes: proteins, prejudice and zombies. *BMC Biol.* **14**, 98
- 9 Todd, A. E., Orengo, C. A. and Thornton, J. M. (2002) Plasticity of enzyme active sites. *Trends Biochem Sci.* **27**, 419-426
- 10 Todd, A. E., Orengo, C. A. and Thornton, J. M. (2002) Sequence and structural differences between enzyme and nonenzyme homologs. *Structure.* **10**, 1435-1451
- 11 Murphy, J. M., Zhang, Q., Young, S. N., Reese, M. L., Bailey, F. P., Eyers, P. A., Ungureanu, D., Hammaren, H., Silvennoinen, O., Varghese, L. N., Chen, K., Tripaydonis, A., Jura, N., Fukuda, K., Qin, J., Nimchuk, Z., Mudgett, M. B., Elowe, S., Gee, C. L., Liu, L., Daly, R. J., Manning, G., Babon, J. J. and Lucet, I. S. (2014) A robust methodology to subclassify pseudokinases based on their nucleotide-binding properties. *Biochem J.* **457**, 323-334
- 12 Babon, J. J., Lucet, I. S., Murphy, J. M., Nicola, N. A. and Varghese, L. N. (2014) The molecular regulation of Janus kinase (JAK) activation. *Biochem J.* **462**, 1-13
- 13 Lageix, S., Rothenburg, S., Dever, T. E. and Hinnebusch, A. G. (2014) Enhanced interaction between pseudokinase and kinase domains in Gcn2 stimulates eIF2alpha phosphorylation in starved cells. *PLoS Genet.* **10**, e1004326
- 14 Rosenberg, O. S., Dovala, D., Li, X., Connolly, L., Bendebury, A., Finer-Moore, J., Holton, J., Cheng, Y., Stroud, R. M. and Cox, J. S. (2015) Substrates Control Multimerization and Activation of the Multi-Domain ATPase Motor of Type VII Secretion. *Cell.* **161**, 501-512
- 15 Mendrola, J. M., Shi, F., Park, J. H. and Lemmon, M. A. (2013) Receptor tyrosine kinases with intracellular pseudokinase domains. *Biochem Soc Trans.* **41**, 1029-1036
- 16 Li, W., Bengtson, M. H., Ulbrich, A., Matsuda, A., Reddy, V. A., Orth, A., Chanda, S. K., Batalov, S. and Joazeiro, C. A. (2008) Genome-wide and functional annotation of human E3 ubiquitin ligases identifies MULAN, a mitochondrial E3 that regulates the organelle's dynamics and signaling. *PLoS One.* **3**, e1487
- 17 Spratt, D. E., Walden, H. and Shaw, G. S. (2014) RBR E3 ubiquitin ligases: new structures, new insights, new questions. *Biochem J.* **458**, 421-437
- 18 Lechtenberg, B. C., Rajput, A., Sanishvili, R., Dobaczewska, M. K., Ware, C. F., Mace, P. D. and Riedl, S. J. (2016) Structure of a HOIP/E2~ubiquitin complex reveals RBR E3 ligase mechanism and regulation. *Nature.* **529**, 546-550
- 19 Wauer, T. and Komander, D. (2013) Structure of the human Parkin ligase domain in an autoinhibited state. *EMBO J.* **32**, 2099-2112

- 20 Trempe, J. F., Sauve, V., Grenier, K., Seirafi, M., Tang, M. Y., Menade, M., Al-Abdul-Wahid, S., Krett, J., Wong, K., Kozlov, G., Nagar, B., Fon, E. A. and Gehring, K. (2013) Structure of parkin reveals mechanisms for ubiquitin ligase activation. *Science*. **340**, 1451-1455
- 21 Riley, B. E., Loughheed, J. C., Callaway, K., Velasquez, M., Brecht, E., Nguyen, L., Shaler, T., Walker, D., Yang, Y., Regnstrom, K., Diep, L., Zhang, Z., Chiou, S., Bova, M., Artis, D. R., Yao, N., Baker, J., Yednock, T. and Johnston, J. A. (2013) Structure and function of Parkin E3 ubiquitin ligase reveals aspects of RING and HECT ligases. *Nat Commun*. **4**, 1982
- 22 Adrain, C. and Freeman, M. (2012) New lives for old: evolution of pseudoenzyme function illustrated by iRhoms. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. **13**, 489-498
- 23 Metzger, M. B., Pruneda, J. N., Klevit, R. E. and Weissman, A. M. (2014) RING-type E3 ligases: master manipulators of E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes and ubiquitination. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. **1843**, 47-60
- 24 Metzger, M. B., Hristova, V. A. and Weissman, A. M. (2012) HECT and RING finger families of E3 ubiquitin ligases at a glance. *J Cell Sci*. **125**, 531-537
- 25 Zeqiraj, E., Filippi, B. M., Goldie, S., Navratilova, I., Boudeau, J., Deak, M., Alessi, D. R. and van Aalten, D. M. (2009) ATP and MO25alpha regulate the conformational state of the STRADalpha pseudokinase and activation of the LKB1 tumour suppressor. *PLoS Biol*. **7**, e1000126
- 26 Saharinen, P. and Silvennoinen, O. (2002) The pseudokinase domain is required for suppression of basal activity of Jak2 and Jak3 tyrosine kinases and for cytokine-inducible activation of signal transduction. *J Biol Chem*. **277**, 47954-47963
- 27 Brennan, D. F., Dar, A. C., Hertz, N. T., Chao, W. C., Burlingame, A. L., Shokat, K. M. and Barford, D. (2011) A Raf-induced allosteric transition of KSR stimulates phosphorylation of MEK. *Nature*. **472**, 366-369
- 28 Scheeff, E. D., Eswaran, J., Bunkoczi, G., Knapp, S. and Manning, G. (2009) Structure of the pseudokinase VRK3 reveals a degraded catalytic site, a highly conserved kinase fold, and a putative regulatory binding site. *Structure*. **17**, 128-138
- 29 Hildebrand, J. M., Tanzer, M. C., Lucet, I. S., Young, S. N., Spall, S. K., Sharma, P., Pierotti, C., Garnier, J. M., Dobson, R. C., Webb, A. I., Tripaydonis, A., Babon, J. J., Mulcair, M. D., Scanlon, M. J., Alexander, W. S., Wilks, A. F., Czabotar, P. E., Lessene, G., Murphy, J. M. and Silke, J. (2014) Activation of the pseudokinase MLKL unleashes the four-helix bundle domain to induce membrane localization and necroptotic cell death. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **111**, 15072-15077
- 30 Jacobsen, A. V., Lowes, K. N., Tanzer, M. C., Lucet, I. S., Hildebrand, J. M., Petrie, E. J., van Delft, M. F., Liu, Z., Conos, S. A., Zhang, J. G., Huang, D. C., Silke, J., Lessene, G. and Murphy, J. M. (2016) HSP90 activity is required for MLKL oligomerisation and membrane translocation and the induction of necroptotic cell death. *Cell Death Dis*. **7**, e2051
- 31 Murphy, J. M., Czabotar, P. E., Hildebrand, J. M., Lucet, I. S., Zhang, J. G., Alvarez-Diaz, S., Lewis, R., Lalaoui, N., Metcalf, D., Webb, A. I., Young, S. N., Varghese, L. N., Tannahill, G. M., Hatchell, E. C., Majewski, I. J., Okamoto, T., Dobson, R. C. J., Hilton, D. J., Babon, J. J., Nicola, N. A., Strasser, A., Silke, J. and Alexander, W. S. (2013) The pseudokinase MLKL mediates necroptosis via a molecular switch mechanism. *Immunity*. **39**, 443-453
- 32 Eyers, P. A., Keeshan, K. and Kannan, N. (2016) Tribbles in the 21st Century: The Evolving Roles of Tribbles Pseudokinases in Biology and Disease. *Trends Cell Biol*
- 33 Murphy, J. M., Nakatani, Y., Jamieson, S. A., Dai, W., Lucet, I. S. and Mace, P. D. (2015) Molecular Mechanism of CCAAT-Enhancer Binding Protein Recruitment by the TRIB1 Pseudokinase. *Structure*. **23**, 2111-2121

- 34 Childers, W. S., Xu, Q., Mann, T. H., Mathews, II, Blair, J. A., Deacon, A. M. and Shapiro, L. (2014) Cell fate regulation governed by a repurposed bacterial histidine kinase. *PLoS Biol.* **12**, e1001979
- 35 Cheng, K. C., Klancer, R., Singson, A. and Seydoux, G. (2009) Regulation of MBK-2/DYRK by CDK-1 and the pseudophosphatases EGG-4 and EGG-5 during the oocyte-to-embryo transition. *Cell.* **139**, 560-572
- 36 Parry, J. M., Velarde, N. V., Lefkovith, A. J., Zegarek, M. H., Hang, J. S., Ohm, J., Klancer, R., Maruyama, R., Druzhinina, M. K., Grant, B. D., Piano, F. and Singson, A. (2009) EGG-4 and EGG-5 Link Events of the Oocyte-to-Embryo Transition with Meiotic Progression in *C. elegans*. *Curr Biol.* **19**, 1752-1757
- 37 Reiterer, V., Fey, D., Kolch, W., Kholodenko, B. N. and Farhan, H. (2013) Pseudophosphatase STYX modulates cell-fate decisions and cell migration by spatiotemporal regulation of ERK1/2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **110**, E2934-2943
- 38 Berger, P., Berger, I., Schaffitzel, C., Tersar, K., Volkmer, B. and Suter, U. (2006) Multi-level regulation of myotubularin-related protein-2 phosphatase activity by myotubularin-related protein-13/set-binding factor-2. *Hum Mol Genet.* **15**, 569-579
- 39 Reiterer, V. and Farhan, H. (2017) STYX: a pseudophosphatase that regulates MAPK signaling and ubiquitin ligases *Biochem Soc Trans.* **In press**
- 40 Reiterer, V., Figueras-Puig, C., Le Guerroue, F., Confalonieri, S., Vecchi, M., Jalapothu, D., Kanse, S. M., Deshaies, R. J., Di Fiore, P. P., Behrends, C. and Farhan, H. (2017) The pseudophosphatase STYX targets the F-box of FBXW7 and inhibits SCFFBXW7 function. *EMBO J.* **36**, 260-273
- 41 Chang, D. W., Xing, Z., Pan, Y., Algeciras-Schimmich, A., Barnhart, B. C., Yaish-Ohad, S., Peter, M. E. and Yang, X. (2002) c-FLIP(L) is a dual function regulator for caspase-8 activation and CD95-mediated apoptosis. *EMBO J.* **21**, 3704-3714
- 42 Adrain, C., Zettl, M., Christova, Y., Taylor, N. and Freeman, M. (2012) Tumor necrosis factor signaling requires iRhom2 to promote trafficking and activation of TACE. *Science.* **335**, 225-228
- 43 Christova, Y., Adrain, C., Bambrough, P., Ibrahim, A. and Freeman, M. (2013) Mammalian iRhoms have distinct physiological functions including an essential role in TACE regulation. *EMBO Rep.* **14**, 884-890
- 44 Siggs, O. M., Grieve, A., Xu, H., Bambrough, P., Christova, Y. and Freeman, M. (2014) Genetic interaction implicates iRhom2 in the regulation of EGF receptor signalling in mice. *Biol Open.* **3**, 1151-1157
- 45 Zeqiraj, E., Tian, L., Piggott, C. A., Pillon, M. C., Duffy, N. M., Ceccarelli, D. F., Keszei, A. F., Lorenzen, K., Kurinov, I., Orlicky, S., Gish, G. D., Heck, A. J., Guarne, A., Greenberg, R. A. and Sicheri, F. (2015) Higher-Order Assembly of BRCC36-KIAA0157 Is Required for DUB Activity and Biological Function. *Mol Cell.* **59**, 970-983
- 46 Hofmann, R. M. and Pickart, C. M. (1999) Noncanonical MMS2-encoded ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme functions in assembly of novel polyubiquitin chains for DNA repair. *Cell.* **96**, 645-653
- 47 VerPlank, L., Bouamr, F., LaGrassa, T. J., Agresta, B., Kikonyogo, A., Leis, J. and Carter, C. A. (2001) Tsg101, a homologue of ubiquitin-conjugating (E2) enzymes, binds the L domain in HIV type 1 Pr55(Gag). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **98**, 7724-7729
- 48 Chaugule, V. K. and Walden, H. (2016) Specificity and disease in the ubiquitin system. *Biochem Soc Trans.* **44**, 212-227
- 49 Mandel, C. R., Kaneko, S., Zhang, H., Gebauer, D., Vethantham, V., Manley, J. L. and Tong, L. (2006) Polyadenylation factor CPSF-73 is the pre-mRNA 3'-end-processing endonuclease. *Nature.* **444**, 953-956

- 50 Chardin, P. (2006) Function and regulation of Rnd proteins. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* **7**, 54-62
- 51 Foster, R., Hu, K. Q., Lu, Y., Nolan, K. M., Thissen, J. and Settleman, J. (1996) Identification of a novel human Rho protein with unusual properties: GTPase deficiency and in vivo farnesylation. *Mol Cell Biol.* **16**, 2689-2699
- 52 Wennerberg, K., Forget, M. A., Ellerbroek, S. M., Arthur, W. T., BurrIDGE, K., Settleman, J., Der, C. J. and Hansen, S. H. (2003) Rnd proteins function as RhoA antagonists by activating p190 RhoGAP. *Curr Biol.* **13**, 1106-1115
- 53 Richter, V., Palmer, C. S., Osellame, L. D., Singh, A. P., Elgass, K., Stroud, D. A., Sesaki, H., Kvensakul, M. and Ryan, M. T. (2014) Structural and functional analysis of MiD51, a dynamin receptor required for mitochondrial fission. *J Cell Biol.* **204**, 477-486
- 54 Basilico, F., Maffini, S., Weir, J. R., Prumbaum, D., Rojas, A. M., Zimniak, T., De Antoni, A., Jeganathan, S., Voss, B., van Gerwen, S., Krenn, V., Massimiliano, L., Valencia, A., Vetter, I. R., Herzog, F., Raunser, S., Pasqualato, S. and Musacchio, A. (2014) The pseudo GTPase CENP-M drives human kinetochore assembly. *Elife.* **3**, e02978
- 55 Schroeder, C. M., Ostrem, J. M., Hertz, N. T. and Vale, R. D. (2014) A Ras-like domain in the light intermediate chain bridges the dynein motor to a cargo-binding region. *Elife.* **3**, e03351
- 56 Ranok, A., Wongsantichon, J., Robinson, R. C. and Suginta, W. (2015) Structural and thermodynamic insights into chitooligosaccharide binding to human cartilage chitinase 3-like protein 2 (CHI3L2 or YKL-39). *J Biol Chem.* **290**, 2617-2629
- 57 Schimpl, M., Rush, C. L., Betou, M., Eggleston, I. M., Recklies, A. D. and van Aalten, D. M. (2012) Human YKL-39 is a pseudo-chitinase with retained chitooligosaccharide-binding properties. *Biochem J.* **446**, 149-157
- 58 Volz, J. C., Yap, A., Sisquella, X., Thompson, J. K., Lim, N. T., Whitehead, L. W., Chen, L., Lampe, M., Tham, W. H., Wilson, D., Nebl, T., Marapana, D., Triglia, T., Wong, W., Rogers, K. L. and Cowman, A. F. (2016) Essential Role of the PfRh5/PfRipr/CyRPA Complex during *Plasmodium falciparum* Invasion of Erythrocytes. *Cell Host Microbe.* **20**, 60-71
- 59 Chen, L., Xu, Y., Wong, W., Thompson, J. K., Healer, J., Goddard-Borger, E., Lawrence, M. C. and Cowman, A. F. (2017) Structural basis for inhibition of erythrocyte invasion by antibodies to *Plasmodium falciparum* protein CyRPA. *Elife.* **6**
- 60 Favuzza, P., Guffart, E., Tamborrini, M., Scherer, B., Dreyer, A. M., Rufer, A. C., Erny, J., Hoernschemeyer, J., Thoma, R., Schmid, G., Gsell, B., Lamelas, A., Benz, J., Joseph, C., Matile, H., Pluschke, G. and Rudolph, M. G. (2017) Structure of the malaria vaccine candidate antigen CyRPA and its complex with a parasite invasion inhibitory antibody. *Elife.* **6**
- 61 Velez, N., Brautigam, C. A. and Phillips, M. A. (2013) Trypanosoma brucei S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase N terminus is essential for allosteric activation by the regulatory subunit prozyme. *J Biol Chem.* **288**, 5232-5240
- 62 Volkov, O. A., Kinch, L., Ariagno, C., Deng, X., Zhong, S., Grishin, N., Tomchick, D. R., Chen, Z. and Phillips, M. A. (2016) Relief of autoinhibition by conformational switch explains enzyme activation by a catalytically dead paralog. *Elife.* **5**
- 63 Willert, E. K., Fitzpatrick, R. and Phillips, M. A. (2007) Allosteric regulation of an essential trypanosome polyamine biosynthetic enzyme by a catalytically dead homolog. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **104**, 8275-8280
- 64 He, S., Zhao, J., Song, S., He, X., Minassian, A., Zhou, Y., Zhang, J., Brulois, K., Wang, Y., Cabo, J., Zandi, E., Liang, C., Jung, J. U., Zhang, X. and Feng, P. (2015) Viral pseudo-enzymes activate RIG-I via deamidation to evade cytokine production. *Mol Cell.* **58**, 134-146

- 65 Nguyen, S., Jones, D. C., Wyllie, S., Fairlamb, A. H. and Phillips, M. A. (2013) Allosteric activation of trypanosomatid deoxyhypusine synthase by a catalytically dead paralog. *J Biol Chem.* **288**, 15256-15267
- 66 Rao, F. V., Schuttelkopf, A. W., Dorfmueller, H. C., Ferenbach, A. T., Navratilova, I. and van Aalten, D. M. (2013) Structure of a bacterial putative acetyltransferase defines the fold of the human O-GlcNAcase C-terminal domain. *Open Biol.* **3**, 130021
- 67 Drogemuller, M., Jagannathan, V., Becker, D., Drogemuller, C., Schelling, C., Plassais, J., Kaerle, C., Dufaure de Citres, C., Thomas, A., Muller, E. J., Welle, M. M., Roosje, P. and Leeb, T. (2014) A mutation in the FAM83G gene in dogs with hereditary footpad hyperkeratosis (HFH). *PLoS Genet.* **10**, e1004370
- 68 Devedjiev, Y., Popov, A., Atanasov, B. and Bartunik, H. D. (1997) X-ray structure at 1.76 Å resolution of a polypeptide phospholipase A2 inhibitor. *J Mol Biol.* **266**, 160-172
- 69 Larson, H. N., Weiner, H. and Hurley, T. D. (2005) Disruption of the coenzyme binding site and dimer interface revealed in the crystal structure of mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenase "Asian" variant. *J Biol Chem.* **280**, 30550-30556
- 70 Lamb, A. L., Torres, A. S., O'Halloran, T. V. and Rosenzweig, A. C. (2000) Heterodimer formation between superoxide dismutase and its copper chaperone. *Biochemistry.* **39**, 14720-14727
- 71 Lamb, A. L., Wernimont, A. K., Pufahl, R. A., O'Halloran, T. V. and Rosenzweig, A. C. (2000) Crystal structure of the second domain of the human copper chaperone for superoxide dismutase. *Biochemistry.* **39**, 1589-1595
- 72 Vickrey, J. F., Herve, G. and Evans, D. R. (2002) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* aspartate transcarbamoylase. Characterization of its catalytic and regulatory properties. *J Biol Chem.* **277**, 24490-24498

Table 1: Diversity amongst pseudoenzymes

Class	Function	Examples	References
Pseudokinase	Allosteric regulation of conventional protein kinase	STRADα regulates activity of the conventional protein kinase, LKB1 JAK1-3 and TYK2 C-terminal tyrosine kinase domains are regulated by their adjacent pseudokinase domain KSR1/2 regulates activation of the conventional protein kinase, Raf	[5, 25] [26] [27]
	Allosteric regulation of other enzymes	VRK3 regulates activity of the phosphatase, VHR	[28]
	Protein interaction domain	MLKL pseudokinase regulates exposure of the executioner four-helix bundle domain, and engagement of HSP90:Cdc37	[29-31]
	Scaffold for assembly of signalling complexes	Tribbles proteins nucleate assembly of a complex between a substrate (C/EBP α) and the E3 Ubiquitin ligase, COP1	[32, 33]
Pseudo-Histidine kinase	Protein interaction domain	<i>Caulobacter</i> DivL binds the phosphorylated response regulator, DivK, allowing DivL to negatively regulate the asymmetric cell division regulatory kinase, CckA	[34]
Pseudophosphatase	Occlusion of conventional phosphatase access to substrate	EGG-4/EGG-5 binds to the phosphorylated activation loop of the kinase, MBK-2 STYX competes with DUSP4 for binding to ERK1/2	[35, 36] [37]
	Allosteric regulation of conventional phosphatases	MTMR13 binds and promotes lipid phosphatase activity of MTMR2	[38]
	Regulation of protein localisation in	STYX acts as a nuclear anchor for ERK1/2	[37, 39]

a cell

Regulation of signalling complex assembly [STYX](#) binds the F-box protein, FBXW7, to inhibit its recruitment to the SCF Ubiquitin ligase complex [39, 40]

Pseudoprotease	Allosteric regulator of conventional protease	cFLIP binds and inhibits the cysteine protease, Caspase-8, to block extrinsic apoptosis [41]
	Regulation of protein localisation in a cell	Mammalian iRhom proteins bind and regulate trafficking single pass transmembrane proteins to plasma membrane or ER-associated degradation pathway [22, 42-44]
Pseudodeubiquitinase (pseudoDUB)	Allosteric regulator of conventional DUB	KIAA0157 is crucial to assembly of a higher order heterotetramer with DUB, BRCC36, and DUB activity [45]
Pseudoligase (pseudo-Ubiquitin E2)	Allosteric regulator of conventional E2 ligase	Mms2 is a ubiquitin E2 variant (UEV) that binds active E2, Ubc13, to direct K63 ubiquitin linkages [46]
	Regulation of protein localisation in a cell	Tsg101 is a component of the ESCRT-I trafficking complex, and plays a key role in HIV-1 Gag binding and HIV budding [47]
Pseudoligase (pseudo-Ubiquitin E3)	Possible allosteric regulator of conventional RBR family E3 ligase	BRcat regulates interdomain architecture in RBR family E3 Ubiquitin ligases, such as Parkin and Ariadne-1/2 [17, 48]
Pseudonuclease	Allosteric regulator of conventional nuclease	CPSF-100 is a component of the pre-mRNA 3' end processing complex containing the active counterpart, CPSF-73 [49]
PseudoATPase	Allosteric regulator of conventional ATPase	EccC comprises two pseudoATPase domains that regulate the N-terminal conventional ATPase domain [14]
PseudoGTPase	Allosteric regulator of conventional	GTP-bound Rnd1 or Rnd3/RhoE bind p190RhoGAP to [50-52]

GTPase regulate the catalytic activity of the conventional GTPase, RhoA

Scaffold for assembly of signalling complexes [MiD51](#), which is catalytically dead but binds GDP or ADP, is part of a complex that recruits Drp1 to mediate mitochondrial fission [53]

[CENP-M](#) cannot bind GTP or switch conformations, but is essential for nucleating the CENP-I, CENP-H, CENP-K small GTPase complex to regulate kinetochore assembly [54]

Regulation of protein localisation in a cell Yeast light intermediate domain ([LIC](#)) is a pseudoGTPase, devoid of nucleotide binding, which binds the dynein motor to cargo. Human LIC binds GDP in preference to GTP, suggesting nucleotide binding could confer stability rather than underlying a switch mechanism. [55]

Pseudochitinase Substrate recruitment or sequestration [YKL-39](#) binds, but does not process, chitooligosaccharides via 5 binding subsites [56, 57]

Pseudosialidase Scaffold for assembly of signalling complexes [CyRPA](#) nucleates assembly of the *P. falciparum* PfRh5/PfRipr complex that binds the erythrocyte receptor, basigin, and mediates host cell invasion [58-60]

Pseudolyase Allosteric activation of conventional enzyme counterpart [Prozyme](#) heterodimerisation with S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (AdoMetDC) activates catalytic activity 1000-fold [61-63]

Pseudotransferase Allosteric activation of cellular enzyme counterpart [Viral GAT](#) recruits cellular PFAS to deaminate RIG-I and counter host antiviral defence [64]

T. brucei deoxyhypusine synthase (TbDHS) dead paralog, [65]

DHSp, binds to and activates DHSc >1000-fold.

Pseudo-histone acetyl transferase (pseudoHAT)	Possible scaffold for assembly of signalling complexes	of	Human <i>O</i> -GlcNAcase (OGA) lacks catalytic residues and acetyl CoA binding, unlike bacterial counterpart	[66]
Pseudo-phospholipase	Possible scaffold for assembly of signalling complexes	of	FAM83 family proteins presumed to have acquired new functions in preference to ancestral phospholipase D catalytic activity	[67]
	Allosteric inactivation of conventional enzyme counterpart	of	Viper phospholipase A2 inhibitor structurally resembles the human cellular protein it targets, phospholipase A2	[68]
Pseudo-oxidoreductase	Allosteric inactivation of conventional enzyme counterpart	of	ALDH2*2 thwarts assembly of its “wild-type” counterpart, ALDH2*1, into a fully-active homo-tetramer	[69]
Pseudo-dismutase	Allosteric activation of conventional enzyme counterpart		Copper chaperone for superoxide dismutase (CCS) binds and activates catalysis by its enzyme counterpart, SOD1	[70, 71]
Pseudo-dihydroorotase	Regulating folding or complex assembly of conventional enzyme		<i>Pseudomonas</i> pDHO is required for either folding of the aspartate transcarbamoylase catalytic subunit, or its assembly into an active oligomer	[72]

Pseudoenzymes are shown in blue, while conventional enzymes are shown in black text.